

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | X | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | X | |

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, Elizabeth Adam, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am employed by Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, the Court appointed claims and noticing agent for the Debtors in the above-captioned cases.

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below (i) upon the parties listed on Exhibit A hereto via overnight mail, (ii) upon the parties listed on Exhibit B hereto via electronic notification and (iii) upon the parties listed on Exhibit C hereto via postage pre-paid U.S. mail:

- 1) Debtors' Objection To Motion Of Automodular Assemblies Inc., Tec-Mar Distribution Services, Inc., And Automodular Assemblies (Ohio) Inc. To Compel Assumption Or Rejection Of Executory Contracts And Allow And Direct Payment Of Administrative Expense Claim (Docket No. 11447) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D]
- 2) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim Number 2173 (Longacre Master Fund, Ltd. As Assignee Of PIC Productivity Improvement Center) (Docket No. 11450) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit E]
- 3) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 12693 (Contrarian Funds, LLC As Assignee Of Trostel Ltd.) (Docket No. 11451) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F]
- 4) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 6991(Contrarian Funds, LLC As Transferee Of FHBC America Inc.) (Docket No. 11452) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit G]

- 5) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 813 (Contrarian Funds, LLC As Assignee For Entergy Mississippi Inc.) (Docket No. 11453) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit H]
- 6) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 12158 (Eaton Corporation) (Docket No. 11454) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit I]
- 7) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 11640 (Victory Packaging LLC) (Docket No. 11455) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit J]
- 8) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 11256 (CTS Corporation) (Docket No. 11456) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit K]
- 9) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 2175 (Universal Tool And Engineering Company, Inc.) (Docket No. 11457) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit L]
- 10) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 15378 (Texas Instruments) (Docket No. 11458) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit M]
- 11) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 10180 (The Cherry Corporation And Hain Capital Holdings, LLC) (Docket No. 11459) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit N]
- 12) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 8229 (The Brix Group, Inc.) (Docket No. 11460) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit O]
- 13) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 2548 (PBR Australia Pty Ltd.) (Docket No. 11461) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit P]
- 14) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 5980 (PBR Knoxville LLC) (Docket No. 11462) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit Q]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit R hereto via overnight mail:

- 15) Debtors' Objection To Motion Of Automodular Assemblies Inc., Tec-Mar Distribution Services, Inc., And Automodular Assemblies (Ohio) Inc. To Compel Assumption Or Rejection Of Executory Contracts And Allow And Direct Payment Of Administrative Expense Claim (Docket No. 11447) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit S hereto via overnight mail:

- 16) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim Number 2173 (Longacre Master Fund, Ltd. As Assignee Of PIC Productivity Improvement Center) (Docket No. 11450) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit E]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit T hereto via overnight mail:

- 17) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 12693 (Contrarian Funds, LLC As Assignee Of Trostel Ltd.) (Docket No. 11451) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit U hereto via overnight mail:

- 18) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 6991(Contrarian Funds, LLC As Transferee Of FHBC America Inc.) (Docket No. 11452) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit G]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit V hereto via overnight mail:

- 19) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 813 (Contrarian Funds, LLC As Assignee For Entergy Mississippi Inc.) (Docket No. 11453) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit H]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit W hereto via overnight mail:

- 20) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 12158 (Eaton Corporation) (Docket No. 11454) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit I]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit X hereto via overnight mail:

- 21) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 11640 (Victory Packaging LLC) (Docket No. 11455) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit J]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit Y hereto via overnight mail:

- 22) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 11256 (CTS Corporation) (Docket No. 11456) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit K]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit Z hereto via overnight mail:

- 23) Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 2175 (Universal Tool And Engineering Company, Inc.) (Docket No. 11457) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit L]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit AA hereto via overnight mail:

- 24) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 15378 (Texas Instruments) (Docket No. 11458) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit M]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit BB hereto via overnight mail:

- 25) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Claim
Number 10180 (The Cherry Corporation And Hain Capital Holdings, LLC)
(Docket No. 11459) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit N]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit CC hereto via overnight mail:

- 26) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Claim
Number 8229 (The Brix Group, Inc.) (Docket No. 11460) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit O]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit DD hereto via overnight mail:

- 27) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Claim
Number 2548 (PBR Australia Pty Ltd.) (Docket No. 11461) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit P]

On December 13, 2007, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit EE hereto via overnight mail:

- 28) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Claim
Number 5980 (PBR Knoxville LLC) (Docket No. 11462) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit Q]

Dated: February 8, 2008

/s/ Elizabeth Adam
Elizabeth Adam

State of California
County of Los Angeles

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 8th day of February 2008, by Elizabeth Adam, proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.

Signature: /s/ Leanne V. Rehder

Commission Expires: 3/2/08

EXHIBIT A

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------|------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP | Robert J. Stark | Seven Times Square | | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-209-4800 | 212-2094801 | rstark@brownrudnick.com | Indenture Trustee |
| Cohen, Weiss & Simon | Bruce Simon | 330 W. 42nd Street | | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-356-0231 | 212-695-5436 | bsimon@cwsny.com | |
| Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP | Steven J. Reisman | 101 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10178-0061 | 2126966000 | 2126971559 | sreisman@cm-p.com | Counsel to Flextronics International, Inc.; Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia-Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd |
| Davis, Polk & Wardwell | Donald Bernstein Brian Resnick | 450 Lexington Avenue | | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-450-4092 212-450-4213 | 212-450-3092 212-450-3213 | donald.bernstein@dpw.com brian.resnick@dpw.com | Counsel to Debtor's Postpetition Administrative Agent |
| Delphi Corporation | Sean Corcoran, Karen Craft | 5725 Delphi Drive | | Troy | MI | 48098 | 248-813-2000 | 248-813-2491 | sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.i.craft@delphi.com | Debtors |
| Electronic Data Systems Corp. | Michael Nefkens | 5505 Corporate Drive MSIA | | Troy | MI | 48098 | 248-696-1729 | 248-696-1739 | mike.nefkens@eds.com | Creditor Committee Member |
| Flextronics International | Carrie L. Schiff | 305 Interlocken Parkway | | Broomfield | CO | 80021 | 303-927-4853 | 303-652-4716 | cschiff@flextronics.com | Counsel to Flextronics International |
| Flextronics International USA, Inc. | Paul W. Anderson | 2090 Fortune Drive | | San Jose | CA | 95131 | 408-428-1308 | | paul.anderson@flextronics.com | Counsel to Flextronics International USA, Inc. |
| Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. | Richard Lee Chambers, III | 6501 William Cannon Drive West | MD: OE16 | Austin | TX | 78735 | 512-895-6357 | 512-895-3090 | trey.chambers@freescale.com | Creditor Committee Member |
| Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson | Brad Eric Sheler Bonnie Steingart Vivek Melwani Jennifer L Rodburg Richard J Slivinski | One New York Plaza | | New York | NY | 10004 | 212-859-8000 | 212-859-4000 | rodbuige@ffhsj.com sliviri@ffhsj.com | Counsel to Equity Security Holders Committee |
| FTI Consulting, Inc. | Randall S. Eisenberg | 3 Times Square | 11th Floor | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-2471010 | 212-841-9350 | randall.eisenberg@fticonsulting.com | Financial Advisors to Debtors |
| General Electric Company | Valerie Venable | 9930 Kinsey Avenue | | Huntersville | NC | 28078 | 704-992-5075 | 866-585-2386 | valerie.venable@ge.com | Creditor Committee Member |
| Groom Law Group | Lonie A. Hassel | 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW | | Washington | DC | 20006 | 202-857-0620 | 202-659-4503 | lhassel@groom.com | Counsel to Employee Benefits |
| Hodgson Russ LLP | Stephen H. Gross | 1540 Broadway | 24th Fl | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-751-4300 | 212-751-0928 | sgross@hodgsonruss.com | Counsel to Hexcel Corporation |
| Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP | Frank L. Gorman, Esq. | 2290 First National Building | 660 Woodward Avenue | Detroit | MI | 48226-3583 | 313-465-7000 | 313-465-8000 | fgorman@honigman.com | Counsel to General Motors Corporation |
| Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP | Robert B. Weiss, Esq. | 2290 First National Building | 660 Woodward Avenue | Detroit | MI | 48226-3583 | 313-465-7000 | 313-465-8000 | rweiss@honigman.com | Counsel to General Motors Corporation |
| Internal Revenue Service | Attn: Insolvency Department | 477 Michigan Ave | Mail Stop 15 | Detroit | MI | 48226 | 313-628-3648 | 313-628-3602 | | Michigan IRS |
| Internal Revenue Service | Attn: Insolvency Department, Maria Valerio | 290 Broadway | 5th Floor | New York | NY | 10007 | 212-436-1038 | 212-436-1931 | mariaivalerio@irs.gov | IRS |
| IUE-CWA | Conference Board Chairman | 2360 W. Dorothy Lane | Suite 201 | Dayton | OH | 45439 | 937-294-7813 | 937-294-9164 | | Creditor Committee Member |
| Jefferies & Company, Inc. | William Q. Derrough | 520 Madison Avenue | 12th Floor | New York | NY | 10022 | 212-284-2521 | 212-284-2470 | bderrough@jefferies.com | UCC Professional |
| JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. | Richard Duker | 270 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-270-5484 | 212-270-4016 | richard.duker@jpmorgan.com | Prepetition Administrative Agent |
| JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. | Susan Atkins, Gianni Russello | 277 Park Ave 8th Fl | | New York | NY | 10172 | 212-270-0426 | 212-270-0430 | gianni.russello@jpmorgan.com susan.atkins@jpmorgan.com | Postpetition Administrative Agent |
| Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP | Gordon Z. Novod | 1177 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-715-9100 | 212-715-8000 | gnovod@kramerlevin.com | Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------|------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP | Thomas Moers Mayer | 1177 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-715-9100 | 212-715-8000 | tmayer@kramerlevin.com | Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC |
| Kurtzman Carson Consultants | Sheryl Betance | 2335 Alaska Ave | | El Segundo | CA | 90245 | 310-823-9000 | 310-823-9133 | sbetance@kcccllc.com | Noticing and Claims Agent |
| Latham & Watkins LLP | Robert J. Rosenberg | 885 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | 212-906-1370 | 212-751-4864 | robert.rosenberg@lw.com | Counsel to Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors |
| Law Debenture Trust of New York | Daniel R. Fisher | 400 Madison Ave | Fourth Floor | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-750-6474 | 212-750-1361 | daniel.fisher@lawdeb.com | Indenture Trustee |
| Law Debenture Trust of New York | Patrick J. Healy | 400 Madison Ave | Fourth Floor | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-750-6474 | 212-750-1361 | patrick.healy@lawdeb.com | Indenture Trustee |
| McDermott Will & Emery LLP | David D. Cleary | 227 West Monroe Street | Suite 5400 | Chicago | IL | 60606 | 312-372-2000 | 312-984-7700 | dcleary@mwe.com | Counsel to Recticel North America, Inc. |
| McDermott Will & Emery LLP | Jason J. DeJonker | 227 West Monroe Street | Suite 5400 | Chicago | IL | 60606 | 312-372-2000 | 312-984-7700 | jdejonker@mwe.com | Counsel to Recticel North America, Inc. |
| McDermott Will & Emery LLP | Mohsin N. Khambati | 227 West Monroe Street | Suite 5400 | Chicago | IL | 60606 | 312-372-2000 | 312-984-7700 | mkhambati@mwe.com | Counsel to Recticel North America, Inc. |
| McDermott Will & Emery LLP | Peter A. Clark | 227 West Monroe Street | Suite 5400 | Chicago | IL | 60606 | 312-372-2000 | 312-984-7700 | pclark@mwe.com | Counsel to Recticel North America, Inc. |
| McTigue Law Firm | Cornish F. Hitchcock | 5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W. | Suite 350 | Washington | DC | 20015 | 202-364-6900 | 202-364-9960 | conh@mctiquelaw.com | Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees |
| McTigue Law Firm | J. Brian McTigue | 5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W. | Suite 350 | Washington | DC | 20015 | 202-364-6900 | 202-364-9960 | bmctigue@mctiquelaw.com | Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees |
| Mesirow Financial | Leon Szlezinger | 666 Third Ave | 21st Floor | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-808-8366 | 212-682-5015 | lszlezinger@mesirrowfinancial.com | UCC Professional |
| Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy LLP | Gregory A Bray Esq Thomas R Kreller Esq James E Till Esq | 601 South Figueroa Street | 30th Floor | Los Angeles | CA | 90017 | 213-892-4000 | 213-629-5063 | gbray@milbank.com tkreller@milbank.com jtill@milbank.com | Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management LP and Dolce Investments LLC |
| Morrison Cohen LLP | Joseph T. Moldovan, Esq. | 909 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | 2127358603 | 9175223103 | jmoldovan@morrisoncohen.com | Counsel to Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan |
| Northeast Regional Office | Mark Schonfeld, Regional Director | 3 World Financial Center | Room 4300 | New York | NY | 10281 | 212-336-1100 | 212-336-1323 | newyork@sec.gov | Securities and Exchange Commission |
| Office of New York State | Attorney General Eliot Spitzer | 120 Broadway | | New York City | NY | 10271 | 212-416-8000 | 212-416-6075 | william.dornbos@oag.state.ny.us | New York Attorney General's Office |
| O'Melveny & Myers LLP | Robert Siegel | 400 South Hope Street | | Los Angeles | CA | 90071 | 213-430-6000 | 213-430-6407 | rsiegel@omm.com | Special Labor Counsel |
| O'Melveny & Myers LLP | Tom A. Jerman, Rachel Janger | 1625 Eye Street, NW | | Washington | DC | 20006 | 202-383-5300 | 202-383-5414 | tjerman@omm.com | Special Labor Counsel |
| Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation | Jeffrey Cohen | 1200 K Street, N.W. | Suite 340 | Washington | DC | 20005 | 202-326-4020 | 202-326-4112 | garrick.sandra@pbqc.gov efile@pbqc.gov | Counsel to Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation |
| Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation | Ralph L. Landy | 1200 K Street, N.W. | Suite 340 | Washington | DC | 20005-4026 | 2023264020 | 2023264112 | landy.ralph@pbqc.gov | Chief Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation |
| Phillips Nizer LLP | Sandra A. Riemer | 666 Fifth Avenue | | New York | NY | 10103 | 212-841-0589 | 212-262-5152 | sriemer@phillipsnizer.com | Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems |
| Rothchild Inc. | David L. Resnick | 1251 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10020 | 212-403-3500 | 212-403-5454 | david.resnick@us.rothschild.com | Financial Advisor |
| Seyfarth Shaw LLP | Robert W. Dremluk | 620 Eighth Ave | | New York | NY | 10018-1405 | 212-218-5500 | 212-218-5526 | rdremluk@seyfarth.com | Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc. |
| Shearman & Sterling LLP | Douglas Bartner, Jill Frizzley | 599 Lexington Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | 212-8484000 | 212-848-7179 | dbartner@shearman.com jfrizzley@shearman.com | Local Counsel to the Debtors |
| Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP | Kenneth S. Ziman, Robert H. Trust, William T. Russell, Jr. | 425 Lexington Avenue | | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-455-2000 | 212-455-2502 | kziman@stblaw.com rtrust@stblaw.com wrussell@stblaw.com | Counsel to Debtor's Prepetition Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-------|------------|--------------|---|--|---|
| Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP | John Wm. Butler, John K. Lyons, Ron E. Meisler | 333 W. Wacker Dr. | Suite 2100 | Chicago | IL | 60606 | 312-407-0700 | 312-407-0411 | jbutler@skadden.com jlyonsch@skadden.com rmeisler@skadden.com | Counsel to the Debtor |
| Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP | Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Thomas J. Matz | 4 Times Square | P.O. Box 300 | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-735-3000 | 212-735-2000 | kmarafio@skadden.com tmatz@skadden.com | Counsel to the Debtor |
| Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP | Daniel D. Doyle | 1 North Brentwood Boulevard | Tenth Floor | St. Louis | MO | 63105 | 314-863-7733 | 314-862-4656 | ddoyle@spencerfane.com | Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees |
| Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP | Nicholas Franke | 1 North Brentwood Boulevard | Tenth Floor | St. Louis | MO | 63105 | 314-863-7733 | 314-862-4656 | nfranke@spencerfane.com | Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees |
| Stevens & Lee, P.C. | Chester B. Salomon, Constantine D. Pourakis | 485 Madison Avenue | 20th Floor | New York | NY | 10022 | 2123198500 | 2123198505 | cp@stevenslee.com cs@stevenslee.com | Counsel to Wamco, Inc. |
| Togut, Segal & Segal LLP | Albert Togut | One Penn Plaza | Suite 3335 | New York | NY | 10119 | 212-594-5000 | 212-967-4258 | altogut@teamtogut.com | Conflicts Counsel to the Debtors |
| Tyco Electronics Corporation | MaryAnn Brereton, Assistant General Counsel | 60 Columbia Road | | Morristown | NJ | 7960 | 973-656-8365 | 973-656-8805 | | Creditor Committee Member |
| United States Trustee | Alicia M. Leonhard | 33 Whitehall Street | 21st Floor | New York | NY | 10004-2112 | 212-510-0500 | 212-668-2255 does not take service via fax | | Counsel to United States Trustee |
| Warner Stevens, L.L.P. | Michael D. Warner | 1700 City Center Tower II | 301 Commerce Street | Fort Worth | TX | 76102 | 817-810-5250 | 817-810-5255 | mwarner@warnerstevens.com | Proposed Conflicts Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors |
| Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP | Harvey R. Miller | 767 Fifth Avenue | | New York | NY | 10153 | 212-310-8500 | 212-310-8077 | harvey.miller@weil.com | Counsel to General Motors Corporation |
| Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP | Jeffrey L. Tanenbaum, Esq. | 767 Fifth Avenue | | New York | NY | 10153 | 212-310-8000 | 212-310-8007 | jeff.tanenbaum@weil.com | Counsel to General Motors Corporation |
| Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP | Martin J. Bienenstock, Esq. | 767 Fifth Avenue | | New York | NY | 10153 | 212-310-8000 | 212-310-8007 | martin.bienenstock@weil.com | Counsel to General Motors Corporation |
| Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP | Michael P. Kessler, Esq. | 767 Fifth Avenue | | New York | NY | 10153 | 212-310-8000 | 212-310-8007 | michael.kessler@weil.com | Counsel to General Motors Corporation |
| Wilmington Trust Company | Steven M. Cimalore | Rodney Square North | 1100 North Market Street | Wilmington | DE | 19890 | 302-636-6058 | 302-636-4143 | scimalore@wilmingtontrust.com | Creditor Committee Member/Indenture Trustee |

EXHIBIT B

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|--|--|---------------------|--------------|-------|------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP | Robert J. Stark | Seven Times Square | | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-209-4800 | 212-2094801 | rstark@brownrudnick.com | Indenture Trustee |
| Cohen, Weiss & Simon | Bruce Simon | 330 W. 42nd Street | | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-356-0231 | 212-695-5436 | bsimon@cwsny.com | |
| Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP | Steven J. Reisman | 101 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10178-0061 | 2126966000 | 2126971559 | sreisman@cm-p.com | Counsel to Flextronics International, Inc., Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia-Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd |
| Davis, Polk & Wardwell | Donald Bernstein Brian Resnick | 450 Lexington Avenue | | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-450-4092 212-450-4213 | 212-450-3092 212-450-3213 | donald.bernstein@dpw.com brian.resnick@dpw.com | Counsel to Debtor's Postpetition Administrative Agent |
| Delphi Corporation | Sean Corcoran, Karen Craft | 5725 Delphi Drive | | Troy | MI | 48098 | 248-813-2000 | 248-813-2491 | sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.j.craft@delphi.com | Debtors |
| Electronic Data Systems Corp. | Michael Nefkens | 5505 Corporate Drive MSIA | | Troy | MI | 48098 | 248-696-1729 | 248-696-1739 | mike.nefkens@eds.com | Creditor Committee Member |
| Flextronics International | Carrie L. Schiff | 305 Interlocken Parkway | | Broomfield | CO | 80021 | 303-927-4853 | 303-652-4716 | cschiff@flextronics.com | Counsel to Flextronics International |
| Flextronics International USA, Inc. | Paul W. Anderson | 2090 Fortune Drive | | San Jose | CA | 95131 | 408-428-1308 | | paul.anderson@flextronics.com | Counsel to Flextronics International USA, Inc. |
| Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. | Richard Lee Chambers, III | 6501 William Cannon Drive West | MD: OE16 | Austin | TX | 78735 | 512-895-6357 | 512-895-3090 | trey.chambers@freescale.com | Creditor Committee Member |
| Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson | Brad Eric Sheler Bonnie Steingart Vivek Melwani Jennifer L Rodburg Richard J Slivinski | One New York Plaza | | New York | NY | 10004 | 212-859-8000 | 212-859-4000 | rodbuie@ffhsj.com sliviri@ffhsj.com randall.eisenberg@fticonsulting.com | Counsel to Equity Security Holders Committee |
| FTI Consulting, Inc. | Randall S. Eisenberg | 3 Times Square | 11th Floor | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-2471010 | 212-841-9350 | valerie.venable@ge.com | Financial Advisors to Debtors |
| General Electric Company | Valerie Venable | 9930 Kinsey Avenue 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW | | Huntersville | NC | 28078 | 704-992-5075 | 866-585-2386 | valerie.venable@ge.com | Creditor Committee Member |
| Groom Law Group | Lonie A. Hassel | 1540 Broadway | | Washington | DC | 20006 | 202-857-0620 | 202-659-4503 | lhassel@groom.com | Counsel to Employee Benefits |
| Hodgson Russ LLP | Stephen H. Gross | 2290 First National Building | 660 Woodward Avenue | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-751-4300 | 212-751-0928 | sgross@hodgsonruss.com | Counsel to Hexcel Corporation |
| Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP | Frank L. Gorman, Esq. | 2290 First National Building | 660 Woodward Avenue | Detroit | MI | 48226-3583 | 313-465-7000 | 313-465-8000 | fgorman@honigman.com | Counsel to General Motors Corporation |
| Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP | Robert B. Weiss, Esq. | 2290 First National Building | 660 Woodward Avenue | Detroit | MI | 48226-3583 | 313-465-7000 | 313-465-8000 | rweiss@honigman.com | Counsel to General Motors Corporation |
| Jefferies & Company, Inc. | William Q. Derrough | 520 Madison Avenue | 12th Floor | New York | NY | 10022 | 212-284-2521 | 212-284-2470 | bderrough@jefferies.com | UCC Professional |
| JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. | Richard Duker | 270 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-270-5484 | 212-270-4016 | richard.duker@jpmorgan.com | Prepetition Administrative Agent |
| JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. | Susan Atkins, Gianni Russello | 277 Park Ave 8th Fl | | New York | NY | 10172 | 212-270-0426 | 212-270-0430 | susan.atkins@jpmorgan.com | Postpetition Administrative Agent |
| Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP | Gordon Z. Novod | 1177 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-715-9100 | 212-715-8000 | gnovod@kramerlevin.com | Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC |
| Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP | Thomas Moers Mayer | 1177 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-715-9100 | 212-715-8000 | tmayer@kramerlevin.com | Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC |
| Kurtzman Carson Consultants | Sheryl Betance | 2335 Alaska Ave | | El Segundo | CA | 90245 | 310-823-9000 | 310-823-9133 | sbetance@kccllc.com | Noticing and Claims Agent |
| Latham & Watkins LLP | Robert J. Rosenberg | 885 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | 212-906-1370 | 212-751-4864 | robert.rosenberg@lw.com | Counsel to Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------|------------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Law Debenture Trust of New York | Daniel R. Fisher | 400 Madison Ave | Fourth Floor | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-750-6474 | 212-750-1361 | daniel.fisher@lawdeb.com | Indenture Trustee |
| Law Debenture Trust of New York | Patrick J. Healy | 400 Madison Ave | Fourth Floor | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-750-6474 | 212-750-1361 | patrick.healy@lawdeb.com | Indenture Trustee |
| McDermott Will & Emery LLP | Jason J. DeJonker | 227 West Monroe Street | Suite 5400 | Chicago | IL | 60606 | 312-372-2000 | 312-984-7700 | jdejonker@mwe.com | Counsel to Recticel North America, Inc. |
| McDermott Will & Emery LLP | Peter A. Clark | 227 West Monroe Street | Suite 5400 | Chicago | IL | 60606 | 312-372-2000 | 312-984-7700 | pclark@mwe.com | Counsel to Recticel North America, Inc. |
| McTigue Law Firm | Cornish F. Hitchcock | 5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W. | Suite 350 | Washington | DC | 20015 | 202-364-6900 | 202-364-9960 | conh@mctiquelaw.com | Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees |
| McTigue Law Firm | J. Brian McTigue | 5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W. | Suite 350 | Washington | DC | 20015 | 202-364-6900 | 202-364-9960 | bmctigue@mctiquelaw.com | Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees |
| Mesirow Financial | Leon Szlezinger | 666 Third Ave | 21st Floor | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-808-8366 | 212-682-5015 | lszlezinger@mesirrowfinancial.com | UCC Professional |
| Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy LLP | Gregory A Bray Esq Thomas R Kreller Esq James E Till Esq | 601 South Figueroa Street | 30th Floor | Los Angeles | CA | 90017 | 213-892-4000 | 213-629-5063 | gbray@milbank.com tkreller@milbank.com jtill@milbank.com | Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management LP and Dolce Investments LLC |
| Morrison Cohen LLP | Joseph T. Moldovan, Esq. | 909 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | 2127358603 | 9175223103 | jmoldovan@morrisoncohen.com | Counsel to Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan |
| Northeast Regional Office | Mark Schonfeld, Regional Director | 3 World Financial Center | Room 4300 | New York | NY | 10281 | 212-336-1100 | 212-336-1323 | newyork@sec.gov | Securities and Exchange Commission |
| Office of New York State | Attorney General Eliot Spitzer | 120 Broadway | | New York City | NY | 10271 | 212-416-8000 | 212-416-6075 | william.dornbos@oag.state.ny.us | New York Attorney General's Office |
| O'Melveny & Myers LLP | Robert Siegel | 400 South Hope Street | | Los Angeles | CA | 90071 | 213-430-6000 | 213-430-6407 | rsiegel@omm.com | Special Labor Counsel |
| O'Melveny & Myers LLP | Tom A. Jerman, Rachel Janger | 1625 Eye Street, NW | | Washington | DC | 20006 | 202-383-5300 | 202-383-5414 | tjerman@omm.com | Special Labor Counsel |
| Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation | Jeffrey Cohen | 1200 K Street, N.W. | Suite 340 | Washington | DC | 20005 | 202-326-4020 | 202-326-4112 | efile@pbgc.gov | Counsel to Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation |
| Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation | Ralph L. Landy | 1200 K Street, N.W. | Suite 340 | Washington | DC | 20005-4026 | 2023264020 | 2023264112 | landy.ralph@pbgc.gov | Chief Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation |
| Phillips Nizer LLP | Sandra A. Riemer | 666 Fifth Avenue | | New York | NY | 10103 | 212-841-0589 | 212-262-5152 | sriemer@phillipsnizer.com | Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems |
| Rothchild Inc. | David L. Resnick | 1251 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10020 | 212-403-3500 | 212-403-5454 | david.resnick@us.rothschild.com | Financial Advisor |
| Seyfarth Shaw LLP | Robert W. Dremluk | 620 Eighth Ave | | New York | NY | 10018-1405 | 212-218-5500 | 212-218-5526 | rdremluk@seyfarth.com | Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc. |
| Shearman & Sterling LLP | Douglas Bartner, Jill Frizzley | 599 Lexington Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | 212-8484000 | 212-848-7179 | dbartner@shearman.com jfrizzley@shearman.com | Local Counsel to the Debtors |
| Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP | Kenneth S. Ziman, Robert H. Trust, William T. Russell, Jr. | 425 Lexington Avenue | | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-455-2000 | 212-455-2502 | kziman@stblaw.com rtrust@stblaw.com wrussell@stblaw.com | Counsel to Debtor's Prepetition Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. |
| Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP | John Wm. Butler, John K. Lyons, Ron E. Meisler | 333 W. Wacker Dr. | Suite 2100 | Chicago | IL | 60606 | 312-407-0700 | 312-407-0411 | jbutler@skadden.com jlyonsch@skadden.com rmeisler@skadden.com | Counsel to the Debtor |
| Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP | Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Thomas J. Matz | 4 Times Square | P.O. Box 300 | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-735-3000 | 212-735-2000 | kmarafio@skadden.com tmatz@skadden.com | Counsel to the Debtor |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-------|-------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP | Daniel D. Doyle | 1 North Brentwood Boulevard | Tenth Floor | St. Louis | MO | 63105 | 314-863-7733 | 314-862-4656 | ddoyle@spencerfane.com | Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees |
| Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP | Nicholas Franke | 1 North Brentwood Boulevard | Tenth Floor | St. Louis | MO | 63105 | 314-863-7733 | 314-862-4656 | nfranke@spencerfane.com | Counsel to Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel to The Official Committee of Retirees |
| Stevens & Lee, P.C. | Chester B. Salomon, Constantine D. Pourakis | 485 Madison Avenue | 20th Floor | New York | NY | 10022 | 2123198500 | 2123198505 | cp@stevenslee.com cs@stevenslee.com | Counsel to Wamco, Inc. |
| Togut, Segal & Segal LLP | Albert Togut | One Penn Plaza | Suite 3335 | New York | NY | 10119 | 212-594-5000 | 212-967-4258 | altogut@teamtogut.com | Conflicts Counsel to the Debtors |
| Warner Stevens, L.L.P. | Michael D. Warner | 1700 City Center Tower II | 301 Commerce Street | Fort Worth | TX | 76102 | 817-810-5250 | 817-810-5255 | mwarner@warnerstevens.com | Proposed Conflicts Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors |
| Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP | Harvey R. Miller | 767 Fifth Avenue | | New York | NY | 10153 | 212-310-8500 | 212-310-8077 | harvey.miller@weil.com | Counsel to General Motors Corporation |
| Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP | Jeffrey L. Tanenbaum, Esq. | 767 Fifth Avenue | | New York | NY | 10153 | 212-310-8000 | 212-310-8007 | jeff.tanenbaum@weil.com | Counsel to General Motors Corporation |
| Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP | Martin J. Bienenstock, Esq. | 767 Fifth Avenue | | New York | NY | 10153 | 212-310-8000 | 212-310-8007 | martin.bienenstock@weil.com | Counsel to General Motors Corporation |
| Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP | Michael P. Kessler, Esq. | 767 Fifth Avenue | | New York | NY | 10153 | 212-310-8000 | 212-310-8007 | michael.kessler@weil.com | Counsel to General Motors Corporation |
| Wilmington Trust Company | Steven M. Cimalore | Rodney Square North | 1100 North Market Street | Wilmington | DE | 19890 | 302-636-6058 | 302-636-4143 | scimalore@wilmingtontrust.com | Creditor Committee Member/Indenture Trustee |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------|------------|---------|----------------|--------------|--|---|
| Adalberto Cañadas Castillo | | Avda Ramon de Carranza | 10-1º | Cadiz | | 11006 | Spain | 34 956 226 311 | | adalberto@canadas.com | Representative to DASE |
| Adler Pollock & Sheehan PC | Joseph Avanzato | One Citizens Plz 8th Fl | | Providence | RI | 02903 | | 401-274-7200 | 401-751-0604 | javanzato@apslaw.com | Attorneys for Fry's Metals Inc. and Specialty Coatings Systems Eft |
| Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP | David M Dunn | 1333 New Hampshire Ave NW | | Washington | DC | 20036 | | 202-887-4000 | 202-887-4288 | ddunn@akingump.com | Counsel to TAI Unsecured Creditors Liquidating Trust |
| Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP | Ira S Dizengoff | 590 Madison Ave | | New York | NY | 10022-2524 | | 212-872-1000 | 212-872-1002 | jdizengoff@akingump.com | Counsel to TAI Unsecured Creditors Liquidating Trust |
| Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP | Peter J. Gurfein | 2029 Centure Park East | Suite 2400 | Los Angeles | CA | 90067 | | 310-552-6696 | 310-229-1001 | pgurfein@akingump.com | Counsel to Wamco, Inc. |
| Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP | Michael S. Greger | 1900 Main Street | Fifth Floor | Irvine | CA | 92614-7321 | | 949-553-1313 | 949-553-8354 | mgreger@allenmatkins.com | Counsel to Kilroy Realty, L.P. |
| Alston & Bird, LLP | Craig E. Freeman | 90 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10016 | | 212-210-9400 | 212-922-3891 | craig.freeman@alston.com | Counsel to Cadence Innovation, LLC |
| Alston & Bird, LLP | Dennis J. Connolly; David A. Wender | 1201 West Peachtree Street | | Atlanta | GA | 30309 | | 404-881-7269 | 404-253-8554 | dconnolly@alston.com dwender@alston.com | Counsel to Cadence Innovation, LLC, PD George Co, Furukawa Electric Companay, Ltd., and Furukawa Electric North America APD, Inc. |
| Ambrake Corporation | Brandon J. Kessinger | 300 Ring Road | | Elizabethtown | KY | 42701 | | 270-234-5428 | 270-737-3044 | bkessinger@akebono-usa.com | Representative for Ambrake Corporation |
| American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc. | Steven R. Keyes | One Dauch Drive, Mail Code 6E-2-42 | | Detroit | MI | 48243 | | 313-758-4868 | | steven.keyes@aam.com | Representative for American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc. |
| Andrews Kurth LLP | Gogi Malik | 1717 Main Street | Suite 3700 | Dallas | TX | 75201 | | 214-659-4400 | 214-659-4401 | gogimalik@andrewskurth.com | Counsel to ITW Mortgage Investments IV, Inc. |
| Andrews Kurth LLP | Monica S. Blacker | 1717 Main Street | Suite 3700 | Dallas | TX | 75201 | | 214-659-4400 | 214-659-4401 | mblacker@andrewskurth.com | Counsel to ITW Mortgage Investments IV, Inc. |
| Anglin, Flewelling, Rasmussen, Campbell & Trytten, LLP | Mark T. Flewelling | 199 South Los Robles Avenue | Suite 600 | Pasadena | CA | 91101-2459 | | 626-535-1900 | 626-577-7764 | mtf@afrc.com | Counsel to Stanley Electric Sales of America, Inc. |
| Anthony Ostlund & Baer PA | John B Orenstein | 3600 Wells Fargo Ctr | 90 S 7th St | Minneapolis | MN | 55402 | | 612-349-6969 | 612-349-6996 | jorenstein@aoblaw.com | Attorneys for Whitebox Hedged High Yield Partners, LP |
| Arent Fox PLLC | Mitchell D. Cohen | 1675 Broadway | | New York | NY | 10019 | | 212-484-3900 | 212-484-3990 | Cohen.Mitchell@arentfox.com | Counsel to Pullman Bank and Trust Company |
| Arent Fox PLLC | Robert M. Hirsh | 1675 Broadway | | New York | NY | 10019 | | 212-484-3900 | 212-484-3990 | Hirsh.Robert@arentfox.com | Counsel to Pullman Bank and Trust Company |
| Arnall Golden Gregory LLP | Darryl S. Laddin | 171 17th Street NW | Suite 2100 | Atlanta | GA | 30363-1031 | | 404-873-8120 | 404-873-8121 | dladdin@agg.com | Counsel to Daishinku (America) Corp. d/b/a KDS America ("Daishinku"), SBC Telecommunications, Inc. (SBC) |
| Arnold & Porter LLP | Joel M. Gross | 555 Twelfth Street, N.W. | | Washington | D.C. | 20004-1206 | | 202-942-5000 | 202-942-5999 | joel_gross@aporter.com | Counsel to CSX Transportation, Inc. |
| ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc. | Carl Galloway | 250 Royal Oak Road | | Cambridge | Ontario | N3H 4R6 | Canada | 519-653-4483 | 519-650-6520 | cgalloway@atsautomation.com | Company |
| Balch & Bingham LLP | Eric T. Ray | PO Box 306 | | Birmingham | AL | 35201 | | 205-251-8100 | 205-226-8799 | eray@balch.com | Attorney for Alabama Power Company |
| Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP | Kimberly J. Robinson | 200 W Madison St Ste 3900 | | Chicago | IL | 60606 | | 312-984-3100 | 312-984-3150 | kim.robinson@bfkn.com | Counsel to Motion Industries, Inc., EIS, Inc. and Johnson Industries, Inc. |
| Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP | William J. Barrett | 200 W Madison St Ste 3900 | | Chicago | IL | 60606 | | 312-984-3100 | 312-984-3150 | william.barrett@bfkn.com | Counsel to Motion Industries, Inc., EIS, Inc. and Johnson Industries, Inc. |
| Barnes & Thornburg LLP | Alan K. Mills | 11 S. Meridian Street | | Indianapolis | IN | 46204 | | 317-236-1313 | 317-231-7433 | alan.mills@btlaw.com | Counsel to Mays Chemical Company |
| Barnes & Thornburg LLP | John T. Gregg | 300 Ottawa Avenue, NW | Suite 500 | Grand Rapids | MI | 49503 | | 616-742-3930 | 626-742-3999 | john.gregg@btlaw.com | Counsel to Priority Health; Clarion Corporation of America |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------|-------|---------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Barnes & Thornburg LLP | Mark R. Owens | 11 S. Meridian Street | | Indianapolis | IN | 46204 | | 317-236-1313 | 317-231-7433 | mark.owens@btlaw.com | Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America |
| Barnes & Thornburg LLP | Michael K. McCrory | 11 S. Meridian Street | | Indianapolis | IN | 46204 | | 317-236-1313 | 317-231-7433 | michael.mccrory@btlaw.com | Counsel to Gibbs Die Casting Corporation; Clarion Corporation of America |
| Barnes & Thornburg LLP | Patrick E. Mears | 300 Ottawa Avenue, NW | Suite 500 | Grand Rapids | MI | 49503 | | 616-742-3936 | 616-742-3999 | pmears@btlaw.com | Counsel to Armada Rubber Manufacturing Company, Bank of America Leasing & Leasing & Capital, LLC, & AutoCam Corporation |
| Barnes & Thornburg LLP | Wendy D. Brewer | 11 S. Meridian Street | | Indianapolis | IN | 46204 | | 317-236-1313 | 317-231-7433 | wendy.brewer@btlaw.com | Counsel to Gibbs Die Casting Corporation |
| Bartlett Hackett Feinberg P.C. | Frank F. McGinn | 155 Federal Street | 9th Floor | Boston | MA | 02110 | | 617-422-0200 | 617-422-0383 | ffm@bostonbusinesslaw.com | Counsel to Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc. |
| Beeman Law Office | Thomas M Beeman | 33 West 10th Street | Suite 200 | Anderson | IN | 46016 | | 765-640-1330 | 765-640-1332 | tom@beemanlawoffice.com | Counsel to Madison County (Indiana) Treasurer |
| Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman | Hannah E. Greenwald | 1285 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10019 | | 212-554-1411 | 2125541444 | hannah@blbglaw.com | Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforfs ABP |
| Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman | John P. Coffey | 1285 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10019 | | 212-554-1409 | 2125541444 | sean@blbglaw.com | Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforfs ABP |
| Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman | Wallace A. Showman | 1285 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10019 | | 212-554-1429 | 212-554-1444 | wallace@blbglaw.com | Counsel to SANLUIS Rassini International, Inc.; Rassini, S.A. de C.V. |
| Bialson, Bergen & Schwab | Kenneth T. Law, Esq. | 2600 El Camino Real | Suite 300 | Palo Alto | CA | 94306 | | 650-857-9500 | 650-494-2738 | klaw@bbslaw.com | Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc.. |
| Bialson, Bergen & Schwab | Lawrence M. Schwab, Esq. | 2600 El Camino Real | Suite 300 | Palo Alto | CA | 94306 | | 650-857-9500 | 650-494-2738 | lschwab@bbslaw.com | Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc.; Soletron Corporation; Soletron De Mexico SA de CV; Soletron Invtrotronics; Coherent, Inc.; Veritas Software Corporation |
| Bialson, Bergen & Schwab | Patrick M. Costello, Esq. | 2600 El Camino Real | Suite 300 | Palo Alto | CA | 94306 | | 650-857-9500 | 650-494-2738 | pcostello@bbslaw.com | Soletron Corporation; Soletron de Mexico SA de CV; Soletron Invtrotronics and Coherent, Inc. |
| Bialson, Bergen & Schwab | Thomas M. Gaa | 2600 El Camino Real | Suite 300 | Palo Alto | CA | 94306 | | 650-857-9500 | 650-494-2738 | tgaa@bbslaw.com | Counsel to Veritas Software Corporation |
| Bingham McHale LLP | John E Taylor Whitney L Mosby | 10 West Market Street | Suite 2700 | Indianapolis | IN | 46204 | | 317-635-8900 | 317-236-9907 | jtaylor@binghamchale.com wmosby@binghamchale.com | Counsel to Universal Tool & Engineering co., Inc. and M.G. Corporation |
| Blank Rome LLP | Marc E. Richards | The Chrysler Building | 405 Lexington Avenue | New York | NY | 10174 | | 212-885-5000 | 212-885-5002 | mrichards@blankrome.com | Counsel to DENSO International America, Inc. |
| Bodman LLP | Ralph E. McDowell | 100 Renaissance Center | 34th Floor | Detroit | MI | 48243 | | 313-393-7592 | 313-393-7579 | rmcdowell@bodmanllp.com | Counsel to Freudenberg-NOK; General Partnership; Freudenberg-NOK, Inc.; Flextech, Inc.; Vibracoustic de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.; Lear Corporation; American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc. |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|---|---|-----------------------|---------------|-------|------------|---------|-------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC | Camille W. Hill | One Lincoln Center | 18th Floor | Syracuse | NY | 13202 | | 315-218-8000 | 315-218-8100 | chill@bsk.com | Counsel to Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessy Plastics Corp. |
| Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC | Charles J. Sullivan | One Lincoln Center | 18th Floor | Syracuse | NY | 13202 | | 315-218-8000 | 315-218-8100 | csullivan@bsk.com | Counsel to Diemolding Corporation |
| Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC | Stephen A. Donato | One Lincoln Center | 18th Floor | Syracuse | NY | 13202 | | 315-218-8000 | 315-218-8100 | sdonato@bsk.com | Counsel to Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessy Plastics Corp; Diemolding Corporation |
| Bose McKinney & Evans LLP | Michael A Trentadue Carina M de la Torre | 2700 First Indiana Plz | 135 N Pennsylvania St | Indianapolis | IN | 46204 | | 317-684-5000 | 317-684-5173 | mtrentadue@boselaw.com cdeletorre@boselaw.com | Counsel to Decatur Plastics Products, Inc. and Eikenberry & Associates, Inc.; Lorentson Manufacturing, Company, Inc.; Lorentson Tooling, Inc.; L & S Tools, Inc.; Hewitt Tool & Die, Inc. |
| Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC | Austin L. McMullen | 1600 Division Street, Suite 700 | PO Box 34005 | Nashville | TN | 37203 | | 615-252-2307 | 615-252-6307 | amcmullen@bccb.com | Counsel to Calsonic Kansei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd. |
| Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC | Roger G. Jones | 1600 Division Street, Suite 700 | PO Box 34005 | Nashville | TN | 37203 | | 615-252-2307 | 615-252-6307 | rgjones@bccb.com | Counsel to Calsonic Kansei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd. |
| Brembo S.p.A. | Massimiliano Cini | Administration Department via Brembo 25 | 24035 Curno BG | Bergamo | | | Italy | 00039-035-605-529 | 0039-035-605-671 | massimiliano_cini@brembo.it | Creditor |
| Brown & Connery, LLP | Donald K. Ludman | 6 North Broad Street | | Woodbury | NJ | 08096 | | 856-812-8900 | 856-853-9933 | dludman@brownconnery.com | Counsel to SAP America, Inc. |
| Buchalter Nemer, A Profesional Corporation | Shawn M. Christianson | 333 Market Street | 25th Floor | San Francisco | CA | 94105-2126 | | 415-227-0900 | 415-227-0770 | schristianson@buchalter.com | Counsel to Oracle USA, Inc.; Oracle Credit Corporation |
| Burr & Forman LLP | Michael Leo Hall | 420 North Twentieth Street | Suite 3100 | Birmingham | AL | 35203 | | (205) 458-5367 | (205) 244-5651 | mhall@burr.com | Counsel to Mercedes-Benz U.S. International, Inc |
| Cadwalader Wickersham & Taft LLP | Jeannine D'Amico | 1201 F St NW Ste 1100 | | Washington | DC | 20004 | | 202-862-2452 | 202-862-2400 | jeannine.damico@cw.com | Attorneys for the Audit Committee of Dephi Corporation |
| Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP | Jonathan Greenberg | 80 Pine Street | | New York | NY | 10005 | | 212-701-3000 | 732-205-6777 | jonathan.greenberg@BASF.COM | Counsel to Engelhard Corporation |
| Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP | Robert Usadi | 80 Pine Street | | New York | NY | 10005 | | 212-701-3000 | 212-269-5420 | rusadi@cahill.com | Counsel to Engelhard Corporation |
| Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLC | Jean R. Robertson, Esq. | 1400 McDonald Investment Ctr | 800 Superior Ave | Cleveland | OH | 44114 | | 216-622-8404 | 216-241-0816 | jrobertson@calfee.com | Counsel to Brush Engineered materials |
| Calinoff & Katz, LLP | Dorothy H. Marinis-Riggio | 140 East 45th Street | 17th Floor | New York | NY | 10017 | | 212-826-8800 | 212-644-5123 | driggio@candklaw.com | Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Alumunim Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc. |
| Carson Fischer, P.L.C. | Robert A. Weisberg | 300 East Maple Road | Third Floor | Birmingham | MI | 48009-6317 | | 248-644-4840 | 248-644-1832 | rweisberg@carsonfischer.com | Counsel to Cascade Die Casting Group, Inc. |
| Carter Ledyard & Milburn LLP | Aaron R. Cahn | 2 Wall Street | | New York | NY | 10005 | | 212-732-3200 | 212-732-3232 | cahn@clm.com | Counsel to STMicroelectronics, Inc. |
| Chadbourne & Parke LLP | Douglas Deutsch, Esq. | 30 Rockefeller Plaza | | New York | NY | 10112 | | 212-408-5100 | 212-541-5369 | ddeutsch@chadbourne.com | Counsel to EagleRock Capital Management, LLC |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|---|---|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------|------------|---------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Clark Hill PLC | Joel D. Applebaum | 500 Woodward Avenue | Suite 3500 | Detroit | MI | 48226-3435 | | 313-965-8300 | 313-965-8252 | japplebaum@clarkhill.com | Counsel to 1st Choice Heating & Cooling, Inc.; BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC |
| Clark Hill PLC | Shannon Deeby | 500 Woodward Avenue | Suite 3500 | Detroit | MI | 48226-3435 | | 313-965-8300 | 313-965-8252 | sdeeby@clarkhill.com | Counsel to BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC |
| Clark Hill PLLC | Robert D. Gordon | 500 Woodward Avenue | Suite 3500 | Detroit | MI | 48226-3435 | | 313-965-8572 | 313-965-8252 | rgordon@clarkhill.com | Counsel to ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc. |
| Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP | Deborah M. Buell | One Liberty Plaza | | New York | NY | 10006 | | 212-225-2000 | 212-225-3999 | maofiling@cgsh.com | Counsel to Arneses Electricos Automotrices, S.A.de C.V.; Cordaflex, S.A. de C.V. |
| Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton LLP | James L. Bromley | One Liberty Plaza | | New York | NY | 10006 | | 212-225-2000 | 212-225-3999 | maofiling@cgsh.com | Counsel to Bear, Stearns, Co. Inc.; Citigroup, Inc.; Credit Suisse First Boston; Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.; Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.; JP Morgan Chase & Co.; Lehman Brothers, Inc.; Merrill Lynch & Co.; Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc.; UBS Securities, LLC |
| Cohen & Grigsby, P.C. | Thomas D. Maxson | 11 Stanwix Street | 15th Floor | Pittsburgh | PA | 15222-1319 | | 412-297-4706 | 412-209-1837 | tmaxson@cohenlaw.com | Counsel to Nova Chemicals, Inc. |
| Cohen, Weiss & Simon LLP | Joseph J. Vitale Babette Ceccotti | 330 West 42nd Street | | New York | NY | 10036 | | 212-356-0238 | 646-473-8238 | jvitale@cwsny.com bceccotti@cwsny.com | Counsel to International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agriculture Implement Works of America (UAW) |
| Cohn Birnbaum & Shea P.C. | Scott D. Rosen, Esq. | 100 Pearl Street, 12th Floor | | Hartford | CT | 06103 | | 860-493-2200 | 860-727-0361 | srosen@cb-shea.com | Counsel to Floyd Manufacturing Co., Inc. |
| Conlin, McKenney & Philbrick, P.C. | Bruce N. Elliott | 350 South Main Street | Suite 400 | Ann Arbor | MI | 48104 | | 734-971-9000 | 734-971-9001 | Elliott@cmplaw.com | Counsel to Brazeway, Inc. |
| Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP | Jeffrey C. Wisler, Esq. | 1007 N. Orange Street | P.O. Box 2207 | Wilmington | DE | 19899 | | 302-658-9141 | 302-658-0380 | jwisler@cblh.com | Counsel to ORIX Warren, LLC |
| Contrarian Capital Management, L.L.C. | Mark Lee, Janice Stanton, Bill Raine, Seth Lax | 411 West Putnam Avenue | Suite 225 | Greenwich | CT | 06830 | | 203-862-8200 (230) 862-8231 | 203-629-1977 (203) 629-1977 | mlee@contrariancapital.com jstanton@contrariancapital.com wraime@contrariancapital.com solax@contrariancapital.com | Counsel to Contrarian Capital Management, L.L.C. |
| Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA | Ronald S. Pretekin | 33 West First Street | Suite 600 | Dayton | OH | 45402 | | 937-223-8177 | 937-223-6705 | Pretekin@coollaw.com | Counsel to Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company |
| Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA | Sylvie J. Derrien | 33 West First Street | Suite 600 | Dayton | OH | 45402 | | 937-223-8177 | 937-223-6705 | derrien@coollaw.com | Counsel to Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company |
| Cornell University | Nancy H. Pagliaro | Office of University Counsel | 300 CCC Building, Garden Avenue | Ithaca | NY | 14853-2601 | | 607-255-5124 | 607-254-3556 | nhp4@cornell.edu | Paralegal/Counsel to Cornell University |
| Covington & Burling | Susan Power Johnston | 620 Eighth Ave | | New York | NY | 10018 | | 212-841-1005 | 646-441-9005 | sjohnston@cov.com | Special Counsel to the Debtor |
| Cox, Hodgman & Giarmarco, P.C. | Aaron R. Marcu | 101 W. Big Beaver Road | | Troy | MI | 48084-5280 | | 248-457-7000 | 248-457-7001 | swalsh@chglaw.com | Counsel to Nissinbo Automotive Corporation |
| Curtin & Heefner, LLP | Sean M. Walsh, Esq. | Tenth Floor Columbia Center | | | | | | | | | Counsel to SPS Technologies, LLC; NSS Technologies, Inc.; SPS Technologies Waterford Company; Greer Stop Nut, Inc. |
| | Daniel P. Mazo | 250 N. Pennsylvania Avenue | | Morrisville | PA | 19067 | | 215-736-2521 | 215-736-3647 | dpm@curtinheefner.com | |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--|--|
| Curtin & Heefner, LLP | Robert Szwajkos | 250 N. Pennsylvania Avenue | | Morrisville | PA | 19067 | | 215-736-2521 | 215-736-3647 | rsz@curtinheefner.com | Counsel to SPS Technologies, LLC; NSS Technologies, Inc.; SPS Technologies Waterford Company; Greer Stop Nut, Inc. |
| Damon & Morey LLP | William F. Savino | 1000 Cathedral Place | 298 Main Street | Buffalo | NY | 14202-4096 | | 716-856-5500 | 716-856-5510 | wsavino@damonmorey.com | Counsel to Relco, Inc.; The Durham Companies, Inc. |
| Day Pitney LLP | Richard M. Meth | P.O. Box 1945 | | Morristown | NJ | 07962-1945 | | 973-966-6300 | 973-966-1015 | rmeth@daypitney.com | Counsel to Marshall E. Campbell Company |
| Day Pitney LLP | Ronald S. Beacher Conrad K. Chiu | 7 Times Square | | New York | NY | 10036 | | 212-297-5800 | 212-916-2940 | rbeacher@daypitney.com cchiu@daypitney.com | Counsel to IBJTC Business Credit Corporation, as successor to IBJ Whitehall Business Credit Corporation |
| Denso International America, Inc. | Carol Sowa | 24777 Denso Drive | | Southfield | MI | 48086 | | 248-372-8531 | 248-350-7772 | carol_sowa@denso-diam.com | Counsel to Denso International America, Inc. |
| Dinsmore & Shohl LLP | John Persiani | 1900 Chemed Center | 255 East Fifth Street | Cincinnati | OH | 45202 | | 513-977-8200 | 513-977-8141 | john.persiani@dinslaw.com | Counsel to The Procter & Gamble Company |
| DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP | Richard M. Kremen Maria Ellena Chavez-Ruark | The Marbury Building | 6225 Smith Avenue | Baltimore | Maryland | 21209-3600 | | 410-580-3000 | 410-580-3001 | richard.kremen@dlapiper.com | Counsel to Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. & Constellation NewEnergy - Gas Division, LLC |
| Dreier LLP | Maura I. Russell Wendy G. Marcari | 499 Park Ave | 14th Fl | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-328-6100 | 212-652-3863 | iquerrier@dreierllp.com | Counsel to SPCP Group LLC |
| Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP | Andrew C. Kassner | 18th and Cherry Streets | | Philadelphia | PA | 19103 | | 215-988-2700 | 215-988-2757 | andrew.kassner@dbr.com | Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P. |
| Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP | David B. Aaronson | 18th and Cherry Streets | | Philadelphia | PA | 19103 | | 215-988-2700 | 215-988-2757 | david.aaronson@dbr.com | Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P. and Quaker Chemical Corporation |
| Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP | Janice B. Grubin | 140 Broadway 39th Fl | | New York | NY | 10005-1116 | | 212-248-3140 | 212-248-3141 | janice.grubin@dbr.com | Counsel to Vanguard Distributors, Inc. |
| Duane Morris LLP | Joseph H. Lemkin | 744 Broad Street | Suite 1200 | Newark | NJ | 07102 | | 973-424-2000 | 973-424-2001 | jhlemkin@duanemorris.com | Counsel to NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC; and Hosiden America Corporation |
| Duane Morris LLP | Margery N. Reed, Esq. | 30 South 17th Street | | Philadelphia | PA | 19103-4196 | | 215-979-1000 | 215-979-1020 | dmdelphi@duanemorris.com | Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company |
| Duane Morris LLP | Wendy M. Simkulak, Esq. | 30 South 17th Street | | Philadelphia | PA | 19103-4196 | | 215-979-1000 | 215-979-1020 | wmsimkulak@duanemorris.com | Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company |
| Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott LLC | Michael G. Busenkell | 300 Delaware Avenue | Suite 1360 | Wilmington | DE | 19801 | | 302-425-0430 | 302-425-0432 | mbusenkell@eckertseamans.com | Counsel to Chicago Miniature Optoelectronic Technologies, Inc. |
| Electronic Data Systems Corporation | Ayala Hassell | 5400 Legacy Dr. | Mail Stop H3-3A-05 | Plano | TX | 75024 | | 212-715-9100 | 212-715-8000 | ayala.hassell@eds.com | Representative for Electronic Data Systems Corporation |
| Entergy Services, Inc. | Alan H. Katz | 639 Loyola Ave 26th Fl | | New Orleans | LA | 70113 | | | | akatz@entergy.com | Assistant General Counsel to Entergy Services, Inc. |
| Erman, Teicher, Miller, Zucker & Freedman, P.C. | David H. Freedman | 400 Galleria Officentre | Ste. 444 | Southfield | MI | 48034 | | 248-827-4100 | 248-827-4106 | dfreedman@ermanteicher.com | Counsel to Doshi Prettl International, LLC |
| Ettelman & Hochheiser, P.C. | Gary Ettelman | c/o Premium Cadillac | 77 Main Street | New Rochelle | NY | 10801 | | 516-227-6300 | 516-227-6307 | gettelman@e-hlaw.com | Counsel to Jon Ballin |
| Fagel Haber LLC | Lauren Newman | 55 East Monroe | 40th Floor | Chicago | IL | 60603 | | 312-346-7500 | 312-580-2201 | lnewman@fagelhaber.com | Counsel to Aluminum International, Inc. |
| Filardi Law Offices LLC | Charles J. Filardi, Jr., Esq. | 65 Trumbull Street | Second Floor | New Haven | CT | 06510 | | 203-562-8588 | 866-890-3061 | charles@filardi-law.com | Counsel to Federal Express Corporation |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--|--|
| Finkel Goldstein Rosenbloom & Nash LLP | Ted J. Donovan | 26 Broadway | Suite 711 | New York | NY | 10004 | | 212-344-2929 | 212-422-6836 | tdonovan@finkgold.com | Counsel to Pillarhouse (U.S.A.) Inc. |
| Foley & Lardner LLP | David G Dragich | 500 Woodward Ave Suite 2700 | | Detroit | MI | 48226-3489 | | 313-234-7100 | 313-234-2800 | ddragich@foley.com | Counsel to Internet Corporation |
| Foley & Lardner LLP | Jill L. Murch | 321 North Clark Street | Suite 2800 | Chicago | IL | 60610-4764 | | 312-832-4500 | 312-832-4700 | jmurch@foley.com | Counsel to Kuss Corporation |
| Foley & Lardner LLP | John A. Simon | One Detroit Center | 500 Woodward Ave Suite 2700 | Detroit | MI | 48226-3489 | | 313-234-7100 | 313-234-2800 | jsimon@foley.com | Counsel to Ernst & Young LLP |
| Foley & Lardner LLP | Michael P. Richman | 90 Park Avenue | 37th Floor | New York | NY | 10016-1314 | | 212-682-7474 | 212-687-2329 | mrichman@foley.com | Counsel to Ernst & Young LLP |
| Fox Rothschild LLP | Fred Stevens | 13 East 37th Street | Suite 800 | New York | NY | 10016 | | 212-682-7575 | 212-682-4218 | fstevens@foxrothschild.com | Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products, Inc. |
| Fox Rothschild LLP | Michael J. Viscount, Jr. | 1301 Atlantic Avenue | Suite 400 | Atlantic City | NJ | 08401-7212 | | 609-348-4515 | 609-348-6834 | mviscount@foxrothschild.com | Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products, Inc. |
| Frederick T. Rikkers | | 419 Venture Court | P.O. Box 930555 | Verona | WI | 53593 | | 608-848-6350 | 608-848-6357 | fridders@rikkerslaw.com | Counsel to Southwest Metal Finishing, Inc. |
| Fulbright & Jaworski LLP | David A Rosenzweig | 666 Fifth Avenue | | New York | NY | 10103-3198 | | 212-318-3000 | 212-318-3400 | drosenzweig@fulbright.com | Counsel to Southwest Research Institute |
| Fulbright & Jaworski LLP | Michael M Parker | 300 Convent St Ste 2200 | | San Antonio | TX | 78205 | | 210-224-5575 | 210-270-7205 | mparker@fulbright.com | Attorney for Solvay Fluorides, LLC |
| Garvey Schubert Barer | Roberto Carrillo | 100 Wall St 20th Fl | | New York | NY | 10005 | | 212-965-4511 | 212-334-1278 | rcarrillo@gsblaw.com | Counsel to Southwest Research Institute |
| Gibbons P.C. | David N. Crapo | One Gateway Center | | Newark | NJ | 07102-5310 | | 973-596-4523 | 973-639-6244 | dcrapo@qibbonslaw.com | Attorney for Solvay Fluorides, LLC |
| Goldberg, Stinnett, Meyers & Davis | Merle C. Meyers | 44 Montgomery Street | Suite 2900 | San Francisco | CA | 94104 | | 415-362-5045 | 415-362-2392 | mmeyers@qsmldlaw.com | Counsel to Southwest Research Institute |
| Goodwin Proctor LLP | Allan S. Brilliant | 599 Lexington Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-813-8800 | 212-355-3333 | abrilliant@goodwinproctor.com | Attorney's for Tecnomec S.r.L. |
| Goodwin Proctor LLP | Craig P. Druehl | 599 Lexington Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-813-8800 | 212-355-3333 | cdruehl@goodwinproctor.com | Counsel to Epcos, Inc. |
| Gorlick, Kravitz & Listhaus, P.C. | Barbara S. Mehlsack | 17 State Street | 4th Floor | New York | NY | 10004 | | 212-269-2500 | 212-269-2540 | bmehlsack@gklaw.com | Counsel to Alps Automotive, Inc. |
| Goulston & Storrs, P.C. | Peter D. Bilowz | 400 Atlantic Avenue | | Boston | MA | 02110-333 | | 617-482-1776 | 617-574-4112 | pbilowz@goulstonstorrs.com | Counsel to UGS Corp. |
| Grant & Eisenhofer P.A. | Jay W. Eisenhofer | 45 Rockefeller Center | 650 Fifth Avenue | New York | NY | 10111 | | 212-755-6501 | 212-755-6503 | jeisenhofer@gelaw.com | Counsel to UGS Corp. |
| Grant & Eisenhofer P.A. | Sharan Nirmul | 1201 North Market Street | Suite 2100 | Wilmington | DE | 19801 | | 302-622-7000 | 302-622-7100 | snirmul@gelaw.com | Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10; International Union of Operating Engineers Local Union Nos. 18, 101 and 832 |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C. | Matthew R. Robbins | 1555 N. RiverCenter Drive | Suite 202 | Milwaukee | WI | 53212 | | 414-271-4500 | 414-271-6308 | mrr@previant.com | Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10 |
| Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C. | Timothy C. Hall | 1555 N. RiverCenter Drive | Suite 202 | Milwaukee | WI | 53212 | | 414-271-4500 | 414-271-6308 | tch@previant.com | Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10 |
| Graydon Head & Ritchey LLP | J. Michael Debbler, Susan M. Argo | 1900 Fifth Third Center | 511 Walnut Street | Cincinnati | OH | 45202 | | 513-621-6464 | 513-651-3836 | mdebbler@graydon.com | Counsel to Grote Industries; Batesville Tool & Die; PIA Group; Reliable Castings |
| Greenberg Traurig, LLP | Maria J. DiConza | MetLife Bldg | 200 Park Avenue | New York | NY | 10166 | | 212-801-9200 | 212-801-6400 | diconzam@gtlaw.com | Counsel to Samtech Corporation |
| Greenberg Traurig, LLP | Shari L. Heyen | 1000 Louisiana | Suite 1800 | Houston | TX | 77002 | | 713-374-3500 | 713-374-3505 | heyens@gtlaw.com | Counsel to Samtech Corporation |
| Greensfelder, Hemker & Gale, P.C. | Cherie Macdonald J. Patrick Bradley | 10 S. Broadway | Suite 200 | St. Louis | MO | 63102 | | 314-241-9090 | 314-241-8624 | ckm@greensfelder.com jpb@greensfelder.com | Counsel to ARC Automotive, Inc. |
| Guaranty Bank | Herb Reiner | 8333 Douglas Avenue | | Dallas | TX | 75225 | | 214-360-2702 | 214-360-1940 | herb.reiner@guarantygroup.com | Counsel to American Finance Group, Inc. d/b/a Guaranty Capital Corporation |
| Halperin Battaglia Raicht, LLP | Alan D. Halperin Christopher J. Battaglia Julie D. Dyas | 555 Madison Avenue | 9th Floor | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-765-9100 | 212-765-0964 | cbattaglia@halperinlaw.net ahalperin@halperinlaw.net jdyas@halperinlaw.net | Counsel to Pacific Gas Turbine Center, LLC and Chromalloy Gas Turbine Corporation; ARC Automotive, Inc |
| Hancock & Estabrook LLP | R John Clark Esq | 1500 Tower I | PO Box 4976 | Syracuse | NY | 13221-4976 | | 315-471-3151 | 315-471-3167 | riclark@hancocklaw.com | Counsel to Alliance Precision Plastics Corporation |
| Harris D. Leinwand | Harris D. Leinwand | 350 Fifth Avenue | Suite 2418 | New York | NY | 10118 | | 212-725-7338 | 212-244-6219 | hleinwand@aol.com | Counsel to Baker Hughes Incorporated; Baker Petrolite Corporation |
| Haynes and Boone, LLP | Judith Elkin | 153 East 53rd Street | Suite 4900 | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-659-7300 | 212-918-8989 | judith.elkin@haynesboone.com | Counsel to Highland Capital Management, L.P. |
| Haynes and Boone, LLP | Lenard M. Parkins Kenric D. Kattner | 1 Houston Center | 1221 McKinney, Suite 2100 | Houston | TX | 77010 | | 713-547-2000 | 713-547-2600 | lenard.parkins@haynesboone.com kenric.kattner@haynesboone.com | Counsel to Highland Capital Management, L.P. |
| Heller Ehrman LLP | Timothy Mehok | Times Square Tower | Seven Times Square | New York | NY | 10036 | | 212-832-8300 | 212-763-7600 | timothy.mehok@hellerehrman.com | Counsel to @Road, Inc. |
| Herrick, Feinstein LLP | Paul Rubin | 2 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10016 | | 212-592-1448 | 212-545-3360 | prubin@herrick.com | Counsel to Canon U.S.A., Inc. and Schmidt Technology GmbH |
| Hewlett-Packard Company | Anne Marie Kennelly | 3000 Hanover St., M/S 1050 | | Palo Alto | CA | 94304 | | 650-857-6902 | 650-852-8617 | anne.kennelly@hp.com | Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company |
| Hewlett-Packard Company | Kenneth F. Higman | 2125 E. Katella Avenue | Suite 400 | Anaheim | CA | 92806 | | 714-940-7120 | 740-940-7539 | ken.higman@hp.com | Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company |
| Hewlett-Packard Company | Sharon Petrosino | 420 Mountain Avenue | | Murray Hill | NJ | 07974 | | 908-898-4760 | 908-898-4133 | sharon.petrosino@hp.com | Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company |
| Hiscock & Barclay, LLP | J. Eric Charlton | 300 South Salina Street | PO Box 4878 | Syracuse | NY | 13221-4878 | | 315-425-2716 | 315-425-8576 | echarlton@hiscockbarclay.com | Counsel to GW Plastics, Inc. |
| Hodgson Russ LLP | Julia S. Kreher | One M&T Plaza | Suite 2000 | Buffalo | NY | 14203 | | 716-848-1330 | 716-819-4645 | jkreher@hodgsonruss.com | Counsel to Hexcel Corporation |
| Hodgson Russ LLP | Stephen H. Gross, Esq. | 230 Park Avenue | 17th Floor | New York | NY | 10169 | | 212-751-4300 | 212-751-0928 | sgross@hodgsonruss.com | Counsel to Hexcel Corporation; Co Counsel for Yazaki North America, Inc. |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|---|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|-------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--|--|
| Hodgson Russ LLP | Stephen H. Gross, Esq. | 60 E 42nd St 37th Fl | | New York | NY | 10165-0150 | | 212-661-3535 | 212-972-1677 | sgross@hodgsonruss.com | Co-Counsel for Yazaki North America, Inc. |
| Hogan & Hartson L.L.P. | Edward C. Dolan | Columbia Square | 555 Thirteenth Street, N.W. | Washington | D.C. | 20004-1109 | | 202-637-5677 | 202-637-5910 | ecdolan@hhlaw.com | Counsel to Umicore Autocat Canada Corp. |
| Hogan & Hartson L.L.P. | Scott A. Golden | 875 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-918-3000 | 212-918-3100 | sagolden@hhlaw.com | Counsel to XM Satellite Radio Inc. |
| Holme Roberts & Owen, LLP | Elizabeth K. Flaagan | 1700 Lincoln | Suite 4100 | Denver | CO | 80203 | | 303-861-7000 | 303-866-0200 | elizabeth flaagan@hro.com | Counsel to CoorsTek, Inc.; Corus, L.P. |
| Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP | Donald T. Baty, Jr. | 2290 First National Building | 660 Woodward Avenue | Detroit | MI | 48226 | | 313-465-7314 | 313-465-7315 | dbaty@honigman.com | Counsel to Fujitsu Ten Corporation of America |
| Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP | E. Todd Sable | 2290 First National Building | 660 Woodward Avenue | Detroit | MI | 48226 | | 313-465-7548 | 313-465-7549 | tsable@honigman.com | Counsel to Valeo Climate Control Corp.; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Motors and Actuators Division; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Wipers Division; Valeo Switches & Detection System, Inc. |
| Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP | Seth A Drucker | 2290 First National Building | 660 Woodward Avenue Ste 2290 | Detroit | MI | 48226 | | 313-465-7626 | 313-465-7627 | sdrucker@honigman.com | Counsel for Valeo Climate Control, Corp. |
| Howard & Howard Attorneys PC | Lisa S Gretchko | 39400 Woodward Ave | Ste 101 | Bloomfield Hills | MI | 48304-5151 | | 248-723-0396 | 248-645-1568 | lgretchko@howardandhoward.com | Intellectual Property Counsel for Delphi Corporation, et al. |
| Howick, Westfall, McBryan & Kaplan, LLP | Louis G. McBryan | 3101 Tower Creek Parkway | Ste 600 One Tower Creek | Atlanta | GA | 30339 | | 678-384-7000 | 678-384-7034 | lmcbrylan@hwmklaw.com | Counsel to Vanguard Distributors, Inc. |
| Hunton & Williams LLP | Michael P. Massad, Jr. | Energy Plaza, 30th Floor | 1601 Bryan Street | Dallas | TX | 75201 | | 214-979-3000 | 214-880-0011 | mmassad@hunton.com | Counsel to RF Monolithics, Inc. |
| Hunton & Williams LLP | Steven T. Holmes | Energy Plaza, 30th Floor | 1601 Bryan Street | Dallas | TX | 75201 | | 214-979-3000 | 214-880-0011 | sholmes@hunton.com | Counsel to RF Monolithics, Inc. |
| Hurwitz & Fine P.C. | Ann E. Evanko | 1300 Liberty Building | | Buffalo | NY | 14202 | | 716-849-8900 | 716-855-0874 | ae@hurwitzfine.com | Counsel to Jiffy-Tite Co., Inc. |
| Ice Miller | Ben T. Caughey | One American Square | Box 82001 | Indianapolis | IN | 46282-0200 | | 317-236-2100 | 317-236-2219 | Ben.Caughey@icemiller.com | Counsel to Sumco, Inc. |
| Infineon Technologies North America Corporation | Greg Bibbes | 1730 North First Street | M/S 11305 | San Jose | CA | 95112 | | 408-501-6442 | 408-501-2488 | greg.bibbes@infineon.com | General Counsel & Vice President for Infineon Technologies North America Corporation |
| Infineon Technologies North America Corporation | Jeff Gillespie | 2529 Commerce Drive | Suite H | Kokomo | IN | 46902 | | 765-454-2146 | 765-456-3836 | jeffery.gillispie@infineon.com | Global Account Manager for Infineon Technologies North America |
| InPlay Technologies Inc | Heather Beshears | 234 South Extension Road | | Mesa | AZ | 85201 | | | | heather@inplaytechnologies.com | Creditor |
| International Union of Operating Engineers | Richard Griffin | 1125-17th Avenue, N.W. | | Washington | DC | 20036 | | 202-429-9100 | 202-778-2641 | rgriffin@iuoe.org | Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10; International Union of Operating Engineers Local Union Nos. 18, 101 and 832 |
| Jaffe, Raitt, Heuer & Weiss, P.C. | Paige E. Barr | 27777 Franklin Road | Suite 2500 | Southfield | MI | 48034 | | 248-351-3000 | 248-351-3082 | pbarr@jaffelaw.com | Counsel to Trutron Corporation |
| James R Scheuerle | Parmenter O'Toole | 601 Terrace Street | PO Box 786 | Muskegon | MI | 49443-0786 | | 231-722-1621 | 231-728-2206 | JRS@Parmenterlaw.com | Counsel to Port City Die Cast and Port City Group Inc |
| Jenner & Block LLP | Ronald R. Peterson | One IBM Plaza | | Chicago | IL | 60611 | | 312-222-9350 | 312-840-7381 | rpeterson@jenner.com | Counsel to SPX Corporation (Contech Division), Alcan Rolled Products-Ravenswood, LLC, Tenneco Inc. and Contech LLC |
| Jones Day | Scott J. Friedman | 222 East 41st Street | | New York | NY | 10017 | | 212-326-3939 | 212-755-7306 | sifriedman@jonesday.com | Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|-------|------------|---------|----------------|--------------|--|---|
| Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP | John P. Sieger, Esq. | 525 West Monroe Street | | Chicago | IL | 60661 | | 312-902-5200 | 312-577-4733 | john.sieger@kattenlaw.com | Counsel to TDK Corporation America and MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc. |
| Kaye Scholer LLP | Richard G Smolev | 425 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022-3598 | | 212-236-8000 | 212-836-8689 | rsmolev@kayescholer.com | Counsel to InPlay Technologies Inc |
| Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter Co., LPA | Kenneth R. Cookson | 65 East State Street | Suite 1800 | Columbus | OH | 43215 | | 614-426-5400 | 614-464-2634 | kcookson@keglerbrown.com | Counsel to Solution Recovery Services |
| Keller Rohrback L.L.P. | Lynn Lincoln Sarko Cari Campen Laufenberg Erin M. Riley | 1201 Third Avenue | Suite 3200 | Seattle | WA | 98101 | | 206-623-1900 | 206-623-3384 | lsarko@kellerrohrback.com claufenberg@kellerrohrback.com eriley@kellerrohrback.com | Counsel to Neal Folck, Greg Bartell, Donald McEvoy, Irene Polito, and Thomas Kessler, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated, and on behalf of the Delphi Savings-Stock Purchase Program for Salaried Employees in the United States and the Delphi Personal Savings Plan for Hourly-Rate Employees in the United States |
| Keller Rohrback P.L.C. | Gary A. Gotto | National Bank Plaza | 3101 North Central Avenue, Suite 900 | Phoenix | AZ | 85012 | | 602-248-0088 | 602-248-2822 | ggotto@kellerrohrback.com | Counsel to Neal Folck, Greg Bartell, Donald McEvoy, Irene Polito, and Thomas Kessler, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated, and on behalf of the Delphi Savings-Stock Purchase Program for Salaried Employees in the United States and the Delphi Personal Savings Plan for Hourly-Rate Employees in the United States |
| Kennedy, Jennick & Murray | Larry Magarik | 113 University Place | 7th Floor | New York | NY | 10003 | | 212-358-1500 | 212-358-0207 | lmagarik@kjmlabor.com | Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America |
| Kennedy, Jennick & Murray | Susan M. Jennik | 113 University Place | 7th Floor | New York | NY | 10003 | | 212-358-1500 | 212-358-0207 | sjennik@kjmlabor.com | Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America |
| Kennedy, Jennick & Murray | Thomas Kennedy | 113 University Place | 7th Floor | New York | NY | 10003 | | 212-358-1500 | 212-358-0207 | tkennedy@kjmlabor.com | Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America |
| King & Spalding, LLP | H. Slayton Dabney, Jr. Bill Dimos | 1185 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10036 | | 212-556-2100 | 212-556-2222 | sdabney@kslaw.com bdimos@kslaw.com | Counsel to KPMG LLP |
| Kirkland & Ellis LLP | Jim Stempel | 200 East Randolph Drive | | Chicago | IL | 60601 | | 312-861-2000 | 312-861-2200 | jstempel@kirkland.com | Counsel to Lunt Manufacturing Company |
| Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Nicholson Graham LLP | Edward M. Fox | 599 Lexington Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-536-4812 | 212-536-3901 | efox@klnq.com | Counsel to Wilmington Trust Company, as Indenture trustee |
| Klett Rooney Lieber & Schorling | DeWitt Brown | The Brandywine Building | 1000 West Street, Suite 1410 | Wilmington | DE | 19801 | | (302) 552-4200 | | dbrown@klettrooney.com | Counsel to Entergy |
| Krugliak, Wilkins, Griffiths & Dougherty CO., L.P.A. | Sam O. Simmerman | 4775 Munson Street N.W. | P.O. Box 36963 | Canton | OH | 44735-6963 | | 330-497-0700 | 330-497-4020 | sosimmerman@kwgd.com | Counsel to for Millwood, Inc. |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|---|------------------------|---|---------------------|--------------|-------|------------|---------|--------------|------------------|--|---|
| Kutak Rock LLP | Jay Selanders | 1010 Grand Blvd Ste 500 | | Kansas City | MO | 64106 | | 816-502-4617 | 816-960-0041 | jay.selanders@kutakrock.com | Counsel to DaimlerChrysler Corporation; DaimlerChrysler Motors Company, LLC; DaimlerChrysler Canada, Inc. |
| Kutchin & Rufo, P.C. | Edward D. Kutchin | Two Center Plaza | Suite 620 | Boston | MA | 02108-1906 | | 617-542-3000 | 617-542-3001 | ekutchin@kutchinrufo.com | Counsel to Parlex Corporation |
| Kutchin & Rufo, P.C. | Kerry R. Northrup | Two Center Plaza | Suite 620 | Boston | MA | 02108-1906 | | 617-542-3000 | 617-542-3001 | knorthrup@kutchinrufo.com | Counsel to Parlex Corporation |
| Lambert, Leser, Isackson, Cook & Guinta, P.C. | Susan M. Cook | 309 Davidson Building | PO Box 835 | Bay City | MI | 48707-0835 | | 989-893-3518 | | smcook@lambertleser.com | Counsel to Linamar Corporation |
| Latham & Watkins | Erika Ruiz | 885 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-906-1200 | 212-751-4864 | erika.ruiz@lw.com | UCC Professional |
| Latham & Watkins | Henry P. Baer, Jr. | 885 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-906-1200 | 212-751-4864 | henry.baer@lw.com | UCC Professional |
| Latham & Watkins | Mark A. Broude | 885 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-906-1384 | 212-751-4864 | mark.broude@lw.com | UCC Professional |
| Latham & Watkins | Michael J. Riela | 885 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-906-1200 | 212-751-4864 | michael.riela@lw.com | UCC Professional |
| Latham & Watkins | Mitchell A. Seider | 885 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-906-1200 | 212-751-4864 | mitchell.seider@lw.com | UCC Professional |
| Law Offices of Michael O'Hayer | Michael O'Hayer Esq | 22 N Walnut Street | | West Chester | PA | 19380 | | 610-738-1230 | 610-738-1217 | mkohayer@aol.com | Counsel to A-1 Specialized Services and Supplies Inc |
| Lewis and Roca LLP | Rob Charles, Esq. | One South Church Street | Suite 700 | Tucson | AZ | 85701 | | 520-629-4427 | 520-879-4705 | rcharles@lrlaw.com | Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc. |
| Lewis and Roca LLP | Susan M. Freeman, Esq. | 40 North Central Avenue | Suite 1900 | Phoenix | AZ | 85004-4429 | | 602-262-5756 | 602-734-3824 | sfreeman@lrlaw.com | Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc. |
| Linear Technology Corporation | John England, Esq. | General Counsel for Linear Technology Corporation | 1630 McCarthy Blvd. | Milpitas | CA | 95035-7417 | | 408-432-1900 | 408-434-0507 | jengland@linear.com | Counsel to Linear Technology Corporation |
| Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP | Diane W. Sanders | 1949 South IH 35 (78741) | P.O. Box 17428 | Austin | TX | 78760-7428 | | 512-447-6675 | 512-443-5114 | austin.bankruptcy@publicans.com | Counsel to Cameron County, Brownsville ISD |
| Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP | Elizabeth Weller | 2323 Bryan Street | Suite 1600 | Dallas | TX | 75201 | | 214-880-0089 | 4692215002 | dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com | Counsel to Dallas County and Tarrant County |
| Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP | John P. Dillman | P.O. Box 3064 | | Houston | TX | 77253-3064 | | 713-844-3478 | 713-844-3503 | houston_bankruptcy@publicans.com | Counsel in Charge for Taxing Authorities: Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District, City of Houston, Harris County |
| Loeb & Loeb LLP | P. Gregory Schwed | 345 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10154-0037 | | 212-407-4000 | | gschwed@loeb.com | Counsel to Creditor The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc. and Proposed Auditor Deloitte & Touche, LLP |
| Loeb & Loeb LLP | William M. Hawkins | 345 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10154 | | 212-407-4000 | 212-407-4990 | whawkins@loeb.com | Counsel to Industrial Ceramics Corporation |
| Lord, Bissel & Brook | Timothy S. McFadden | 115 South LaSalle Street | | Chicago | IL | 60603 | | 312-443-0370 | 312-896-6394 | tmcfadden@lordbissell.com | Counsel to Methode Electronics, Inc. |
| Lord, Bissel & Brook | Timothy W. Brink | 115 South LaSalle Street | | Chicago | IL | 60603 | | 312-443-1832 | 312-443-896-6432 | tbrink@lordbissell.com | Counsel to Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc. |
| Lord, Bissel & Brook LLP | Kevin J. Walsh | 885 Third Avenue | 26th Floor | New York | NY | 10022-4802 | | 212-947-8304 | 212-947-1202 | kwash@lordbissell.com | Counsel to Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc. and Methode Electronics, Inc. |
| Lowenstein Sandler PC | Bruce S. Nathan | 1251 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10020 | | 212-262-6700 | 212-262-7402 | bnathan@lowenstein.com | Counsel to Daewoo International (America) Corp. |
| Lowenstein Sandler PC | Ira M. Levee | 1251 Avenue of the Americas | 18th Floor | New York | NY | 10020 | | 212-262-6700 | 212-262-7402 | ilevee@lowenstein.com | Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfonds ABP |
| Lowenstein Sandler PC | Kenneth A. Rosen | 65 Livingston Avenue | | Roseland | NJ | 07068 | | 973-597-2500 | 973-597-2400 | krosen@lowenstein.com | Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management, L.P. |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|---|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Lowenstein Sandler PC | Michael S. Etikin | 1251 Avenue of the Americas | 18th Floor | New York | NY | 10020 | | 212-262-6700 | 212-262-7402 | metkin@lowenstein.com | Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforfs ABP |
| Lowenstein Sandler PC | Scott Cargill | 65 Livingston Avenue | | Roseland | NJ | 07068 | | 973-597-2500 | 973-597-2400 | scargill@lowenstein.com | Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management, L.P.; AT&T Corporation |
| Lowenstein Sandler PC | Vincent A. D'Agostino | 65 Livingston Avenue | | Roseland | NJ | 07068 | | 973-597-2500 | 973-597-2400 | vdagostino@lowenstein.com | Counsel to AT&T Corporation |
| Lyden, Liebenthal & Chappell, Ltd. | Erik G. Chappell | 5565 Airport Highway | Suite 101 | Toledo | OH | 43615 | | 419-867-8900 | 419-867-8909 | egc@lydenlaw.com | Counsel to Metro Fibres, Inc. |
| Maddin, Hauser, Wartell, Roth & Heller PC | Alexander Stotland Esq | 28400 Northwestern Hwy | Third Floor | Southfield | MI | 48034 | | 248-354-4030 | | axs@maddinhauser.com | Attorney for Danice Manufacturing Co. |
| Madison Capital Management | Joe Landen | 6143 South Willow Drive | Suite 200 | Greenwood Village | CO | 80111 | | 303-957-4254 | 303-957-2098 | jlanden@madisoncap.com | Representative for Madison Capital Management |
| Margulies & Levinson, LLP | Jeffrey M. Levinson, Esq. Leah M. Caplan, Esq. | 30100 Chagrin Boulevard | Suite 250 | Pepper Pike | OH | 44124 | | 216-514-4935 | 216-514-4936 | jml@ml-legal.com lmc@ml-legal.com | Counsel to Venture Plastics |
| Mastromarco & Jahn, P.C. | Victor J. Mastromarco, Jr. | 1024 North Michigan Avenue | P.O. Box 3197 | Saginaw | MI | 48605-3197 | | 989-752-1414 | | vmastromar@aol.com | Counsel to H.E. Services Company and Robert Backie and Counsel to Cindy Palmer, Personal Representative to the Estate of Michael Palmer |
| Masuda Funai Eifert & Mitchell, Ltd. | Gary D. Santella | 203 North LaSalle Street | Suite 2500 | Chicago | IL | 60601-1262 | | 312-245-7500 | 312-245-7467 | gsantella@masudafunai.com jgtougas@mayerbrownrowe.com | Counsel to NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC and Hosiden America Corporation |
| Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP | Jeffrey G. Tougas | 1675 Broadway | | New York | NY | 10019 | | 212-262-1910 | 212-506-2500 | jgtougas@mayerbrownrowe.com | Counsel to Bank of America, N.A. |
| Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP | Raniero D'Aversa, Jr. | 1675 Broadway | | New York | NY | 10019 | | 212-262-1910 | 212-506-2500 | rdaversa@mayerbrown.com | Counsel to Bank of America, N.A. |
| McCarter & English, LLP | David J. Adler, Jr. Esq. | 245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor | | New York | NY | 10167 | | 212-609-6800 | 212-609-6921 | dadler@mccarter.com | Counsel to Ward Products, LLC |
| McCarter & English, LLP | Eduardo J. Glas, Esq. | Four Gateway Center | 100 Mulberry Street | Newark | NJ | 07102-4096 | | 913-622-4444 | 973-624-7070 | eglas@mccarter.com | Counsel to General Products Delaware Corporation |
| McCarthy Tetrault LLP | John J. Salmas Lorne P. Salzman | 66 Wellington Street West | Suite 4700 | Toronto | Ontario | M5K 1E6 | | 416-362-1812 | 416-868-0673 | jsalmas@mccarthy.ca lsalzman@mccarthy.ca | Counsel to Themselves (McCarthy Tetrault LLP) |
| McDermott Will & Emery LLP | James M. Sullivan | 340 Madison Avenue | | New York | NY | 10017 | | 212-547-5477 | 212-547-5444 | jmsullivan@mwe.com | Counsel to Linear Technology Corporation, National Semiconductor Corporation; Timken Corporation |
| McDermott Will & Emery LLP | Stephen B. Selbst | 340 Madison Avenue | | New York | NY | 10017 | | 212-547-5400 | 212-547-5444 | sselbst@mwe.com | Counsel to National Semiconductor Corporation |
| McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA | Scott N. Opincar, Esq. | 600 Superior Avenue, E. | Suite 2100 | Cleveland | OH | 44114 | | 216-348-5400 | 216-348-5474 | sopincar@mcdonaldhopkins.com | Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc. |
| McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA | Shawn M. Riley, Esq. | 600 Superior Avenue, E. | Suite 2100 | Cleveland | OH | 44114 | | 216-348-5400 | 216-348-5474 | sriley@mcdonaldhopkins.com | Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc. |
| McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP | Jeffrey Bernstein, Esq. | Three Gateway Center | 100 Mulberry Street | Newark | NJ | 07102-4079 | | 973-622-7711 | 973-622-5314 | jbernstein@mdmc-law.com | Counsel to New Jersey Self-Insurers Guaranty Association |
| McGuirewoods LLP | Aaron G McCollough Esq | One James Center | 901 East Cary Street | Richmond | VA | 23219-4030 | | 804-775-1000 | 804-775-1061 | amccollough@mcguirewoods.com | Counsel to Siemens Energy & Automation, Inc. |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--|--|
| Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C. | Hanan Kolko | 1350 Broadway | Suite 501 | New York | NY | 10018 | | 212-239-4999 | 212-239-1311 | hkolko@msek.com | Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America |
| Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C. | Lowell Peterson, Esq. | 1350 Broadway | Suite 501 | New York | NY | 10018 | | 212-239-4999 | 212-239-1311 | lpeterson@msek.com | Counsel to United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO |
| Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A. | M. Evan Meyers | Berkshire Building | 6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400 | Riverdale Park | MD | 20737-1385 | | 301-699-5800 | | emeyers@mrrlaw.net | Counsel to Prince George County, Maryland |
| Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A. | Robert H. Rosenbaum | Berkshire Building | 6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400 | Riverdale Park | MD | 20737-1385 | | 301-699-5800 | | rosenbaum@mrrlaw.net | Counsel to Prince George County, Maryland |
| Michael Cox | | Cadillac Place | 3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200 | Detroit | MI | 48202 | | 313-456-0140 | | miag@michigan.gov | Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury |
| Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency | Dennis J. Raterink | PO Box 30736 | | Lansing | MI | 48909-7717 | | 517-373-1820 | 517-373-2129 | raterinkd@michigan.gov | Assistant Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency |
| Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency | Michael Cox | PO Box 30736 | | Lansing | MI | 48909-7717 | | 517-373-1820 | 517-373-2129 | miag@michigan.gov | Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency |
| Michigan Heritage Bank | Janice M. Donahue | 28300 Orchard Lake Rd | Ste 200 | Farmington Hills | MI | 48334 | | 248-538-2529 | 248-786-3596 | jdonahue@miheritage.com | Counsel to Michigan Heritage Bank; MHB Leasing, Inc. |
| Miles & Stockbridge, P.C. | Thomas D. Renda | 10 Light Street | | Baltimore | MD | 21202 | | 410-385-3418 | 410-385-3700 | trenda@milesstockbridge.com | Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Alumunim Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc. |
| Miller Johnson | Thomas P. Sarb | | Suite 800, PO Box 306 | Grand Rapids | MI | 49501-0306 | | 616-831-1748 | 616-988-1748 | sarbt@millerjohnson.com | Counsel to Pridgeon & Clay, Inc. |
| Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C. | Robert D. Wolford | 250 Monroe Avenue, N.W. | | Grand Rapids | MI | 49501-0306 | | 616-831-1726 | 616-988-1726 | wolfordr@millerjohnson.com | Counsel to Wells Operating Partnership, LP |
| Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C. | Jonathan S. Green | 150 W. Jefferson Avenue | Suite 2500 | Detroit | MI | 48226 | | 313-496-8452 | 313-496-7997 | greenj@milleranfield.com | Counsel to Niles USA Inc.; Techcentral, LLC; The Bartech Group, Inc.; Fischer Automotive Systems |
| Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C. | Timothy A. Fusco | 150 W. Jefferson Avenue | Suite 2500 | Detroit | MI | 48226 | | 313-496-8435 | 313-496-8453 | fusco@milleranfield.com | Counsel to Hitachi Automotive Products (USA), Inc. and Conceria Pasubio |
| Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris Glovsky and Pepco, P.C. | Paul J. Ricotta | One Financial Center | | Boston | MA | 02111 | | 617-542-6000 | 617-542-2241 | pricotta@mintz.com pricotta@mintz.com | Counsel to Hitachi Automotive Products (USA), Inc. and Conceria Pasubio |
| Molex Connector Corp | Jeff Ott | 2222 Wellington Ct. | | Lisle | IL | 60532 | | 630-527-4254 | 630-512-8610 | Jeff.Ott@molex.com | Counsel to Molex Connector Corp |
| Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP | Andrew D. Gottfried | 101 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10178-0060 | | 212-309-6000 | 212-309-6001 | agottfried@morganlewis.com | Counsel to ITT Industries, Inc.; Hitachi Chemical (Singapore), Ltd. |
| Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP | Menachem O. Zelmanovitz | 101 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10178 | | 212-309-6000 | 212-309-6001 | mzelmanovitz@morganlewis.com gm | Counsel to Hitachi Chemical (Singapore) Pte, Ltd. |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------|------------|---------|--|--------------|--|---|
| Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP | Richard W. Esterkin, Esq. | 300 South Grand Avenue | | Los Angeles | CA | 90017 | | 213-612-1163 | 213-612-2501 | resterkin@morganlewis.com | Counsel to Sumitomo Corporation |
| Moritt Hock Hamroff & Horowitz LLP | Leslie Ann Berkoff | 400 Garden City Plaza | | Garden City | NY | 11530 | | 516-873-2000 | | lberkoff@moritthock.com | Counsel to Standard Microsystems Corporation and its direct and indirect subsidiaries Oasis Silicon Systems AG and SMC NA Automotive, LLC (successor-in-interest to Oasis Silicon Systems, Inc.) |
| Morrison Cohen LLP | Michael R. Dal Lago | 909 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-735-8757 | 917-522-3157 | mdallago@morrisoncohen.com | Counsel to Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan |
| Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C. | Raymond J. Urbanik, Esq., Joseph J. Wielebinski, Esq. and Davor Rukavina, Esq. | 3800 Lincoln Plaza | 500 North Akard Street | Dallas | RX | 75201-6659 | | 214-855-7590 214-855-7561 214-855-7587 | 214-855-7584 | rurbanik@munsch.com jwielebinski@munsch.com drukavina@munsch.com | Counsel to Texas Instruments Incorporated |
| Nantz, Litowich, Smith, Girard & Hamilton, P.C. | Sandra S. Hamilton | 2025 East Beltline, S.E. | Suite 600 | Grand Rapids | MI | 49546 | | 616-977-0077 | 616-977-0529 | sandy@nlsq.com | Counsel to Lankfer Diversified Industries, Inc. |
| Nathan, Neuman & Nathan, P.C. | Kenneth A. Nathan | 29100 Northwestern Highway | Suite 260 | Southfield | MI | 48034 | | 248-351-0099 | 248-351-0487 | Knathan@nathanneuman.com | Counsel to 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties |
| National City Commercial Capital | Lisa M. Moore | 995 Dalton Avenue | | Cincinnati | OH | 45203 | | 513-455-2390 | 866-298-4481 | lisa.moore2@nationalcity.com | Vice President and Senior Counsel to National City Commercial Capital |
| Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough | George B. Cauthen | 1320 Main Street, 17th Floor | PO Box 11070 | Columbia | SC | 29201 | | 803-7255-9425 | 803-256-7500 | george.cauthen@nelsonmullins.com | Counsel to Datwyler Rubber & Plastics, Inc.; Datwyler, Inc.; Datwyler i/o devices (Americas), Inc.; Rothrist Tube (USA), Inc. |
| New Jersey Attorney General's Office Division of Law | Tracy E Richardson Deputy Attorney General | R.J. Hughes Justice Complex | 25 Market St P.O. Box 106 | Trenton | NJ | 08628-0106 | | 609-292-1537 | 609-777-3055 | tracy.richardson@dol.lps.state.nj.us | Deputy Attorney General - State of New Jersey Division of Taxation |
| Nix, Patterson & Roach, L.L.P. | Bradley E. Beckworth | 205 Linda Drive | | Daingerfield | TX | 75638 | | 903-645-7333 | 903-645-4415 | bbeckworth@nixlawfirm.com | Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employes's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP |
| Nix, Patterson & Roach, L.L.P. | Jeffrey J. Angelovich | 205 Linda Drive | | Daingerfield | TX | 75638 | | 903-645-7333 | 903-645-4415 | jangelovich@nixlawfirm.com | Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employes's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Nix, Patterson & Roach, L.L.P. | Susan Whatley | 205 Linda Drive | | Daingerfield | TX | 75638 | | 903-645-7333 | 903-645-4415 | susanwhatley@nixlawfirm.com | Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP |
| North Point | David G. Heiman | 901 Lakeside Avenue | | Cleveland | OH | 44114 | | 216-586-3939 | 216-579-0212 | dghelman@jonesday.com | Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC |
| Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee | Camille Hope | P.O. Box 954 | | Macon | GA | 31202 | | 478-742-8706 | 478-746-4488 | cahope@chapter13macon.com | Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee |
| Office of the Texas Attorney General | Jay W. Hurst | P.O. Box 12548 | | Austin | TX | 78711-2548 | | 512-475-4861 | 512-482-8341 | jay.hurst@oag.state.tx.us | Counsel to The Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts |
| Ohio Environmental Protection Agency | c/o Michelle T. Sutter | Principal Assistant Attorney General Environmental Enforcement Section | 30 E Broad St 25th Fl | Columbus | OH | 43215 | | 614-466-2766 | 614-752-2441 | msutter@ag.state.oh.us | Attorney for State of Ohio, Environmental Protection Agency |
| Orbotech, Inc. | Michael M. Zizza, Legal Manager | 44 Manning Road | | Billerica | MA | 01821 | | 978-901-5025 | 978-667-9969 | michaelz@orbotech.com | Company |
| Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP | Alyssa Englund, Esq. | 666 Fifth Avenue | | New York | NY | 10103 | | 212-506-5187 | 212-506-5151 | aenglund@orrick.com | Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd. |
| Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP | Frederick D. Holden, Jr., Esq. | 405 Howard Street | | San Francisco | CA | 94105 | | 415-773-5700 | 415-773-5759 | fholden@orrick.com | Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd. |
| Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP | Jonathan P. Guy | Columbia Center | 1152 15th St NW | Washington | DC | 20005-1706 | | 202-339-8400 | 202-339-8500 | jguy@orrick.com | Counsel to Westwood Associates, Inc. |
| Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP | Richard H. Wyron | Columbia Center | 1152 15th St NW | Washington | DC | 20005-1706 | | 202-339-8400 | 202-339-8500 | rwron@orrick.com | Counsel to Westwood Associates, Inc. |
| Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP | Michael R. Seidl | 919 N. Market Street, 17th Floor | P.O. Box 8705 | Wilmington | DE | 19899-8705 | | 302-652-4100 | 302-652-4400 | mseidl@pszilaw.com | Counsel for Essex Group, Inc. |
| Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP | Robert J. Feinstein Ilan D. Scharf | 780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor | | New York | NY | 10017-2024 | | 212-561-7700 | 212-561-7777 | rfeinstein@pszilaw.com lscharf@pszilaw.com | Counsel for Essex Group, Inc. |
| Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP | David W. Dykhous Phyllis S. Wallitt | 1133 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10036-6710 | | 212-336-2000 | 212-336-2222 | dwdykhous@pbwt.com | Attorneys for Fry's Metals Inc. and Specialty Coatings Systems Eft |
| Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison | Andrew N. Rosenberg Justin G. Brass | 1285 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10019-6064 | | 212-373-3000 | 212-757-3990 | arosenberg@paulweiss.com jbrass@paulweiss.com | Counsel to Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated |
| Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison | Douglas R. Davis | 1285 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10019-6064 | | 212-373-3000 | 212-757-3990 | ddavis@paulweiss.com | Counsel to Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC |
| Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison | Elizabeth R. McColm | 1285 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10019-6064 | | 212-373-3000 | 212-757-3990 | emccolm@paulweiss.com | Counsel to Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC |
| Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison | Stephen J. Shimshak | 1285 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10019-6064 | | 212-373-3133 | 212-373-2136 | sshimshak@paulweiss.com | Counsel to Ambrake Corporation |
| Peggy Housner | | Cadillac Place | 3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200 | Detroit | MI | 48202 | | 313-456-0140 | | housnerp@michigan.gov | Assistant Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury |
| Pepe & Hazard LLP | Kristin B. Mayhew | 30 Jelliff Lane | | Southport | CT | 06890-1436 | | 203-319-4022 | 203-259-0251 | kmayhew@pepehazard.com | Counsel for Illinois Tool Works Inc., Illinois Tool Works for Hobart Brothers Co., Hobart Brothers Company, ITW Food Equipment Group LLC and Tri-Mark, Inc. |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Pepper, Hamilton LLP | Anne Marie Aaronson | 3000 Two logan Square | Eighteenth & Arch Streets | Philadelphia | PA | 19103-2799 | | 215-981-4000 | 215-981-4750 | aaronsona@pepperlaw.com | Counsel to Capro, Ltd, Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation and Teleflex Incorporated d/b/a Teleflex Morse (Capro) |
| Pepper, Hamilton LLP | Francis J. Lawall | 3000 Two logan Square | Eighteenth & Arch Streets | Philadelphia | PA | 19103-2799 | | 215-981-4000 | 215-981-4750 | lawallf@pepperlaw.com | Counsel to Capro, Ltd, Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation and Teleflex Incorporated d/b/a Teleflex Morse (Capro) |
| Pepper, Hamilton LLP | Henry Jaffe | 1313 Market Street | PO Box 1709 | Wilmington | DE | 19899-1709 | | 302-777-6500 | 302-421-8390 | jaffeh@pepperlaw.com | Counsel to SKF USA, Inc. |
| Pepper, Hamilton LLP | Linda J. Casey | 3000 Two logan Square | Eighteenth & Arch Streets | Philadelphia | PA | 19103-2799 | | 215-981-4000 | 215-981-4750 | caseyl@pepperlaw.com | Counsel to SKF USA, Inc. |
| Pierce Atwood LLP | Jacob A. Manheimer | One Monument Square | | Portland | ME | 04101 | | 207-791-1100 | 207-791-1350 | jmanheimer@pierceatwood.com | Counsel to FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexido, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A. |
| Pierce Atwood LLP | Keith J. Cunningham | One Monument Square | | Portland | ME | 04101 | | 207-791-1100 | 207-791-1350 | kcunningham@pierceatwood.com | Counsel to FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexido, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A. |
| Pietragallo Bosick & Gordon LLP | Richard J. Parks | 54 Buhl Blvd | | Sharon | PA | 16146 | | 724-981-1397 | 724-981-1398 | rip@pbandg.com | Counsel to Ideal Tool Company, Inc. |
| Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP | Karen B. Dine | 1540 Broadway | | New York | NY | 10036-4039 | | 212-858-1000 | 212-858-1500 | karen.dine@pillsburylaw.com | Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America, Hyundai Motor Company and Hyundai Motor America |
| Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP | Margot P. Erlich | 1540 Broadway | | New York | NY | 10036-4039 | | 212-858-1000 | 212-858-1500 | margot.erlich@pillsburylaw.com | Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation |
| Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP | Mark D. Houle | 650 Town Center Drive | Ste 550 | Costa Mesa | CA | 92626-7122 | | 714-436-6800 | 714-436-2800 | mark.houle@pillsburylaw.com | Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America, Hyundai Motor Company and Hyundai Motor America |
| Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP | Richard L. Epling | 1540 Broadway | | New York | NY | 10036-4039 | | 212-858-1000 | 212-858-1500 | richard.epling@pillsburylaw.com | Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation |
| Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP | Robin L. Spear | 1540 Broadway | | New York | NY | 10036-4039 | | 212-858-1000 | 212-858-1500 | robin.spear@pillsburylaw.com | Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation |
| Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C. | Brett S. Moore, Esq. | 100 Southgate Parkway | P.O. Box 1997 | Morristown | NJ | 07960 | | 973-538-4006 | 973-538-5146 | bsmoore@pbnlaw.com | |
| Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C. | John S. Mairo, Esq. | 100 Southgate Parkway | P.O. Box 1997 | Morristown | NJ | 07960 | | 973-538-4006 | 973-538-5146 | jsmairo@pbnlaw.com | Counsel to Neuman Aluminum Automotive, Inc. and Neuman Aluminum Impact Extrusion, Inc. |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------|------------|---------|----------------|--------------|--|---|
| Previant, Goldberg, Uelman, Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C. | Jill M. Hartley and Marianne G. Robbins | 1555 N. RiverCenter Drive | Suite 202 | Milwaukee | WI | 53212 | | 414-271-4500 | 414-271-6308 | jh@previant.com mgr@previant.com | Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10 |
| PriceWaterHouseCoopers | Enrique Bujidos | Almagro | 40 | Madrid | | 28010 | Spain | 34 915 684 356 | | enrique.bujidos@es.pwc.com | Representative to DASE |
| QAD, Inc. | Jason Pickering, Esq. | 10,000 Midlantic Drive | | Mt. Laurel | NJ | 08054 | | 856-840-2489 | 856-840-2740 | jkp@qad.com | Counsel to QAD, Inc. |
| Quadrangle Debt Recovery Advisors LLC | Andrew Herenstein | 375 Park Avenue, 14th Floor | | New York | NY | 10152 | | 212-418-1742 | 866-741-2505 | andrew.herenstein@quadranglegroup.com | Counsel to Quadrangle Debt Recovery Advisors LLC |
| Quadrangle Group LLC | Patrick Bartels | 375 Park Avenue, 14th Floor | | New York | NY | 10152 | | 212-418-1748 | 866-552-2052 | patrick.bartels@quadranglegroup.com | Counsel to Quadrangle Group LLC |
| Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP | John A. Harris | Renaissance One | Two North Central Avenue | Phoenix | AZ | 85004-2391 | | 602-229-5200 | 602-229-5690 | jharris@quarles.com | Counsel to Semiconductor Components Industries, Inc. |
| Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP | Kasey C. Nye | One South Church Street | | Tucson | AZ | 85701 | | 520-770-8717 | 520-770-2203 | knve@quarles.com | Counsel to Offshore International, Inc.; Maquilas Teta Kawi, S.A. de C.V.; On Semiconductor Corporation |
| Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP | Roy Prange | 33 E Main St Ste 900 | | Madison | WI | 53703-3095 | | 608-283-2485 | 608-294-4920 | rjp@quarles.com | Counsel for Flambeau Inc. |
| Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP | Scott R. Goldberg | Renaissance One | Two North Central Avenue | Phoenix | AZ | 85004-2391 | | 602-229-5200 | 602-229-5690 | sgoldeber@quarles.com | Counsel to Semiconductor Components Industries, Inc. |
| Reed Smith | Elena Lazarou | 599 Lexington Avenue | 29th Street | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-521-5400 | 212-521-5450 | elazarou@reedsmith.com | Counsel to General Electric Capital Corporation, Strategic Asset Finance. |
| Riddell Williams P.S. | Joseph E. Shickich, Jr. | 1001 4th Ave. | Suite 4500 | Seattle | WA | 98154-1195 | | 206-624-3600 | 206-389-1708 | jshickich@riddellwilliams.com | Counsel to Microsoft Corporation; Microsoft Licensing, GP |
| Rieck and Crotty PC | Jerome F Crotty | 55 West Monroe Street | Suite 3390 | Chicago | IL | 60603 | | 312-726-4646 | 312-726-0647 | jcrotty@rieckcrotty.com | Counsel to Mary P. O'Neill and Liam P. O'Neill |
| Rierner & Braunstein LLP | Mark S. Scott | Three Center Plaza | | Boston | MA | 02108 | | 617-523-9000 | 617-880-3456 | msscott@riernerlaw.com | Counsel to ICX Corporation |
| Riverside Claims LLC | Holly Rogers | 2109 Broadway | Suite 206 | New York | NY | 10023 | | 212-501-0990 | 212-501-7088 | holly@regencap.com | Riverside Claims LLC |
| Robinson, McFadden & Moore, P.C. | Annemarie B. Mathews | P.O. Box 944 | | Columbia | SC | 29202 | | 803-779-8900 | 803-771-9411 | amathews@robinsonlaw.com | Counsel to Blue Cross Blue Shield of South Carolina |
| Ropes & Gray LLP | Gregory O. Kaden | One International Place | | Boston | MA | 02110-2624 | | 617-951-7000 | 617-951-7050 | gregory.kaden@ropesgray.com | Attorneys for D-J, Inc. |
| Ropes & Gray LLP | Marc E. Hirschfield | 45 Rockefeller Plaza | | New York | NY | 10111-0087 | | 212-841-5700 | 212-841-5725 | marc.hirschfield@ropesgray.com | Attorneys for D-J, Inc. |
| Rosen Slome Marder LLP | Thomas R. Slome | 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard | Suite 901 | Uniondale | NY | 11533 | | 516-227-1600 | | tslome@rsmllp.com | Counsel to JAE Electronics, Inc. |
| Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc. | Charles E. Boulbol, P.C. | 26 Broadway, 17th Floor | | New York | NY | 10004 | | 212-825-9457 | 212-825-9414 | rtrack@msn.com | Counsel for Pamela Gellar Associates, Inc. |
| Sachnoff & Weaver, Ltd | Charles S. Schulman | 10 South Wacker Drive | 40th Floor | Chicago | IL | 60606 | | 312-207-1000 | 312-207-6400 | agelman@sachnoff.com | Counsel to Infineon Technologies North America Corporation |
| Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP | Christopher R. Belmonte | 230 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10169 | | 212-818-9200 | 212-818-9606 | cbelmonte@ssbb.com | Counsel to Moody's Investors Service |
| Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP | Pamela A. Bosswick | 230 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10169 | | 212-818-9200 | 212-818-9606 | pbosswick@ssbb.com | Counsel to Moody's Investors Service |
| Schafer and Weiner PLLC | Daniel Weiner | 40950 Woodward Ave. | Suite 100 | Bloomfield Hills | MI | 48304 | | 248-540-3340 | | dweiner@schaferandweiner.com | Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc. |
| Schafer and Weiner PLLC | Howard Borin | 40950 Woodward Ave. | Suite 100 | Bloomfield Hills | MI | 48304 | | 248-540-3340 | | hborin@schaferandweiner.com | Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc. |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--|--|
| Schafer and Weiner PLLC | Ryan Heilman | 40950 Woodward Ave. | Suite 100 | Bloomfield Hills | MI | 48304 | | 248-540-3340 | | rheilman@schaferandweiner.com | Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc. |
| Schiff Hardin LLP | Eugene J. Geekie, Jr. | 7500 Sears Tower | | Chicago | IL | 60606 | | 312-258-5635 | 312-258-5600 | egeekie@schiffhardin.com | Counsel to Means Industries |
| Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP | Michael Yarnoff | 280 King of Prussia Road | | Radnor | PA | 19087 | | 610-667-7056 | 610-667-7706 | myarnoff@sbclasslaw.com | Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employes's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP |
| Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP | Sean M. Handler | 280 King of Prussia Road | | Radnor | PA | 19087 | | 610-667-7706 | 610-667-7056 | shandler@sbclasslaw.com | Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employes's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP |
| Schulte Roth & Sabel LLP | James T. Bentley | 919 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-756-2273 | 212-593-5955 | james.bentley@srz.com | Counsel to Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America |
| Schulte Roth & Sabel LLP | Michael L. Cook | 919 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-756-2000 | 212-595-5955 | michael.cook@srz.com | Counsel to Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America; D.C. Capital Partners, L.P. |
| Schulte Roth & Sabel LLP | Carol Weiner Levy | 919 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-756-2000 | 212-595-5955 | carol.weiner.levy@srz.com | Counsel to D.C. Capital Partners, L.P. |
| Seyfarth Shaw LLP | Paul M. Baisier, Esq. | 1545 Peachtree Street, N.E. | Suite 700 | Atlanta | GA | 30309-2401 | | 404-885-1500 | 404-892-7056 | pbaisier@seyfarth.com | Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc. |
| Seyfarth Shaw LLP | Robert W. Dremluk | 620 Eighth Ave | | New York | NY | 10018-1405 | | 212-218-5500 | 212-218-5526 | rdremluk@seyfarth.com | Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc. |
| Seyfarth Shaw LLP | William J. Hanlon | World Trade Center East | Two Seaport Lane, Suite 300 | Boston | MA | 02210 | | 617-946-4800 | 617-946-4801 | whanlon@seyfarth.com | Counsel to le Belier/LBQ Foundry S.A. de C.V. |
| Sheehan Phinney Bass + Green Professional Association | Bruce A. Harwood | 1000 Elm Street | P.O. Box 3701 | Manchester | NH | 03105-3701 | | 603-627-8139 | 603-627-8121 | bharwood@sheehan.com | Counsel to Source Electronics, Inc. |
| Sheldon S. Toll PLLC | Sheldon S. Toll | 2000 Town Center | Suite 2550 | Southfield | MI | 48075 | | 248-358-2460 | 248-358-2740 | jawtoll@comcast.net | Counsel to Milwaukee Investment Company |
| Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP | Eric Waters | 30 Rockefeller Plaza | 24th Floor | New York | NY | 10112 | | 212-332-3800 | 212-332-3888 | ewaters@sheppardmullin.com | Counsel to Gary Whitney |
| Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP | Malani J. Sternstein | 30 Rockefeller Plaza | 24th Floor | New York | NY | 10112 | | 212-332-3800 | 212-332-3888 | msternstein@sheppardmullin.com | Counsel to International Rectifier Corp. and Gary Whitney |
| Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP | Theodore A. Cohen | 333 South Hope Street | 48th Floor | Los Angeles | CA | 90071 | | 213-620-1780 | 213-620-1398 | tcohen@sheppardmullin.com | Counsel to Gary Whitney |
| Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP | Theresa Wardle | 333 South Hope Street | 48th Floor | Los Angeles | CA | 90071 | | 213-620-1780 | 213-620-1398 | twardle@sheppardmullin.com | Counsel to International Rectifier Corp. |
| Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC | Robert P. Thibeaux | 5353 Essen Lane | Suite 650 | Baton Rouge | LA | 70809 | | 225-757-2185 | 225-757-7674 | rthibeaux@shergarner.com | Counsel to Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company |
| Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC | Robert P. Thibeaux | 909 Poydras Street | 28th Floor | New Orleans | LA | 70112-1033 | | 504-299-2100 | 504-299-2300 | rthibeaux@shergarner.com | Counsel to Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company |
| Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C. | Andrew H. Sherman | 30 Rockefeller Plaza | | New York | NY | 10112 | | 212-643-7000 | 212-643-6500 | asherman@sillscummis.com | Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company |
| Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C. | Jack M. Zackin | 30 Rockefeller Plaza | | New York | NY | 10112 | | 212-643-7000 | 212-643-6500 | jzackin@sillscummis.com | Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company |
| Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C. | Valerie A Hamilton Simon Kimmelman | 650 College Rd E | | Princeton | NJ | 08540 | | 609-227-4600 | 609-227-4646 | vhamilton@sillscummis.com skimmelman@sillscummis.com | Counsel to Doosan Infracore America Corp. |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------|------------|---------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Silver Point Capital, L.P. | Chaim J. Fortgang | Two Greenwich Plaza | 1st Floor | Greenwich | CT | 06830 | | 203-542-4216 | 203-542-4100 | cfortgang@silverpointcapital.com | Counsel to Silver Point Capital, L.P. |
| Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP | Barbara Ellis-Monro | 1230 Peachtree Street, N.E. | Suite 3100 | Atlanta | GA | 30309 | | 404-815-3500 | 404-815-3509 | bellis-monro@sgrlaw.com | Counsel to Southwire Company |
| Smith, Katzenstein & Furlow LLP | Kathleen M. Miller | 800 Delaware Avenue, 7th Floor | P.O. Box 410 | Wilmington | DE | 19899 | | 302-652-8400 | 302-652-8405 | kmiller@skfdelaware.com | Counsel to Airgas, Inc. |
| Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP | D. Farrington Yates | 1221 Avenue of the Americas | 24th Floor | New York | NY | 10020 | | 212-768-6700 | 212-768-6800 | fyates@sonnenschein.com | Counsel to Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc. and United Plastics Group |
| Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP | Monika J. Machen | 8000 Sears Tower | 233 South Wacker Drive | Chicago | IL | 60606 | | 312-876-8000 | 312-876-7934 | mmachen@sonnenschein.com | Counsel to United Plastics Group |
| Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP | Robert E. Richards | 8000 Sears Tower | 233 South Wacker Drive | Chicago | IL | 60606 | | 312-876-8000 | 312-876-7934 | richards@sonnenschein.com | Counsel to Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc. |
| Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. | Penn Ayers Butler | 600 Hansen Way | | Palo Alto | CA | 94304 | | 650-856-6500 | 650-843-8777 | pabutler@ssd.com | Counsel to Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. And Furukawa Electric North America, APD Inc. |
| State of California Office of the Attorney General | Sarah E. Morrison | Deputy Attorney General | 300 South Spring Street Ste 1702 | Los Angeles | CA | 90013 | | 213-897-2640 | 213-897-2802 | sarah.morrison@doj.ca.gov | Attorneys for the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control |
| State of Michigan Department of Labor & Economic Growth, Unemployment Insurance Agency | Roland Hwang Assistant Attorney General | 3030 W. Grand Boulevard | Suite 9-600 | Detroit | MI | 48202 | | 313-456-2210 | 313-456-2201 | hwangr@michigan.gov | Assistant Attorney General for State of Michigan, Unemployment Tax Office of the Department of Labor & Economic Growth, Unemployment Insurance Agency |
| Steel Technologies, Inc. | John M. Baumann | 15415 Shelbyville Road | | Louisville | KY | 40245 | | 502-245-0322 | 502-245-0542 | jmbaumann@steeltechnologies.com | Counsel to Steel Technologies, Inc. |
| Stein, Rudser, Cohen & Magid LLP | Robert F. Kidd | 825 Washington Street | Suite 200 | Oakland | CA | 94607 | | 510-287-2365 | 510-987-8333 | rkidd@srcm-law.com | Counsel to Excel Global Logistics, Inc. |
| Sterns & Weinroth, P.C. | Jeffrey S. Posta Michael A Spero Simon Kimmelman Valerie A Hamilton | 50 West State Street, Suite 1400 | PO Box 1298 | Trenton | NJ | 08607-1298 | | 609-392-2100 | 609-392-7956 | jposta@sternslaw.com jspecf@sternslaw.com | Counsel to Doosan Infracore America Corp. |
| Stevens & Lee, P.C. | Chester B. Salomon, Esq. Constantine D. Pourakis, Esq. | 485 Madison Avenue | 20th Floor | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-319-8500 | 212-319-8505 | cs@stevenslee.com cp@stevenslee.com | Counsel to Tonolli Canada Ltd.; VJ Technologies, Inc. and V.J. ElectroniX, Inc. |
| Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP | Mark A. Shaiken | 1201 Walnut Street | | Kansas City | MO | 64106 | | 816-842-8600 | 816-691-3495 | mshaiken@stinsonmoheck.com | Counsel to Thyssenkrupp Waupaca, Inc. and Thyssenkrupp Stahl Company |
| Stites & Harbison PLLC | Madison L. Cashman | 424 Church Street | Suite 1800 | Nashville | TN | 37219 | | 615-244-5200 | 615-782-2371 | robert.goodrich@stites.com | Counsel to Setech, Inc. |
| Stites & Harbison PLLC | Robert C. Goodrich, Jr. | 424 Church Street | Suite 1800 | Nashville | TN | 37219 | | 615-244-5200 | 615-782-2371 | madison.cashman@stites.com | Counsel to Setech, Inc. |
| Stites & Harbison, PLLC | W. Robinson Beard, Esq. | 400 West Market Street | | Louisville | KY | 40202 | | 502-681-0448 502-587-3400 | 502-779-8274 502-587-6391 | wbeard@stites.com loucourtsum@stites.com | Counsel to WAKO Electronics (USA), Inc., Ambrake Corporation, and Akebona Corporation (North America) |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-------|------------|---------|--------------|----------------|--|---|
| Stroock & Stroock & Lavan, LLP | Kristopher M. Hansen | 180 Maiden Lane | | New York | NY | 10038 | | 212-806-5400 | 212-806-6006 | khansen@stroock.com | Counsel to 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties |
| Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP | Richard L. Ferrell | 425 Walnut Street | Suite 1800 | Cincinnati | OH | 45202-3957 | | 513-381-2838 | | ferrell@taftlaw.com | Counsel to Wren Industries, Inc. |
| Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP | W Timothy Miller Esq | 425 Walnut Street | Suite 1800 | Cincinnati | OH | 45202 | | 513-381-2838 | 513-381-0205 | miller@taftlaw.com | Counsel to Select Industries Corporation and Gobar Systems, Inc. |
| Tennessee Department of Revenue | Marvin E. Clements, Jr. | c/o TN Attorney General's Office, Bankruptcy Division | PO Box 20207 | Nashville | TN | 37202-0207 | | 615-532-2504 | 615-741-3334 | marvin.clements@state.tn.us | Tennessee Department of Revenue |
| Terra Law LLP | David B. Draper | 60 S. Market Street | Suite 200 | San Jose | CA | 95113 | | 408-299-1200 | 408-998-4895 | ddraper@terra-law.com | Counsel to Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. |
| Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP | Jonathan D. Forstot | Two World Financial Center | | New York | NY | 10281 | | 212-912-7679 | 212-912-7751 | jforstot@tpw.com | Counsel to TT Electronics, Plc |
| Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP | Louis A. Curcio | Two World Financial Center | | New York | NY | 10281 | | 212-912-7607 | 212-912-7751 | lcurcio@tpw.com | Counsel to TT Electronics, Plc |
| The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. | Mr. Tetsuhiro Niizeki | 6-1 Marunouchi | 2-Chrome, Chiyoda-ku | Tokyo | Japan | 100-8322 | | | 81-3-3286-3919 | niizeki.tetsuhiro@furukawa.co.jp | Legal Department of The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. |
| The Timken Corporation BIC - 08 | Robert Morris | 1835 Dueber Ave. SW | PO Box 6927 | Canton | OH | 44706-0927 | | 330-438-3000 | 1-330-471-4388 | robert.morris@timken.com | Representative for Timken Corporation |
| Thelen Reid Brown Raysman & Steiner LLP | David A. Lowenthal | 875 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-603-2000 | 212-603-2001 | dlowenthal@thelenreid.com | Counsel to American Finance Group, Inc. d/b/a Guaranty Capital Corporation and Oki Semiconductor Company |
| Thompson & Knight | Rhett G. Cambell | 333 Clay Street | Suite 3300 | Houston | TX | 77002 | | 713-654-1871 | 713-654-1871 | rhett.campbell@tklaw.com | Counsel to STMicroelectronics, Inc. |
| Thompson & Knight LLP | Ira L. Herman | 919 Third Avenue | 39th Floor | New York | NY | 10022-3915 | | 212-751-3045 | 214-999-9139 | ira.herman@tklaw.com | Counsel to Victory Packaging |
| Thompson & Knight LLP | John S. Brannon | 1700 Pacific Avenue | Suite 3300 | Dallas | TX | 75201-4693 | | 214-969-1505 | 214-969-1609 | john.brannon@tklaw.com | Counsel to Victory Packaging |
| Thurman & Phillips, P.C. | Ed Phillips, Jr. | 8000 IH 10 West | Suite 1000 | San Antonio | TX | 78230 | | 210-341-2020 | 210-344-6460 | ephillips@thurman-phillips.com | Counsel to Royberg, Inc. d/b/a Precision Mold & Tool and d/b/a Precision Mold and Tool Group |
| Todd & Levi, LLP | Jill Levi, Esq. | 444 Madison Avenue | Suite 1202 | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-308-7400 | | jlevi@toddlelevi.com | Counsel to Bank of Lincolnwood |
| Tyler, Cooper & Alcorn, LLP | W. Joe Wilson | City Place | 35th Floor | Hartford | CT | 06103-3488 | | 860-725-6200 | 860-278-3802 | jwilson@tylercooper.com | Counsel to Barnes Group, Inc. |
| Underberg & Kessler, LLP | Helen Zamboni | 300 Bausch & Lomb Place | | Rochester | NY | 14604 | | 585-258-2800 | 585-258-2821 | hzamboni@underbergkessler.com | Counsel to McAlpin Industries, Inc. |
| Union Pacific Railroad Company | Mary Ann Kilgore | 1400 Douglas Street | MC 1580 | Omaha | NE | 68179 | | 402-544-4195 | 402-501-0127 | mkilgore@UP.com | Counsel to Union Pacific Railroad Company |
| Varnum, Riddering, Schmidt & Howlett LLP | Michael S. McElwee | Bridgewater Place | P.O. Box 352 | Grand Rapids | MI | 49501-0352 | | 616-336-6827 | 616-336-7000 | msmcelwee@varnumlaw.com | Co-Counsel to Tower Automotive, Inc. |
| Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz | Emil A. Kleinhaus | 51 West 52nd Street | | New York | NY | 10019-6150 | | 212-403-1000 | 212-403-2000 | EAKleinhaus@wlrk.com | Counsel to Capital Research and Management Company |
| Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz | Richard G. Mason | 51 West 52nd Street | | New York | NY | 10019-6150 | | 212-403-1000 | 212-403-2000 | RGMason@wlrk.com | Counsel to Capital Research and Management Company |
| Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis, PLLC | David E. Lemke, Esq. | 511 Union Street | Suite 2700 | Nashville | TN | 37219 | | 615-244-6380 | 615-244-6804 | david.lemke@wallerlaw.com | Counsel to Nissan North America, Inc. |
| Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis, PLLC | Robert J. Welhoelter, Esq. | 511 Union Street | Suite 2700 | Nashville | TN | 37219 | | 615-244-6380 | 615-244-6804 | robert.welhoelter@wallerlaw.com | Counsel to Nissan North America, Inc. |
| Warner Norcross & Judd LLP | Gordon J. Toering | 900 Fifth Third Center | 111 Lyon Street, N.W. | Grand Rapids | MI | 49503 | | 616-752-2185 | 616-222-2185 | gtoering@wnj.com | Counsel to Robert Bosch Corporation |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | COUNTRY | PHONE | FAX | EMAIL | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Warner Norcross & Judd LLP | Michael G. Cruse | 2000 Town Center | Suite 2700 | Southfield | MI | 48075 | | 248-784-5131 | 248-603-9631 | mcruse@wnj.com | Counsel to Compuware Corporation |
| Warner Norcross & Judd LLP | Stephen B. Grow | 900 Fifth Third Center | 111 Lyon Street, N.W. | Grand Rapids | MI | 49503 | | 616-752-2158 | | growsb@wnj.com | Counsel to Behr Industries Corp. |
| Weiland, Golden, Smiley, Wang Ekvall & Strok, LLP | Lei Lei Wang Ekvall | 650 Town Center Drive | Suite 950 | Costa Mesa | CA | 92626 | | 714-966-1000 | 714-966-1002 | lekval@wgllp.com | Counsel to Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. |
| Weinstein, Eisen & Weiss LLP | Aram Ordubegian | 1925 Century Park East | #1150 | Los Angeles | CA | 90067 | | 310-203-9393 | 310-203-8110 | aordubegian@weineisen.com | Counsel to Orbotech, Inc. |
| Weltman, Weinberg & Reis Co., L.P.A. | Geoffrey J. Peters | 175 South Third Street | Suite 900 | Columbus | OH | 43215 | | 614-857-4326 | 614-222-2193 | gpeters@weltman.com | Counsel to Seven Seventeen Credit Union |
| White & Case LLP | Glenn Kurtz Gerard Uzzi Douglas Baumstein | 1155 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10036-2787 | | 212-819-8200 | | dkurtz@ny.whitecase.com guzzi@whitecase.com dbaumstein@ny.whitecase.com | Counsel to Appaloosa Management, LP |
| White & Case LLP | Thomas Lauria Frank Eaton | Wachovia Financial Center | 200 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 4900 | Miami | FL | 33131 | | 305-371-2700 | 305-358-5744 | tlauria@whitecase.com featon@miami.whitecase.com | Counsel to Appaloosa Management, LP |
| Whyte, Hirschboeck Dudek S.C. | Bruce G. Arnold | 555 East Wells Street | Suite 1900 | Milwaukee | WI | 53202-4894 | | 414-273-2100 | 414-223-5000 | barnold@whdlaw.com | Counsel to Schunk Graphite Technology |
| Wickens Herzer Panza Cook & Batista Co | James W Moennich Esq | 35765 Chester Rd | | Avon | OH | 44011-1262 | | 440-930-8000 | 440-930-8098 | jmoennich@wickenslaw.com | Counsel for Delphi Sandusky ESOP |
| Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C. | R. Michael Farquhar | 5400 Renaissance Tower | 1201 Elm Street | Dallas | TX | 75270 | | 214-745-5400 | 214-745-5390 | mfarquhar@winstead.com | Counsel to National Instruments Corporation |
| Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation | Marc. J. Winthrop | 660 Newport Center Drive | 4th Floor | Newport Beach | CA | 92660 | | 949-720-4100 | 949-720-4111 | mwinthrop@winthropcouchot.com | Counsel to Metal Surfaces, Inc. |
| Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation | Sean A. O'Keefe | 660 Newport Center Drive | 4th Floor | Newport Beach | CA | 92660 | | 949-720-4100 | 949-720-4111 | sakeefe@winthropcouchot.com | Counsel to Metal Surfaces, Inc. |
| Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC | Lillian H. Pinto | 300 North Greene Street | Suite 1900 | Greensboro | NC | 27402 | | 336-574-8058 | 336-574-4528 | lpinto@wcsr.com | Counsel to Armacell |
| Zeichner Ellman & Krause LLP | Peter Janovsky | 575 Lexington Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-223-0400 | 212-753-0396 | pjanovsky@zeklaw.com | Counsel to Toyota Tsusho America, Inc. and Karl Kufner, KG aka Karl Kuefner, KG |
| Zeichner Ellman & Krause LLP | Stuart Krause | 575 Lexington Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | | 212-223-0400 | 212-753-0396 | skrause@zeklaw.com | Counsel to Toyota Tsusho America, Inc. |

EXHIBIT C

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | PHONE | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------|------------|--------------|--|
| Airgas, Inc. | David Boyle | 259 Radnor-Chester Road, Suite 100 | P.O. Box 6675 | Radnor | PA | 19087-8675 | 610-230-3064 | Counsel to Airgas, Inc. |
| Akebono Corporation North America | Alan Swiech | 34385 Twelve Mile Road | | Farmington Hills | MI | 48331 | 248-489-7406 | Vice President of Administration for Akebono Corporation |
| Angelo, Gordon & Co. | Leigh Walzer | 245 Park Avenue | 26th Floor | New York | NY | 10167 | 212-692-8251 | |
| APS Clearing, Inc. | Andy Leinhoff Matthew Hamilton | 1301 S. Capital of Texas Highway | Suite B-220 | Austin | TX | 78746 | 512-314-4416 | Counsel to APS Clearing, Inc. |
| Berry Moorman P.C. | James P. Murphy | 535 Griswold | Suite 1900 | Detroit | MI | 48226 | 313-496-1200 | Counsel to Kamax L.P.; Optrex America, Inc. |
| Bingham McHale LLP | Michael J Alerding | 10 West Market Street | Suite 2700 | Indianapolis | IN | 46204 | 317-635-8900 | Counsel to Universal Tool & Engineering co., Inc. and M.G. Corporation |
| Cage Williams & Abelman, P.C. | Steven E. Abelman | 1433 Seventeenth Street | | Denver | CO | 80202 | 303-295-0202 | Counsel to United Power, Inc. |
| Calinoff & Katz, LLP | Dorothy H. Marinis-Riggio | 140 East 45th Street | 17th Floor | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-826-8800 | Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Alumunim Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc. |
| Colbert & Winstead, P.C. | Amy Wood Malone | 1812 Broadway | | Nashville | TN | 37203 | 615-321-0555 | Counsel to Averitt Express, Inc. |
| Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA | Steven M. Wachstein | 33 West First Street | Suite 600 | Dayton | OH | 45402 | 937-223-8177 | Counsel to Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Coompany |
| Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA | Sylvie J. Derrien | 33 West First Street | Suite 600 | Dayton | OH | 45402 | 937-223-8177 | Counsel to Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Coompany |
| Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP | Andrew M. Thau | 101 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10178-0061 | 212-696-8898 | Counsel to Flextronics International, Inc., Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia-Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd |
| Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP | David S. Karp | 101 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10178-0061 | 212-696-6065 | Counsel to Flextronics International, Inc., Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co. |
| DaimlerChrysler Corporation | Kim Kolb | CIMS 485-13-32 | 1000 Chrysler Drive | Auburn Hills | MI | 48326-2766 | 248-576-5741 | Counsel to DaimlerChrysler Corporation; DaimlerChrylser Motors Company, LLC; DaimlerChrylser Canada, Inc. |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | PHONE | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|-------|------------|----------------|---|
| DiConza Law, P.C. | Gerard DiConza, Esq. | 630 Third Avenue, 7th Floor | | New York | NY | 10017 | 212-682-4940 | Counsel to Tyz-All Plastics, Inc.; Co-Counsel to Tower Automotive, Inc. |
| Dykema Gossett PLLC | Brendan G Best Esq | 39577 Woodward Ave Ste 300 | | Bloomfield Hills | MI | 48304 | 248-203-0523 | Attorneys for Tremont City Barrel Fill PRP Group |
| Dykema Gossett PLLC | Gregory J. Jordan | 10 Wacker | Suite 2300 | Chicago | IL | 60606 | 312-627-2171 | Counsel to Tremont City Barrel Fill PRP Group |
| Fagel Haber LLC | Gary E. Green | 55 East Monroe | 40th Floor | Chicago | IL | 60603 | 312-346-7500 | Counsel to Aluminum International, Inc. |
| Genovese Joblove & Battista, P.A. | Craig P. Rieders, Esq. | 100 S.E. 2nd Street | Suite 4400 | Miami | FL | 33131 | 305-349-2300 | Counsel to Ryder Integrated Logistics, Inc. |
| Grant & Eisenhofer P.A. | Geoffrey C. Jarvis | 1201 North Market Street | Suite 2100 | Wilmington | DE | 19801 | 302-622-7000 | Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP |
| Heller Ehrman LLP | Carren Shulman | Times Square Tower | Seven Times Square | New York | NY | 10036 | 212-832-8300 | Counsel to @Road, Inc. |
| Howard & Howard Attorneys PC | Lisa S Gretchko | 39400 Woodward Ave | Ste 101 | Bloomfield Hills | MI | 48304-5151 | 248-723-0396 | Intellectual Property Counsel for Delphi Corporation, et al. |
| Howick, Westfall, McBryan & Kaplan, LLP | Louis G. McBryan | 3101 Tower Creek Parkway | Ste 600 One Tower Creek | Atlanta | GA | 30339 | 678-384-7000 | Counsel to Vanguard Distributors, Inc. |
| Hunter & Schank Co. LPA | John J. Hunter | One Canton Square | 1700 Canton Avenue | Toledo | OH | 43624 | 419-255-4300 | Counsel to ZF Group North America Operations, Inc. |
| Hunter & Schank Co. LPA | Thomas J. Schank | One Canton Square | 1700 Canton Avenue | Toledo | OH | 43624 | 419-255-4300 | Counsel to ZF Group North America Operations, Inc. |
| Jason, Inc. | Beth Klimczak, General Counsel | 411 E. Wisconsin Ave | Suite 2120 | Milwaukee | WI | 53202 | | General Counsel to Jason Incorporated |
| Johnston, Harris Gerde & Komarek, P.A. | Jerry W. Gerde, Esq. | 239 E. 4th St. | | Panama City | FL | 32401 | 850-763-8421 | Counsel to Peggy C. Brannon, Bay County Tax Collector |
| Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP | Mark I. Bane | 101 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10178 | 212-808-7800 | Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation |
| Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP | Mark. R. Somerstein | 101 Park Avenue | | New York | NY | 10178 | 212-808-7800 | Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation |
| Klett Rooney Lieber & Schorling | Eric L. Schnabel | The Brandywine Building | 1000 West Street, Suite 1410 | Wilmington | DE | 19801 | (302) 552-4200 | Counsel to Entergy |
| Latham & Watkins | John W. Weiss | 885 Third Avenue | | New York | NY | 10022 | 212-906-1200 | UCC Professional |
| Lord, Bissel & Brook LLP | Rocco N. Covino | 885 Third Avenue | 26th Floor | New York | NY | 10022-4802 | 212-812-8340 | Counsel to Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc. and Methode Electronics, Inc. |
| McGuirewoods LLP | Elizabeth L. Gunn | One James Center | 901 East Cary Street | Richmond | VA | 23219-4030 | 804-775-1178 | Counsel to Siemens Logistics Assembly Systems, Inc. |
| Miami-Dade County Tax Collector | Metro-Dade Paralegal Unit | 140 West Flagler Street | Suite 1403 | Miami | FL | 33130 | 305-375-5314 | Paralegal Collection Specialist for Miami-Dade County |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | PHONE | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|--|--|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------|------------|--------------|--|
| Miles & Stockbridge, P.C. | Kerry Hopkins | 10 Light Street | | Baltimore | MD | 21202 | 410-385-3418 | Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Aluminum Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc. |
| Norris, McLaughlin & Marcus | Elizabeth L. Abdelmasieh, Esq | 721 Route 202-206 | P.O. Box 1018 | Somerville | NJ | 08876 | 908-722-0700 | Counsel to Rotor Clip Company, Inc. |
| North Point | Michelle M. Harner | 901 Lakeside Avenue | | Cleveland | OH | 44114 | 216-586-3939 | Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC |
| O'Rourke Katten & Moody | Michael C. Moody | 161 N. Clark Street | Suite 2230 | Chicago | IL | 60601 | 312-849-2020 | Counsel to Ameritech Credit Corporation d/b/a SBC Capital Services |
| Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison | Curtis J. Weidler | 1285 Avenue of the Americas | | New York | NY | 10019-6064 | 212-373-3157 | Counsel to Ambrake Corporation; Akebono Corporation |
| Pickrel Shaeffer & Ebeling | Sarah B. Carter Esq | 2700 Kettering Tower | | Dayton | OH | 45423 | | |
| Professional Technologies Services | John V. Gorman | P.O. Box #304 | | Frankenmuth | MI | 48734 | 989-385-3230 | Corporate Secretary for Professional Technologies Services |
| Reed Smith | Richard P. Norton | One Riverfront Plaza | 1st Floor | Newark | NJ | 07102 | 973-621-3200 | Counsel to Jason Incorporated, Sackner Products Division |
| Republic Engineered Products, Inc. | Joseph Lapinsky | 3770 Embassy Parkway | | Akron | OH | 44333 | 330-670-3004 | Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc. |
| Ropers, Majeski, Kohn & Bentley | Christopher Norgaard | 515 South Flower Street | Suite 1100 | Los Angeles | CA | 90071 | 213-312-2000 | Counsel to Brembo S.p.A; Bibielle S.p.A.; AP Racing |
| Sachnoff & Weaver, Ltd | Charles S. Schulman | 10 South Wacker Drive | 40th Floor | Chicago | IL | 60606 | 312-207-1000 | Counsel to Infineon Technologies North America Corporation |
| Schafer and Weiner PLLC | Max Newman | 40950 Woodward Ave. | Suite 100 | Bloomfield Hills | MI | 48304 | 248-540-3340 | Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc. |
| Schiff Hardin LLP | William I. Kohn | 6600 Sears Tower | | Chicago | IL | 60066 | 312-258-5500 | Counsel to Means Industries |
| Shipman & Goodwin LLP | Jennifer L. Adamy | One Constitution Plaza | | Hartford | CT | 06103-1919 | 860-251-5811 | Counsel to Fortune Plastics Company of Illinois, Inc.; Universal Metal Hose Co., |
| Sony Electronics Inc. | Lloyd B. Sarakin - Chief Counsel, Finance and Credit | 1 Sony Drive | MD #1 E-4 | Park Ridge | NJ | 07656 | 201-930-7483 | Counsel to Sony Electronics, Inc. |
| Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. | Eric Marcks | One Maritime Plaza | Suite 300 | San Francisco | CA | 94111-3492 | | Counsel to Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. And Furukawa Electric North America, APD Inc. |
| Steinberg Shapiro & Clark | Mark H. Shapiro | 24901 Northwestern Highway | Suite 611 | Southfield | MI | 48075 | 248-352-4700 | Counsel to Bing Metals Group, Inc.; Genral Transport International, Inc.; Crown Enterprises, Inc.; Economy Transport, Inc.; Logistics Insight Corp (LINC); Universal Am-Can, Ltd.; Universal Truckload Services, Inc. |

| COMPANY | CONTACT | ADDRESS1 | ADDRESS2 | CITY | STATE | ZIP | PHONE | PARTY / FUNCTION |
|---|--|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|-------|------------|--------------|---|
| Stroock & Stroock & Lavan, LLP | Joseph G. Minias | 180 Maiden Lane | | New York | NY | 10038 | 212-806-5400 | Counsel to 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. a |
| Swidler Berlin LLP | Robert N. Steinwurtzel | The Washington Harbour | 3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300 | Washington | DC | 20007 | 202-424-7500 | Attorneys for Sanders Lead Co., Inc. |
| Togut, Segal & Segal LLP | Albert Togut, Esq. | One Penn Plaza | Suite 3335 | New York | NY | 10119 | 212-594-5000 | Conflicts counsel to Debtors |
| United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy | Allied Industrial and Service Workers, Intl Union (USW), AFL-CIO | David Jury, Esq. | Five Gateway Center Suite 807 | Pittsburgh | PA | 15222 | 412-562-2549 | Counsel to United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO |
| Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP | Robert J. Sidman, Esq. | 52 East Gay Street | P.O. Box 1008 | Columbus | OH | 43216-1008 | 614-464-6422 | |
| Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP | Tiffany Strelow Cobb | 52 East Gay Street | | Columbus | OH | 43215 | 614-464-8322 | Counsel to America Online, Inc. and its Subsidiaries and Affiliates |
| Warner Stevens, L.L.P. | Michael D. Warner | 301 Commerce Street | Suite 1700 | Fort Worth | TX | 76102 | 817-810-5250 | Counsel to Electronic Data Systems Corp. and EDS Information Services, L.L.C. |
| Weiland, Golden, Smiley, Wang Ekvall & Strok, LLP | Lei Lei Wang Ekvall | 650 Town Center Drive | Suite 950 | Costa Mesa | CA | 92626 | 714-966-1000 | Counsel to Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. |
| Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C. | Berry D. Spears | 401 Congress Avenue | Suite 2100 | Austin | TX | 78701 | 512-370-2800 | Counsel to National Instruments Corporation |
| WL Ross & Co., LLC | Stephen Toy | 600 Lexington Avenue | 19th Floor | New York | NY | 10022 | 212-826-1100 | Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC |

EXHIBIT D

Hearing Date: December 20, 2007
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| ----- | x | |

DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO MOTION OF AUTOMODULAR ASSEMBLIES INC., TEC-MAR
DISTRIBUTION SERVICES, INC., AND AUTOMODULAR ASSEMBLIES (OHIO) INC. TO
COMPEL ASSUMPTION OR REJECTION OF EXECUTORY CONTRACTS AND ALLOW
AND DIRECT PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM

("OBJECTION TO AUTOMODULAR MOTION")

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (the "Debtors"), hereby submit this objection (the "Objection") to the Motion Of Automodular Assemblies Inc., Tec-Mar Distribution Services, Inc. And Automodular Assemblies (Ohio) Inc. (collectively, "Automodular") To Compel Assumption Or Rejection Of Executory Contracts And Allow And Direct Payment Of Administrative Expense Claim (the "Motion"), and respectfully represent as follows:

Preliminary Statement

1. Automodular seeks to compel the Debtors to make an immediate decision regarding the assumption or rejection of their contracts, arguing that Automodular will be seriously harmed if this relief is not granted. As the Debtors have told Automodular, pursuant to the order governing the solicitation of votes¹ on the Debtors' proposed plan of reorganization (the "Plan"), the Debtors will be mailing notices of cure amount, reflecting the Debtors' intention to assume certain contracts, on December 21, 2007 – one day after the scheduled hearing date on the Motion. In addition, pursuant to the Solicitation Procedures Order, on December 28, 2007, the Debtors will file a list of all contracts to be rejected in these cases a mere eight days after the return date of the Motion.² Thus, the remedy that Automodular principally seeks – notification in "a reasonable amount of time under the facts and circumstances of the case" of the Debtors' decision to assume or reject the subject contracts (Motion ¶¶ 14–15) – is in effect already available to Automodular.

¹ See Order Approving (I) Disclosure Statement, (II) Record Date, Voting Deadline, And Procedures For Temporary Allowance Of Certain Claims, (III) Hearing Date To Consider Confirmation Of Plan, (IV) Procedures For Filing Objections To Plan, (V) Solicitation Procedures For Voting On Plan, (VI) Cure Claim Procedures, (VII) Procedures For Resolving Disputes Relating To Postpetition Interest, And (VIII) Reclamation Claim Procedures, entered December 10, 2007 (Docket No. 11389) (the "Solicitation Procedures Order").

² Furthermore, Article 8.1(c) of the Plan specifies that the Debtors reserve the right, subject to notice, to amend, modify, supplement, or otherwise change Exhibit 8.1(a) of the Plan, which is the list of contracts to be rejected, on or before the Confirmation Date. (Plan Art. 8.1(c).)

2. Automodular further alleges that it has "sustained large economic losses due to Debtors' failure to pay for the services provided" under the contracts at issue in the Motion. (Id. ¶ 15.) This argument similarly is disingenuous. As a preliminary matter, the Debtors continue to make payments for undisputed postpetition obligations under their contracts with Automodular (the "Contracts"). The dispute that forms the basis of the Motion, however, involves a question of contract interpretation: whether a contractual provision that permits price adjustments based on "changes to the specifications or design of the goods or to the scope of any services or work covered by this Contract, including work related to inspection, testing, or quality control" entitles Automodular to a per-piece price increase based only on reduced volumes.³ (Motion, Ex. C, General Terms And Conditions ¶ 3.)

3. Automodular also seeks allowance and payment of an administrative expense claim. Automodular asserts that it has been harmed by the Debtors' refusal to pay the increased prices that Automodular has demanded under the Contracts, notwithstanding the Debtors' continued payment in the ordinary course of all outstanding and undisputed invoices for goods and services in accordance with the terms of the Contracts. Automodular's claims of serious and impending harm are belied by Automodular's delay of more than a year and a half to bring this issue before the Court.

³ Automodular contends that the Debtors should be accountable for the per-piece price increase to which Automodular believes it is entitled under the terms of the Contracts since July 19, 2006. The Debtors assert that price increases based only on volume reductions in a requirements contract are not contemplated by the terms of the Contracts, and at any rate are excessive and unsupportable, based on prior bid documentation submitted by Automodular to the Debtors in connection with the negotiations that led to the Contracts.

Automodular also asserts that the Debtors have failed to comply with a foreign exchange adjustment clause of the Contracts and failed to pay termination costs, but Automodular provides no evidence or information with respect to these allegations.

Finally, Automodular asserts the existence of a prepetition claim, which is already scheduled for a hearing before this Court on January 31, 2008, and with respect to which Automodular has advanced a setoff claim in the amount of \$549,918.39.

4. The Motion is simply a thinly-veiled effort to litigate a potential contract cure (or rejection damage) claim on a track that is different from that set forth in this Court's order governing the solicitation of votes on the Plan. For the reasons described more fully below, therefore, the Motion lacks merit and should be denied.

Argument

A. Automodular Has Not Established Cause To Compel Immediate Assumption Or Rejection

5. As a general proposition, the Bankruptcy Code does not require a debtor to decide whether to assume or reject an executory contract until the time of plan confirmation. See 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(2). For good cause shown, a non-debtor party to an executory contract may seek an order from the court compelling the debtor to assume or reject a contract before plan confirmation. See id. In considering such a motion, however, courts must balance the interests of the debtor against those of the non-debtor party. See, e.g., In re Midtown Skating Corp., 3 B.R. 194, 198 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1980) When a party seeks to shorten the Debtor's statutory period to assume or reject a contract, the burden is on the movant to demonstrate good cause to do so. In re Dana Corp., 350 B.R. 144, 147 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2006).

6. Courts considering such demands rarely force a debtor to prematurely assume or reject a contract, because the "interests of the creditors collectively and the bankrupt estate as a whole will not yield easily to the convenience or advantage of one creditor." Public Svc. Co. of N.H. v. N.H. Elec. Coop., Inc. (In re Public Svc. Co. of New Hampshire), 884 F.2d 11, 14-15 (1st Cir. 1989). It is clear that the Bankruptcy Code policy of providing the debtor with breathing space in which to decide whether to assume or reject an executory contract continues until the confirmation of a reorganization plan. See, e.g., In re Enron Corp., 279 B.R. 695, 702 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2002) (citation omitted).

7. Here, the Solicitation Procedures Order provides a mechanism for addressing the assumption or rejection of the Debtors' executory contracts and unexpired leases. Only eight days after the hearing on the Motion, the Debtors will identify the status of all executory contracts by filing a list of all such agreements to be rejected in these chapter 11 cases.⁴ Moreover, the default rule under the Plan specifies that contracts that are not rejected will be assumed. (Plan, Art. 8.1(a).) Accordingly, the relief that Automodular seeks is entirely unnecessary.

8. At bottom, Automodular is attempting to expedite litigation over pricing disputes arising from differences of opinion about contract language. Even if Automodular were entitled under the terms of the Contracts to the recovery it now seeks, however, a bona fide business dispute provides no justification for compelling the Debtors to deviate from the assumption and rejection litigation procedures detailed in the Solicitation Procedures Order. If the Debtors determine to assume the Contracts, the Debtors will mail a notice of the cure amount to Automodular on December 21, 2007, a mere day after the Motion is heard. Automodular will then have the opportunity to object to that cure amount and have its arguments heard before this Court (Solicitation Procedures Order ¶¶ 43-45.) On the other hand, if the Debtors determine to reject the Contracts, Automodular will have 30 days in which to file a proof of claim for rejection damages. (See Plan Art. 8.3.) Whether Automodular is concerned with the amount of its potential cure or rejection damages claim or the fact of assumption or rejection of the Contracts themselves, the Solicitation Procedures Order and the Plan provide Automodular with ample means to air its grievances.

⁴ In addition, the parties are engaged in ongoing negotiations with respect to at least one of the Contracts, which may bring significant developments in the coming weeks. It would therefore be inappropriate to force the Debtors to act to assume or reject the Contracts before required to do so by the Solicitation Procedures Order.

B. Automodular Will Not Suffer Any Cognizable Harm If The Motion Is Denied

9. Notwithstanding Automodular's contention that it has "sustained large economic losses due to Debtors' failure to pay for the services provided" (Motion ¶ 15), Automodular will suffer no cognizable harm if the Motion is denied and the Debtors wait several days after the hearing to announce their decision to assume or reject the Contracts in accordance with the Solicitation Procedures Order. Although Automodular implies that the Debtors have not paid their postpetition bills in the ordinary course, this suggestion is incorrect. In fact, the Debtors are honoring all of their undisputed postpetition obligations under the Contracts. The Debtors have routinely paid their creditors' postpetition invoices in the ordinary course of business since the filing of the chapter 11 petitions more than two years ago. Moreover, the Debtors' access to significant resources, including a substantial DIP credit facility, provides adequate assurance that the Debtors will continue to honor their postpetition obligations timely.

10. Automodular also is adequately protected with respect to the Debtors' alleged prepetition "defaults" by its right of setoff, which it expressly invoked in its Response of Automodular Assemblies Inc, Tec-Mar Distribution Services, Inc and Automodular Assemblies (Ohio) Inc. To The Debtors' Third Omnibus Objection To Proof Of Claim 15108, filed with this Court on November 22, 2006 (the "Proof of Claim Response") (Docket No. 5688). Specifically, in its Proof of Claim 15108 (attached as an exhibit to the Proof of Claim Response), Automodular asserts that it is entitled to offset certain prepetition accounts receivable due from Delphi totaling approximately \$548,278 against other prepetition accounts payable due to Delphi totaling

approximately \$549,918. Automodular thus retains no significant exposure with respect to the Debtors' alleged prepetition "defaults," if any.⁵

C. Automodular Is Not Entitled To Payment Of An Administrative Expense Claim

11. Automodular asserts in its Motion that the Debtors have a "contractual obligation" to address price changes incurred as a result of a "change in scope of work." (Motion ¶ 10; see id. at ¶ 4 (discussing "Delphi's obligations under the U.S. Contract").) Automodular further contends that certain Delphi-directed volume reductions for goods and services provided by Automodular constitute a "change in scope of work" that permits it to renegotiate the per-piece price of those goods and services. (Motion ¶¶ 4–5, 9–10.) Even if this Court were to agree that the subject Contract provisions support Automodular's interpretation, Automodular has not provided any evidence that it is entitled to a price adjustment.

12. Although it does not identify expressly the contract provisions that form the basis of its arguments, it would appear that Automodular is relying on paragraph 3 of the Debtors' General Terms And Conditions, which are "incorporated in, and a part of, [every] contract and each purchase order, release, requisition, work order, shipping instruction, specification and other document issued by Buyer or accepted in writing by Buyer, . . . relating to the goods and/or services to be provided by Seller." (E.g., Motion Ex. A, Requirements Contract, dated Apr. 3, 2007, at 2; see also Motion Ex. C, Delphi Corp. General Terms and Conditions ¶ 1 (same).)

13. In relevant part, Paragraph 3 of the General Terms and Provisions provides:

Buyer may at any time require Seller to implement changes to the specifications or design of the goods or to the scope of any services or work covered by this Contract, including work related to inspection, testing or quality control. . . .

⁵ Indeed, Automodular may be attempting to circumvent this Court's setoff procedures by seeking to recover cash through a Contract assumption cure payment. Such an end run around this Court's established setoff protocols does not constitute a valid reason to grant the Motion.

Buyer will equitably determine any adjustment in price or delivery schedules resulting from such changes, including Buyer's payment of reasonable costs of modifications to Seller's Equipment necessary to implement such changes

(Id. ¶ 3.) Automodular contends that this provision entitles it to a per-piece price increase based only on reduced volumes of goods and services provided. This provision applies only to changes in specification, design, or scope, and that the term "scope" refers to and includes such additional tasks as "inspection," "testing," "quality control," or other incremental obligations that require additional work to complete, and not (as Automodular claims) for simple reductions in the volume of goods or services provided.

14. Even within the four corners of the General Terms And Conditions, there is additional support for the Debtors' understanding of Paragraph 3. Section 2.7 is entitled "Volume Forecasts." It provides, in relevant part:

Buyer may provide Seller with estimates, forecasts or projections of its future anticipated volume or quantity requirements for goods. Seller acknowledges that any such forecasts are provided for informational purposes only and, like any other forward looking projections, are based on a number of economic and business factors, variables and assumptions, some or all of which may change over time. Buyer makes no representation, warranty, guaranty or commitment of any kind or nature, express or implied, regarding any such forecasts provided to Seller, including with respect to the accuracy or completeness of such forecasts.

(Motion Ex. C, Delphi Corp. General Terms and Conditions ¶ 2.7.) That the Debtors made no representations, warranties, guaranties, or commitments of any kind with respect to volume levels under the Contracts, and that volume fluctuations are addressed in a provision completely separate from the "Specification, Design, and Scope Changes" provision apparently relied on by Automodular in connection with the Motion, further undermines its contention that the phrase "change in scope of work" refers to or includes simple volume reductions. Indeed, because the Contracts are requirements contracts, the very nature of these agreements contemplates fluctuating volumes, and thus fluctuating volume "requirements," on the part of the Debtors.

15. The Delphi Automotive Systems Long Term Contract (the "Long Term Contract") included as Exhibit C to the Motion also expressly provides, "No price increases . . . will be made on account of (i) Seller's failure to achieve any expected cost savings or productivity improvements, or (ii) any increases in Seller's labor, materials, overhead, or other costs." (Motion, Ex. C, Long Term Contract ¶ 3.)⁶ In sum, the Contracts themselves provide no basis for Automodular's unilateral efforts to renegotiate the arm's-length agreements at issue here.

16. There is no contractual basis to support a price increase. Moreover, because Automodular failed to support its request for such an increase, the Debtors did not agree to the request. As a result, the Debtors have continued to pay the existing piece contract price for goods and services provided by Automodular, without regard for the unilateral "adjustments" that Automodular now seeks.

Conclusion

17. For all of the reasons set forth above, the Motion should be denied in its entirety.

Memorandum Of Law

18. Because the legal points and authorities upon which this Objection relies are incorporated herein, the Debtors respectfully request that the requirement of the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law under Local Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York be deemed satisfied.

⁶ Because the Long Term Contract specifically provides that Automodular will adjust prices on account of savings initiatives, the Debtors reserve all of their rights to seek a decrease in the per-piece contract price due to Automodular's cost savings realized by switching from three shifts to two shifts. (Motion, Ex. C, Long Term Contract, ¶ 3; id. ¶ 5 ("Seller agrees to reduce the per unit price of each Product on account of any savings in accordance with the Creative Improvement Plan.").)

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request that the court enter an order (i) denying the Motion and (ii) granting the Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT E

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH RESPECT
TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 2173
(LONGACRE MASTER FUND, LTD. AS ASSIGNEE OF PIC PRODUCTIVITY
IMPROVEMENT CENTER)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on July 13, 2007, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 2173 (the "Proof of Claim") filed by PIC Productivity Improvement Center, Ltd. ("PIC Productivity") and subsequently transferred to Longacre Master Fund, Ltd. ("Longacre," and together with PIC Productivity, the "Claimants") pursuant to the Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Untimely Claim, And (D) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, And Consensually Modified And Reduced Claims (Docket No. 8617).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on November 27, 2007, the Debtors filed the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim Number 2173 (PIC Productivity Improvement Center) (Docket No. 11106) scheduling a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim for January 31, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on December 4, 2007, the Debtors filed the Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 2173 (Longacre Master Fund, Ltd. As Assignee Of PIC Productivity Improvement Center) (Docket No. 11259).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Paragraph 9(a)(ii) of the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And

(II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order") and the Second Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered November 20, 2007 (Docket No. 10994) the Claims Objection Hearing is hereby adjourned to a future date to be noticed by the Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. All provisions and deadlines set forth in the Order shall remain in full force and effect. Those deadlines calculated based on the hearing date or the notice date shall be calculated based on the future hearing date to be further noticed by the Debtors or the future notice date, as applicable, rather than the original January 31, 2007 hearing date or the original November 27, 2007 notice date. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimants.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
: In re : Chapter 11
: :
: DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
: :
: Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
: :
-----X

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED
THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy

Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

| Date Filed | Claim Number | Asserted Claim Amount¹ | Basis For Objection | Treatment Of Claim | Surviving Claim Number (if any) |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | | |

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT F

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH RESPECT
TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 12693
(CONTRARIAN FUNDS, LLC AS ASSIGNEE OF TROSTEL LTD.)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on July 13, 2007, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 12693 (the "Proof of Claim") filed by Contrarian Funds, LLC as assignee of Trostel Ltd. (the "Claimant") pursuant to the Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Untimely Claim, And (D) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, And Consensually Modified And Reduced Claims (Docket No. 8617).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on November 27, 2007, the Debtors filed the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 12693 (Contrarian Funds, LLC And Trostel Ltd.) (Docket No. 11135) scheduling a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim for January 31, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on December 4, 2007, the Debtors filed the Notice of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof of Claim No. 12693 (Docket No. 11255) adjourning the Claims Objection Hearing for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of Proof of Claim No. 12693 to February 8, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order"), the Claims Objection Hearing for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proofs of Claim is hereby adjourned to a future date to be noticed by the Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Those deadlines calculated based on the hearing date or the notice date shall be calculated based on the future hearing date to be further noticed by the Debtors or the future notice date, as applicable, rather than the February 8, 2008 hearing date or the December 4, 2007 notice date. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proofs of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| -----X | |
| | : |
| In re | : |
| | : |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : |
| | : |
| Debtors. | : |
| | : |
| -----X | |

Chapter 11
Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
(Jointly Administered)

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy
Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

| Date Filed | Claim Number | Asserted Claim Amount¹ | Basis For Objection | Treatment Of Claim | Surviving Claim Number (if any) |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | | |

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | X | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | X | |

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT G

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | X | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | X | |

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH RESPECT
TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 6991
(CONTRARIAN FUNDS, LLC AS TRANSFEREE OF FHBC AMERICA INC.)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 15, 2007, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 6991 (the "Proof of Claim") filed by FHBC America Inc. ("FHBC") and subsequently assigned to Contrarian Funds, LLC (together with FHBC, the "Claimant") pursuant to the Debtors' Eighth Omnibus Objection (Procedural) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate And Amended Claims, (B) Claims Duplicative Of Consolidated Trustee Claim, (C) Equity Claims, And (D) Protective Claims (Docket No. 6962).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on November 27, 2007, the Debtors filed the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 6991 (Contrarian Funds, LLC And FHBC America Inc.) (Docket No. 11135) scheduling a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim for January 31, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on December 4, 2007, the Debtors filed the Notice of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof of Claim No. 6991 (Docket No. 11256) adjourning the Claims Objection Hearing for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of Proof of Claim No. 6991 to February 8, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order"), the Claims Objection Hearing for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proofs of Claim is hereby adjourned to a future date to be noticed by the Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Those deadlines calculated based on the hearing date or the notice date shall be calculated based on the future hearing date to be further noticed by the Debtors or the future notice date, as applicable, rather than the February 8, 2008 hearing date or the December 4, 2007 notice date. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proofs of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: /s/ Kaylayn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| -----X | |
| | : |
| In re | : |
| | : |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : |
| | : |
| Debtors. | : |
| | : |
| -----X | |

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy
Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

| Date Filed | Claim Number | Asserted Claim Amount¹ | Basis For Objection | Treatment Of Claim | Surviving Claim Number (if any) |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | | |

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT H

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | X | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | X | |

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 813
(CONTRARIAN FUNDS, LLC AS ASSIGNEE FOR ENTERGY MISSISSIPPI INC.)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 22, 2007, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 813 (the "Proof of Claim") filed by Contarian Funds, LLC as assignee for Entergy Mississippi Inc. (the "Claimant") pursuant to the Debtors' Fifteenth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Untimely Claims And Untimely Tax Claim, And (D) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation (Docket No. 7999).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Second Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered November 20, 2007 (Docket No. 10994), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim was scheduled for February 8, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order"), the Claims Objection Hearing for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on

the merits of the Proofs of Claim is hereby adjourned to a future date to be noticed by the Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Those deadlines calculated based on the hearing date or the notice date shall be calculated based on the future hearing date to be further noticed by the Debtors or the future notice date, as applicable, rather than the original February 8, 2008 hearing date or the original December 6, 2007 notice date. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proofs of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the Claims Objection Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re : Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED
THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy

Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

| Date Filed | Claim Number | Asserted Claim Amount¹ | Basis For Objection | Treatment Of Claim | Surviving Claim Number (if any) |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | | |

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | X | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | X | |

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT I

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 12158
(EATON CORPORATION)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 15, 2007, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 12158 (the "Proof of Claim") filed by Eaton Corporation (the "Claimant") pursuant to the Debtors' Seventeenth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Insurance Claim Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (D) Untimely Claims And Untimely Tax Claims, And (E) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation (Docket No. 8270).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on December 6, 2007, the Debtors filed the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 12158 (Eaton Corporation) (Docket No. 11337) scheduling a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim was scheduled for February 8, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Paragraph 9(a)(ii) of the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order") and the Second Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices

And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered November 20, 2007 (Docket No. 10994) the Claims Objection Hearing for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proofs of Claim is hereby adjourned to a future date to be noticed by the Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. All provisions and deadlines set forth in the Order shall remain in full force and effect. Those deadlines calculated based on the hearing date or the notice date shall be calculated based on the future hearing date to be further noticed by the Debtors or the future notice date, as applicable, rather than the original February 8, 2008 hearing date or the original December 6, 2007 notice date. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. Copies of the Orders are attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the Claims Objection Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 9331)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| -----X | |
| | : |
| In re | : |
| | : |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : |
| | : |
| Debtors. | : |
| | : |
| -----X | |

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy

Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

| Date Filed | Claim Number | Asserted Claim Amount¹ | Basis For Objection | Treatment Of Claim | Surviving Claim Number (if any) |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | | |

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | X | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | X | |

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT J

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | X | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | X | |

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 11640
(VICTORY PACKAGING LLC)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on August 24, 2007, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 8229 (the "Proof of Claim") filed by Victory Packaging LLC (the "Claimant") pursuant to the Debtors' Twentieth Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate And Amended Claims, (B) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (C) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (D) Untimely Claim, And (E) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, Consensually Modified And Reduced Tort Claims, And Lift Stay Procedures Claims Subject To Modification (Docket No. 9151).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on December 6, 2007, the Debtors filed the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim Number 11640 (Victory Packaging LLC) (Docket No. 11100) scheduling a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim for February 8, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Paragraph 9(a)(ii) of the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order") and the Second Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices

And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered November 20, 2007 (Docket No. 10994) the Claims Objection Hearing is hereby adjourned to a future hearing date in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. All provisions and deadlines set forth in the Order shall remain in full force and effect. Those deadlines calculated based on the hearing date or the notice date shall be calculated based on the future hearing date to be further noticed by the Debtors or the future notice date, as applicable, rather than the original February 8, 2007 hearing date or the original December 6, 2007 notice date. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| -----X | |
| | : |
| In re | : |
| | : |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : |
| | : |
| Debtors. | : |
| | : |
| -----X | |

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy

Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

| Date Filed | Claim Number | Asserted Claim Amount¹ | Basis For Objection | Treatment Of Claim | Surviving Claim Number (if any) |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | | |

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT K

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | X | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | X | |

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 11256
(CTS CORPORATION)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 26, 2007, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 11256 (the "Proof of Claim") filed by CTS Corporation (the "Claimant") pursuant to the Debtors' Twenty-Second Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate Or Amended Claims, (B) Equity Claims, (C) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (D) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (E) Untimely Claims, And (F) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, Claims Subject To Modification That Are Subject To Prior Orders, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation That Are Subject To Prior Orders (Docket No. 10738).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on December 6, 2007, the Debtors filed the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 11256 (Docket No. 11341) scheduling a claims objection hearing for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for February 8, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order"), the Claims Objection Hearing for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby adjourned to a future date to be noticed by the Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Those deadlines calculated based on the hearing date shall be calculated based on the future hearing date rather than the original February 8, 2008 hearing date. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proofs of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the Claims Objection Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| -----X | |
| | : |
| In re | : |
| | : |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : |
| | : |
| Debtors. | : |
| | : |
| -----X | |

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy
Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

| Date Filed | Claim Number | Asserted Claim Amount¹ | Basis For Objection | Treatment Of Claim | Surviving Claim Number (if any) |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | | |

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT L

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 2175
(UNIVERSAL TOOL AND ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 31, 2006, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 2175 (the "Proof of Claim") filed by Universal Tool And Engineering Company, Inc. (the "Claimant") pursuant to the Debtors' (I) Third Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 to Certain (A) Claims With Insufficient Documentation, (B) Claims Unsubstantiated by Debtors' Books and Records, and (C) Claims Subject to Modification and (II) Motion to Estimate Contingent and Unliquidated Claims Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(c) (Docket No. 5452).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on December 6, 2007, the Debtors filed the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 2175 (Docket No. 11338) scheduling a claims objection hearing for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for February 8, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order"), the Claims Objection Hearing for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proofs of Claim is hereby adjourned to is hereby adjourned to a future date to be noticed by the Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Those deadlines calculated based on the hearing date shall be calculated based on the future hearing date rather than the original February 8, 2008 hearing date. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proofs of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the Claims Objection Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re : Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)
December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy
Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

| Date Filed | Claim Number | Asserted Claim Amount¹ | Basis For Objection | Treatment Of Claim | Surviving Claim Number (if any) |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | | |

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | |

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT M

Hearing Date: February 8, 2008
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | X | |

**DEBTORS' STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES WITH RESPECT TO
PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 15378 (TEXAS INSTRUMENTS)**

("STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES – TEXAS INSTRUMENTS")

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, including Delphi Automotive Systems LLC ("DAS LLC"), debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby submit this Statement Of Disputed Issues (the "Statement of Disputed Issues") With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 15378 (the "Proof Of Claim") filed by Texas Instruments ("Texas Instruments") and respectfully represent as follows:

Background

1. On October 8 and 14, 2005, the Debtors filed voluntary petitions under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, as then amended, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.
2. On July 31, 2006, Claimant filed proof of claim number 15378 (the "Proof of Claim") against DAS LLC. The Proof of Claim asserts an unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$996,729.62 arising from the sale of goods (the "Claim").
3. On August 24, 2007, the Debtors objected to the Proof of Claim pursuant to the Debtors' Twentieth Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate Or Amended Claims, (B) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (C) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (D) Untimely Claim, And (E) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, Consensually Modified And Reduced Tort Claims, And Lift Stay Procedures Claims Subject Claims Subject To Modification (Docket No. 9151).

4. On September 20, 2007, Texas Instruments filed its Response Of Texas Instruments Incorporated To Debtors' Twentieth Omnibus Claims Objection (Docket No. 9465) (the "Response").

Disputed Issues

A. DAS LLC Does Not Owe Claimant The Amount Asserted In The Proof Of Claim

5. Texas Instruments asserts in the Proof of Claim that DAS LLC owes it a total of \$996,729.62 arising from the sale of goods. DAS LLC has reviewed the information attached to the Proof Of Claim and the Response and disputes that it owes the amount asserted in the Proof of Claim.

6. Asserted Cancellation Damages Are In Excess of Contractual Terms.

Texas Instruments asserts that DAS LLC is liable to Texas Instruments for \$321,122.41 on account of the Cancellation Claim for goods allegedly manufactured by Texas Instruments but not delivered to, or paid for by, DAS LLC. In certain instances, DAS LLC no longer needs certain of the parts produced by its suppliers for a number of different reasons, including that the product line for which DAS LLC needs such parts is discontinued. DAS LLC's Purchase Orders include terms governing its liability to suppliers for goods produced or partially produced for, but not delivered to , DAS LLC. DAS LLC reimburses suppliers for cancelled goods based on two factors: (a) the timing of the cancellation within a given production cycle and (b) whether the cancelled goods are in a raw or finished state. For certain portions of its Cancellation Claim, Texas Instruments seeks amounts in excess of the amount that would be determined by the methodology agreed upon by the parties under the purchase orders. Therefore, \$321,122.41 asserted with respect to various part numbers should not be included in the Proof of Claim.

7. Parts Required Under Future Service Requirements. In addition, in certain instances, suppliers are required to maintain parts and fulfill DAS LLC and its customers' service and replacement parts requirements for 15 years after the end of the production of the product line in which the parts are needed. Texas Instruments claimed \$244,908.51 parts in quantities equal to or less than DAS LLC's future service requirements for such parts. DAS LLC will continue to order these parts in the ordinary course of business consistent with its requirements. Because DAS LLCs' future service requirements equal or exceed Texas Instrument's Cancellation Claim for part parts, \$244,908.51 asserted with respect to part numbers S10D2012FNR, S10c5021FNR, S10c5030FNR, S10c5032FNR, S1E05013PgR, S1116012PJR, and S1e05020PGR (corresponding to Delphi part numbers 12230706001, 9383258001, 12200669001, 12203546001, 12213411001, 12214569001, and 12228416001, respectively), should not be included in the amount of the Claim.

8. Proof of Delivery And Other Documentation Not Provided. Texas Instruments claimed \$11,754.00 in amounts owed based on the sale of goods for which it did not provide a proof of delivery. DAS LLC has no record of ordering or receiving any quantity of part number R185D037FNF. Delphi's General Terms And Conditions governing its dealings with suppliers require that suppliers "promptly forward the original bill of lading or other shipping receipt with respect to each shipment as Buyer instructs." See General Terms And Conditions at 2.1. Because Texas Instruments has not provided these proofs of delivery, the amount of \$11,754.00 asserted with respect to the Proof of Claim should not be included in the Claim.

9. Duplicate Claims. Texas Instruments asserted two claims, each for \$5,730.00, for part number R158D046FNr. Because the second claim is duplicative, \$5,730.00 should be subtracted from the amount of the Proof of Claim.

10. Price Discrepancies And Orders Not In The Claim. The prices detailed on certain purchase orders are higher than the price detailed on Texas Instrument's invoices. In addition, certain amounts have been added to the reconciled amount that were in addition to amounts and/or items claimed in the Proof of Claim. The purchase orders reflect the contractual pricing. Therefore, \$152,446.63 should be added to the amount of the Proof of Claim.

11. After taking into account the above-referenced deductions to the Proof of Claim, the Debtors reconciled the Proof of Claim as illustrated in the following chart:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|
| <u>Claimant's Asserted Amount</u> | | \$996,729.62 |
| <u>Modifications</u> | Cancellation Damages In Excess of Contractual Terms | (\$321,122.41) |
| | Parts Required Under Future Service Requirements | (\$244,908.51) |
| | Proof of Delivery Not Provided | (\$11,754.00) |
| | Duplicate Claims | (\$5,730.00) |
| | Price Discrepancies And Orders Not In Claim | \$152,446.63 |
| <u>Reconciled Amount</u> | | \$565,661.33 |

12. DAS LLC does not dispute the remaining \$565,661.33 of the Claim and request that the Claim be reduced to an unsecured non-priority claim against DAS LLC in an amount not to exceed \$565,661.33.

Reservation Of Rights

13. This Statement Of Disputed Issues is submitted by the Debtors pursuant to paragraph 9(d) of the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"). Consistent with the provisions of the Claims Objection Procedures Order, the Debtors' submission of this Statement Of Disputed Issues is without prejudice to (a) the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Claim and (b) the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Claim.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) reducing the Proof Of Claim to a general unsecured non-priority claim against the estate of DAS LLC in an amount not to exceed \$565,661.33 and (b) granting the Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT N

Hearing Date: February 8, 2008

Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | X | |

DEBTORS' STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES
WITH RESPECT TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 10180
(THE CHERRY CORPORATION AND HAIN CAPITAL HOLDINGS, LLC)

("STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES –
CHERRY CORPORATION AND HAIN CAPITAL")

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, including Delphi Automotive Systems LLC ("DAS LLC"), debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby submit this Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 10180 (The Cherry Corporation And Hain Capital Holdings, LLC) (this "Statement of Disputed Issues") and respectfully represent as follows:

Background

1. On October 8 and 14, 2005 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, as then amended, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.

2. On October 10, 2005, The Cherry Corporation ("Cherry") submitted a demand to the Debtors asserting a reclamation claim in the amount of \$810,094.18 arising from the alleged supply of goods prior to the Petition Date (the "Reclamation Claim").

3. On February 21, 2006, the Debtors sent a statement of reclamation to Cherry (the "Statement of Reclamation") with respect to the Reclamation Claim, whereby the Debtors asserted that the valid amount of the Reclamation Claim is \$42,450.42, subject to the Debtors' right to seek, at any time, a judicial determination that certain reserved defenses (the "Reserved Defenses") to the Reclamation Claim are valid.

4. On April 13, 2006, Cherry sent a letter response to the Statement of Reclamation, asserting that the valid amount of the Reclamation Claim is \$487,902.68. To date, the Cherry and the Debtors have not resolved the amount of the Reclamation Claim.

5. On July 21, 2006, Cherry filed proof of claim number 10180 (the "Proof of Claim") against DAS LLC. The Proof of Claim asserts a claim in the total amount of

\$1,670,436.79 (the "Claim"). The Claim includes a secured claim in the amount of \$487,902.68 on account of the Reclamation Claim, an unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$994,316.93 for goods that were allegedly delivered to DAS LLC but not paid for (together with the Reclamation Claim, the "Trade Claim"), and an unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$194,993 for goods that were allegedly manufactured by Cherry for DAS LLC but never delivered or paid for (the "Cancellation Claim").

6. On August 14, 2006, Cherry transferred the Claim to Hain Capital Holdings, LLC ("Hain Capital" and, together with Cherry, the "Claimants") pursuant to a notice of transfer (Docket No. 4905).

7. On October 31, 2006, the Debtors objected to the Claim pursuant to the Debtors' (i) Third Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (a) Claims With Insufficient Documentation, (b) Claims Unsubstantiated By Debtors' Books And Records, And (c) Claims Subject To Modification And (ii) Motion To Estimate Contingent And Unliquidated Claims Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(c) (Docket No. 5452) (the "Third Omnibus Claims Objection").

8. On November 21, 2006, Cherry filed the Response Of Cherry Corporation To The Debtors' Third Omnibus Objection To Claims (Docket No. 5653) (the "Response").

Disputed Issues

A. Cherry's Reclamation Claim

9. Cherry contends that \$487,902.68 of the Claim is secured by Cherry's reclamation rights under section 503(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors have disputed as much, and assert that the valid amount of the Reclamation Claim is \$42,450.42. However, the Debtors do not believe the procedures set forth in the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And

Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order") are the appropriate procedures by which the parties should litigate their dispute over the amount of the Reclamation Claim. Those disputes should be litigated pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Second Amended Final Reclamation Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 362, 503, And 546 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019 Establishing Procedures For The Treatment Of Reclamation Claims entered by the Court on October 2, 2007 (Docket No. 10409) (the "Reclamation Procedures Order"). Accordingly, the Debtors believe that the current litigation of the Claim pursuant to the Claims Objection Procedures Order should be limited to determining the total amount of the Claim, and that a determination of how much of the Claim should be subject to Cherry's reclamation rights should be reserved and decided pursuant to the Reclamation Procedures Order.

B. DAS LLC Does Not Owe Cherry The Amount Asserted In The Proof of Claim With Respect To The Trade Claim

10. Cherry asserts in the Proof of Claim that DAS LLC owes Cherry a total of \$1,482,219.61 on account of the Trade Claim (which includes the amounts asserted in the Reclamation Claim) for goods that were allegedly delivered to DAS LLC prior to the Petition Date. DAS LLC has reviewed the information attached to the Proof of Claim and the Response and disputes that it owes the amount asserted in the Proof of Claim with respect to the Trade Claim.

11. Cherry Was Overpaid Prepetition. During the weeks before the Petition Date, DAS LLC implemented advance payment agreements to numerous vendors to ensure a continuous supply of parts and services. As such, delays and backlogs developed in the process

used to post wire transfers to DAS LLC's main accounts payable system, which is called the Disbursement Analysis Control and Online Reporting System (or DACOR System). The DACOR System is used to pay all of DAS LLC's vendors as well as maintain all payable records. Additionally, the DACOR System distributes approvals to users, generates checks, prepares payment vouchers that are sent to vendors, automates journal entries and inputs those entries into the general ledger, and automates account distributions. The DACOR System will deduct advance payments from ordinary course payments if the advances are posted in time. In some instances, the delays in the DACOR System prevented wire transfer advances from being posted to the DACOR System before the invoices came due. Here, the Debtors made a wire payment on October 7, 2005 in the amount of \$24,816.58 that was not accounted for in the Proof of Claim.

12. Cash Discount. As part of their advance payment agreement, DAS LLC and Cherry agreed to cash discounts for payments that DAS LLC made in advance. Because it made the above-referenced October 7, 2005 advance payment, DAS LLC applied a cash discount of \$3,007.23 to the invoices to which the payment applied. According, \$3,007.23 should be subtracted from the amount of the Claim.

13. Insufficient Documentation. The Proof of Claim includes \$104,364.20 of invoices for which Cherry has not provided sufficient documentation, including, in certain instances, invoice copies, proofs of delivery, and/or purchase order numbers. Such documentation contains information that is essential to reconcile Cherry's invoices to DAS LLC's books and records. Delphi's General Terms And Conditions governing its dealings with suppliers require, among other things, that suppliers "promptly forward the original bill of lading or other shipping receipt with respect to each shipment as Buyer instructs." See General Terms And Conditions at § 2.1. DAS LLC has no record of receiving the goods associated with the

invoices on the list attached hereto as Exhibit A. Because Cherry has not provided sufficient documentation with respect to such invoices, \$104,364.30 asserted with respect to those invoices should not be included in the Claim.

14. Returned Material. The Proof of Claim includes invoice numbers 7468 and 7565 in the total amount of \$22,778.45 for materials that have been returned by DAS LLC in the ordinary course of business, including materials returned because they were defective. Therefore, \$22,778.45 should be subtracted from the amount of the Claim.

C. DAS LLC Does Not Owe Cherry The Amount Asserted In The Proof Of Claim With Respect To The Cancellation Claim

15. Cherry asserts that DAS LLC is liable to Cherry for \$194,993.00 on account of the Cancellation Claim for goods allegedly manufactured by Cherry but not delivered to, or paid for by, DAS LLC. For the reasons set forth below, DAS LLC disputes the entire Cancellation Claim.

16. In certain instances, DAS LLC no longer needs certain of the parts produced by its suppliers for a number of different reasons, including that the product line for which DAS LLC needs such parts is discontinued. DAS LLC's Purchase Orders include terms governing its liability to suppliers for goods produced or partially produced for, but not delivered to, DAS LLC. DAS LLC reimburses suppliers for cancelled goods based on two factors: (a) the timing of the cancellation within a given production cycle and (b) whether the canceled goods are in a raw or finished state. For certain portions of its Cancellation Claim, Cherry seeks amounts in excess the amount that would be determined by the methodology agreed upon by the parties under the purchase orders. Therefore, \$37,999.71 asserted with respect to part numbers

12450104, 12451304, 12451275, 12451289, 12451301, and 12451302 should not be included in the amount of the Claim.

17. In addition, in certain instances, suppliers are required to maintain parts and fulfill DAS LLC and its customers' service and replacement parts requirements for 15 years after the end of the production of the product line in which the parts are needed. Cherry claimed \$51,707.89 for parts in quantities equal to or less than DAS LLC's future service requirements for such parts. DAS LLC will continue to order these parts in the ordinary course of business consistent with its requirements. Because DAS LLC's future service requirements equal or exceed a portion of Cherry's Cancellation Claim for these parts, \$51,707.89 asserted with respect to part numbers 12451266, 12451271, 12451288, 12451303, and 12451314 should not be included in the amount of the Claim.

18. The plant to which Cherry shipped its parts was transferred from Delphi's thermal and interior division to Delphi's electronics and safety division in approximately early 2004. Prior to the Petition Date, Cherry submitted its entire Cancellation Claim to Delphi's electronics and safety division. However, the Cancellation Claim pertaining to part numbers 12451313, 12451272, 12451291, 12451307, 122451264, 12451316, 12451291, 12451248, and 12451249 should have been submitted to Delphi's thermal and interior division, and thus were denied by Delphi's energy and safety division. Accordingly, DAS LLC has not been able to evaluate \$18,970.16 of the Cancellation Claim pertaining to those part numbers. If Cherry resubmits the Cancellation Claim with respect to these parts to Delphi's thermal and interior division, that division will evaluate the claim pursuant to its normal process.

19. Finally, the Cancellation Claim pertains to certain parts for which Cherry has only provided Cherry part numbers. DAS LLC and Cherry's contractual relationship relies

on Delphi part numbers, and thus DAS LLC needs these numbers to evaluate cancellation claims.

Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a list of Cherry part numbers that DAS LLC cannot evaluate.

Until they receive the Delphi part numbers, the Debtors dispute \$86,316.58 of the Cancellation Claim pertaining to these parts. When they receive this information, the Debtors can evaluate the Cancellation Claim with respect these parts.

20. After taking into account the above-referenced deductions to the Claim, the Debtors reconciled the Claim as illustrated in the following chart:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <u>Claimant's Asserted Amount</u> | | \$1,670,436.79 |
| <u>Modifications</u> | Cherry Was Overpaid Prepetition | (\$24,816.58) |
| | Cash Discount | (\$3,007.23) |
| | Insufficient Documentation | (\$104,364.30) |
| | Returned Material | (\$22,778.45) |
| | Cancellation Claim Disallowed | (\$194,993.00) ¹ |
| <u>Reconciled Amount</u> | | \$1,320,477.23 |

21. Subject to the Debtors' future evaluation of the amounts set forth in paragraphs 18 and 19 above, DAS LLC does not dispute the remaining \$1,320,477.23 of the Claim and requests that the Claim be reduced to an unsecured non-priority claim against DAS LLC in an amount not to exceed \$1,320,477.23, subject to (i) Cherry's right, pursuant to section 503(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, to seek administrative priority status for a portion of the Claim in an amount to be determined, but in no event greater than \$487,902.68, on the grounds that

¹ In the Proof of Claim, Cherry appears to round its Cancellation Claim to whole dollars. Accordingly, there is a slight discrepancy between the total amount of the Cancellation Claim and the individual items addressed with respect to the Cancellation Claim by the Debtors in this Statement of Disputed Issues.

Cherry has a valid reclamation claim in such amount and (ii) the Debtors' right to seek, at any time, a judicial determination that the Reserved Defenses are valid.

Reservation Of Rights

22. This Statement Of Disputed Issues is submitted by the Debtors pursuant to paragraph 9(d) of the Claims Objection Procedures Order. Consistent with the provisions of the Claims Objection Procedures Order, the Debtors' submission of this Statement Of Disputed Issues is without prejudice to (a) the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Claim and (b) the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Claim.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) reducing the Claim to a general unsecured non-priority claim against DAS LLC in an amount not to exceed \$1,320,477.23, subject to (x) the Debtors' future evaluation of the amounts set forth in paragraphs 18 and 19 above, (y) Cherry's right, pursuant to section 503(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, to seek administrative priority status for a portion of the Claim in an amount to be determined, but in no event greater than \$487,902.68, on the grounds that Cherry has a valid reclamation claim in such amount, and (z) the Debtors' right to seek, at any time, a judicial determination that the Reserved Defenses are valid and (b) granting the Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Invoices for Which Invoice Copies and Proofs of Delivery Needed

| | | | | |
|--------|--|----------|--|-----------|
| 202065 | | 12/23/03 | | 77.97 |
| 7827 | | 10/1/04 | | 3,225.38 |
| 7833 | | 10/1/04 | | 4,042.69 |
| 7834 | | 10/1/04 | | 4,040.20 |
| 7840 | | 10/1/04 | | 1,625.16 |
| 7841 | | 10/1/04 | | 864.63 |
| 7842 | | 10/1/04 | | 802.00 |
| 7843 | | 10/1/04 | | 3,917.30 |
| 7844 | | 10/1/04 | | 4,354.06 |
| 7845 | | 10/1/04 | | 1,083.43 |
| 7846 | | 10/1/04 | | 2,188.03 |
| 7847 | | 10/1/04 | | 1,969.23 |
| 7848 | | 10/1/04 | | 1,969.23 |
| 7849 | | 10/1/04 | | 1,312.82 |
| 7857 | | 10/1/04 | | 702.60 |
| 7858 | | 10/1/04 | | 1,580.05 |
| 7859 | | 10/1/04 | | 1,141.73 |
| 7860 | | 10/1/04 | | 878.26 |
| 7866 | | 10/1/04 | | 520.56 |
| 7870 | | 10/1/04 | | 14,817.60 |
| 7932 | | 11/2/04 | | 6,350.40 |
| 7936 | | 11/2/04 | | 10,584.00 |
| 7939 | | 11/2/04 | | 25,995.20 |
| 7940 | | 11/2/04 | | 3,899.28 |
| 8016 | | 12/1/04 | | 2,599.52 |
| 8424 | | 7/1/05 | | 509.60 |
| 208119 | | 10/15/04 | | 415.97 |
| 208682 | | 11/15/04 | | 1,299.76 |
| 209000 | | 12/3/04 | | 114.24 |
| 212144 | | 6/30/05 | | 142.80 |
| 212650 | | 8/18/05 | | 840.50 |
| 211229 | | 4/25/05 | | 500.00 |

104,364.20

| Cherry Part Number | Original Claim Value |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| J11M8242 | \$ 7,182.04 |
| J11M8282 | \$ 1,704.04 |
| J11M8331 | \$ 15,109.99 |
| J11M8332 | \$ 6,704.99 |
| J11M8335 | \$ 16,947.22 |
| J11M8336 | \$ 5,034.30 |
| J11M8368 | \$ 8,086.25 |
| J11M8369 | \$ 7,853.33 |
| J11M8370 | \$ 15,101.98 |
| J11M8371 | \$ 2,592.44 |
| Grand Total | \$ 86,316.58 |

EXHIBIT O

Hearing Date: February 8, 2008
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | X | |

DEBTORS' STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES WITH RESPECT TO
PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 8229 (THE BRIX GROUP, INC.)

("STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES – THE BRIX GROUP, INC.")

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, including Delphi Automotive Systems LLC ("DAS LLC"), debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby submit this Statement Of Disputed Issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 8229 filed by The Brix Group, Inc. ("The Brix Group") and respectfully represent as follows:

Background

1. On October 8 and 14, 2005 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, as then amended, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.

2. On June 19, 2006, The Brix Group filed proof of claim number 8229 (the "Proof of Claim") against DAS LLC. The Proof of Claim asserts an unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$2,896,591.72 for the sale of goods to DAS LLC (the "Claim").

3. On May 22, 2007, the Debtors objected to the Proof of Claim pursuant to the Debtors' Fifteenth Omnibus Claims Objection (Substantive) Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Untimely Claims And Untimely Tax Claim, And (D) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation (Docket No. 7999).

4. On June 18, 2007, The Brix Group filed The Brix Group, Inc.'s Response To Debtors' Fifteenth Omnibus Claims Objection (Substantive) Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Untimely Claims And Untimely Tax Claim, And

(D) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation (Docket No. 8318) (the "Response").

Disputed Issues

A. DAS LLC Does Not Owe The Brix Group The Amount Asserted In The Proof Of Claim

5. The Brix Group asserts in the Proof of Claim that DAS LLC owes it a total of \$2,896,591.72 for goods and services. DAS LLC has reviewed the information attached to the Proof of Claim and the Response and disputes that it owes the amount asserted in the Proof Of Claim for the following reasons: (a) purchase orders issued by DAS LLC do not match invoice pricing cited by The Brix Group in the Proof of Claim, (b) DAS LLC is not responsible for certain installation labor charges related to the Truck PC Program (as defined in paragraph 7 below), (c) DAS LLC never guaranteed certain installation costs guaranteed by a third party, (d) DAS LLC has issued all credits due to The Brix Group concerning warranties unrelated to the Truck PC Program, and (e) DAS LLC is not liable for the peripheral inventory that The Brix Group purchased from a third party.

6. Purchase Orders Do Not Support Invoice Pricing. The price detailed on certain purchase orders issued by DAS LLC are lower than the price detailed on the The Brix Group's invoices. The purchase orders reflect the contractual pricing and do not support the invoice pricing. Therefore, \$10,621.97 should be subtracted from the amount of the Proof of Claim.

7. DAS LLC Is Not Responsible For Certain Installation Labor Charges. The Brix Group claimed \$138,219.23 in amounts owed based on installation related labor charges it incurred while acting as a distributor for a now terminated DAS LLC pilot program. The pilot program involved the installation of computerized monitoring systems into trucks (the

"Truck PC Program"). DAS LLC is not responsible for the cost of installation because these costs were the responsibility of a third party and DAS LLC never guaranteed these costs.

Therefore, \$138,219.23 should be subtracted from the claimed amount.

8. DAS LLC Did Not Guarantee Certain Additional Installation Costs. The Brix Group claimed \$180,144.34 for costs associated with the completed installation of equipment for the Truck PC Program. The Brix Group asserts that it completed installation of equipment for the Truck PC Program, but that it was never reimbursed for these costs by a third party leasing agent that terminated the installation of additional units after May 31, 2005. The Brix Group asserts that DAS LLC guaranteed payment for any additional completed installed units. However, The Brix Group never provided any written proof or documentation that DAS LLC guaranteed additional installed units to The Brix Group. DAS LLC never guaranteed to pay for any additional completed installed units.

9. DAS LLC Has Issued All Credits Due To The Brix Group. The Proof of Claim asserts \$6,429.94 for unpaid warranty charges. The \$6,429.94 amount is for warranty claims unrelated to the Truck PC Program. Subsequent to the Petition Date, DAS LLC issued a credit to The Brix Group for unpaid warranty charges in the amount of \$6,429.94.

10. DAS LLC Is Not Responsible For Peripheral Inventory. The Brix Group asserts expenditures made for purchases of peripherals which were to be used to complete the "equipping" of trucks for the Truck PC Program. The distribution agreement executed by the parties did not require The Brix Group to purchase any peripherals. The Brix Group has provided no documentation or any support for its allegation that DAS LLC is responsible for its expenditures for peripherals. There is no explicit contractual obligation on behalf of DAS LLC to reimburse The Brix Group for the peripheral inventory it purchased. Therefore, \$2,169,824.39

should be subtracted from the claimed amount to reflect the Truck PC Program peripheral inventory for which expenditures The Brix Group was and shall remain responsible.

11. After taking into account the above-referenced deductions to the Proof of Claim, the Debtors reconciled the Proof of Claim as illustrated in the following chart:

| | | |
|---|---|------------------|
| <u>The Brix Group's Asserted Amount</u> | | \$2,896,591.72 |
| <u>Modifications</u> | Price Discrepancies | (\$10,621.97) |
| | Installation Labor Charges | (\$138,219.23) |
| | Additional Installation Costs Not Guaranteed by DAS LLC | (\$180,144.34) |
| | Credits Issued For Warranty Charges | (\$6,429.94) |
| | Peripheral Inventory | (\$2,169,824.39) |
| <u>Reconciled Amount</u> | | \$399,866.18 |

12. DAS LLC does not dispute the remaining \$399,866.18 of the Claim and requests that the Claim be reduced to a general unsecured non-priority claim against DAS LLC in an amount not to exceed \$399,866.18.

Reservation Of Rights

13. This Statement Of Disputed Issues is submitted by the Debtors pursuant to paragraph 9(d) of the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"). Consistent with the provisions of the Claims Objection Procedures Order, the Debtors' submission of this Statement Of Disputed Issues is without prejudice to (a) the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional

legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Claim and (b) the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Claim.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) reducing the Proof of Claim to a general unsecured non-priority claim against DAS LLC in an amount not to exceed \$399,866.18, and (b) granting the Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT P

Hearing Date: February 8, 2008
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | X | |

**DEBTORS' STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES WITH RESPECT TO
PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 2548 (PBR AUSTRALIA PTY LTD.)**

("STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES – PBR AUSTRALIA PTY LTD. AND SPECIAL
SITUATIONS INVESTING GROUP INC.")

Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, including Delphi Automotive Systems LLC ("DAS LLC"), debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby submit this Statement Of Disputed Issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 2548 filed by PBR Australia Pty Ltd. ("PBR Australia") and subsequently transferred to Special Situations Investing Group Inc. ("SSIGI," and together with PBR Australia, the "Claimants") and respectfully represent as follows:

Background

1. On October 8 and 14, 2005 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, as then amended, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.
2. On April 4, 2006, PBR Australia filed proof of claim number 2548 (the "Proof of Claim") against DAS LLC. The Proof of Claim asserts an unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$562,192.18 for the sale of goods (the "Claim").
3. On July 19, 2006 and September 26, 2006, PBR Australia made partial transfers of the Proof Of Claim to SSIGI (Merrill Lynch Credit Products, LLC) pursuant to notices of transfer (Docket Nos. 4613 and 5193).
4. On July 13, 2007, the Debtors objected to the Proof of Claim pursuant to the Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Untimely Claim, And (D) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, And Consensually Modified And Reduced Claims (Docket No. 8617).

5. On August 8, 2007, PBR Australia filed its Response Of PBR Australia Party Ltd. To Debtors' Nineteenth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(B) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Book And Records, (C) Untimely Claim, And (D) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, And Consensually Modified And Reduced Claims (Docket No. 8939) (the "Response").

Disputed Issues

A. DAS LLC Does Not Owe Claimant The Amount Asserted In the Proof of Claim

6. PBR Australia asserts in the Proof of Claim that DAS LLC owes PBR Australia a total of \$562,192.18 for goods sold. DAS LLC has reviewed the information attached to the Proof of Claim and the Response and disputes that it owes the amount asserted in the Proof of Claim.

7. Price Adjustments on Previously Paid Invoices. The prices detailed on certain purchase orders are lower than the price detailed on invoice numbers 87032, 87182, 87192, and 89061. The purchase orders reflect the contractual pricing. Therefore, \$10,227.92 should be subtracted from the amount of the Proof of Claim.

8. Exchange Rate Adjustment. After reviewing the Proof of Claim, DAS LLC determined that the exchange rate used on the Proof of Claim for conversion from Australian to U.S. dollars was .73320 and the exchange rate used on DAS LLC's reconciliation was .75670, leaving a net difference of .02350. Therefore, \$18,018.98 should be added back into the Proof of Claim.

9. Obsolescence Claim. PBR Australia asserts that it is owed \$191,414.90 for an obsolescence claim for calipers that it produced for, but allegedly never shipped to, DAS LLC. PBR Australia sells, among other things, P-90 calipers to DAS LLC. With respect to the P-90 calipers, DAS LLC's records show that its Saginaw plant received a much higher quantity of P-90 calipers than what PBR Australia was required to ship — 64,000 sets versus 62,320 sets. PBR Australia asserts, however, that it only shipped 20,957 sets to DAS LLC — a three-to-one variance. DAS LLC has repeatedly asked PBR Australia for data to support its Claim as to the amount of P-90 calipers shipped to DAS LLC but PBR Australia has not provided any data to substantiate its Claim. DAS LLC's system authorizes payment upon receipt of parts. DAS LLC's system shows receipt and payment of 43,000 more sets of P-90 calipers for which it paid PBR Australia. PBR Australia has also not provided data to account for the payment it received from DAS LLC for the 43,000 parts that it asserts it never shipped to DAS LLC. Therefore, \$191,414.90 should be subtracted from the amount of the Proof of Claim.

10. After taking into account the above-referenced deductions to the Proof of Claim, the Debtors reconciled the Proof of Claim as illustrated in the following chart:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| <u>Claimant's Asserted Amount</u> | | \$562,192.18 |
| <u>Modifications</u> | Price Adjustments on Previously Paid Invoices | (\$10,227.92) |
| | Translation Adjustment | \$18,018.98 |
| | Obsolescence Claim | (\$191,414.90) |
| <u>Reconciled Amount</u> | | \$39,766.37 ¹ |

¹ DAS LLC has approved \$338,801.98 of the asserted amount with respect to SSIGL.

11. DAS LLC does not dispute the remaining \$39,766.37 of the Claim and requests that the Claim be reduced to a general unsecured non-priority claim against DAS LLC in an amount not to exceed \$378,568.35.

Reservation Of Rights

12. This Statement Of Disputed Issues is submitted by the Debtors pursuant to paragraph 9(d) of the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"). Consistent with the provisions of the Claims Objection Procedures Order, the Debtors' submission of this Statement Of Disputed Issues is without prejudice to (a) the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Claim and (b) the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Claim.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) reducing the Proof of Claim to a general unsecured non-priority claim against DAS LLC in an amount not to exceed \$378,568.35, and (b) granting the Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT Q

Hearing Date: February 8, 2008

Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | |
| | : | |
| In re | : | Chapter 11 |
| | : | |
| DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> , | : | Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) |
| | : | |
| Debtors. | : | (Jointly Administered) |
| | : | |
| ----- | X | |

**DEBTORS' STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES WITH RESPECT TO
PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 5980 (PBR KNOXVILLE LLC)**

**("STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES – PBR KNOXVILLE LLC AND SPECIAL
SITUATIONS INVESTING GROUP INC.")**

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, including Delphi Automotive Systems LLC ("DAS LLC"), debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby submit this Statement Of Disputed Issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 5980 filed by PBR Knoxville LLC ("PBR Knoxville") and subsequently transferred to Special Situations Investing Group Inc. ("SSIGI," and together with PBR Knoxville, the "Claimants") and respectfully represent as follows:

Background

1. On October 8 and 14, 2005 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, as then amended, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.
2. On May 16, 2006, PBR Knoxville filed proof of claim number 5980 (the "Proof of Claim") against Delphi. The Proof of Claim asserts an unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$9,225,767.18 for the sale of goods (the "Claim").
3. On July 19, 2006, PBR Knoxville transferred the Proof of Claim to SSIGI (Merrill Lynch Credit Products, LLC) pursuant to a notice of transfer (Docket No. 4611).
4. On October 27, 2007, the Debtors objected to the Proof of Claim pursuant to the Debtors' Twenty-Second Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate Or Amended Claims, (B) Equity Claims, (C) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (D) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books and Records, (E) Untimely Claims, and (F) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, Claims Subject To Modification That

Are Subject To Prior Orders, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation That Are Subject To Prior Orders (Docket No. 10738).

5. On November 20, 2007, PBR Knoxville filed its Response of PBR Knoxville LLC To Debtors' Twenty Second Omnibus Objection Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate Or Amended Claims, (B) Equity Claims, (C) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (D) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (E) Untimely Claims, And (F) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, Claims Subject To Modification That Are Subject to Prior Orders, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation That Are Subject To Prior Orders (Docket No. 10989) (the "Response").

Disputed Issues

A. Neither Delphi Nor DAS LLC Owes PBR Knoxville The Amount Asserted In the Proof of Claim

6. PBR Knoxville asserts in the Proof of Claim that Delphi owes PBR Knoxville a total of \$9,225,767.18 for goods sold. The Debtors have reviewed the information attached to the Proof of Claim and the Response and dispute that either Delphi or DAS LLC owes the amount asserted in the Proof of Claim.¹

7. PBR Knoxville Was Overpaid Prepetition. Prior to the Petition Date, Robert Bosch Corporation (a/k/a Pacific BBA Limited), a vendor of PBR Knoxville, paid PBR Knoxville \$68,308.80 for outstanding invoices. DAS LLC also paid PBR Knoxville on July 1, 2005 in the amount of \$68,308.80 for the same goods. On April 16, 2006, when DAS LLC

¹ Although the Proof of Claim was asserted against Delphi, the Debtors believe the Proof of Claim is more properly asserted against DAS LLC and have sought to change the Debtor entity against which the claim is asserted to DAS LLC.

learned that Bosch had paid PBR Knoxville, DAS LLC created a debit in the amount of \$68,308.80. PBR Knoxville added \$68,308.80 to the Proof of Claim rather than subtracting it even though the Proof of Claim acknowledges that there was an overpayment made in the amount of \$68,308.80.

8. After taking into account the above-referenced deductions to the Proof of Claim, the Debtors reconciled the Proof of Claim as illustrated in the following chart:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| <u>Claimant's Asserted Amount</u> | | \$9,225,767.18 |
| <u>Modifications</u> | Prepetition Overpayment | (\$68,308.80) |
| <u>Reconciled Amount</u> | | \$9,157,458.38 |

9. DAS LLC does not dispute the remaining \$9,157,458.38 of the Claim and requests that the Claim be reduced to a general unsecured non-priority claim against DAS LLC in an amount not to exceed \$9,157,458.38.

Reservation Of Rights

10. This Statement Of Disputed Issues is submitted by the Debtors pursuant to paragraph 9(d) of the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"). Consistent with the provisions of the Claims Objection Procedures Order, the Debtors' submission of this Statement Of Disputed Issues is without prejudice to (a) the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Claim

and (b) the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Claim.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) reducing the Proof of Claim to a general unsecured non-priority claim against DAS LLC in an amount not to exceed \$9,157,458.38, and (b) granting the Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2007

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT R

Pg 356 of 382
Delphi Corporation
Special Parties

| Company | Contact | Address1 | Address2 | Address3 | City | State | Zip |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|-------|-------|
| Automodular | Eduardo Glas | McCarter & English | 245 Park Avenue | 27th Floor | New York | NY | 10167 |

EXHIBIT S

| Company | Contact | Address1 | Address2 | City | State | Zip |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| PIC Productivity Improvement Center | Dennis W. Loughlin | Strobl & Sharp, P.C. | 300 E. Long Lake Road, Suite 200 | Bloomfield Hills | MI | 48304-2376 |
| PIC Productivity Improvement Center | Lynn M. Brimer | Strobl & Sharp, P.C. | 300 E. Long Lake Road, Suite 200 | Bloomfield Hills | MI | 48304-2376 |

EXHIBIT T

| Company | Contact | Address1 | Address2 | City | State | Zip |
|---------------------------------|------------|---|---------------|----------|-------|-------|
| Contrarian Funds LLC/Trostel | Dan Fliman | Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP | 1633 Broadway | New York | NY | 10019 |

EXHIBIT U

| Company | Contact | Address1 | Address2 | City | State | Zip |
|------------------------------|------------|---|---------------|----------|-------|-------|
| Contrarian Funds LLC/FHBC | Dan Fliman | Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP | 1633 Broadway | New York | NY | 10019 |

EXHIBIT V

| Company | Contact | Address1 | Address2 | City | State | Zip |
|--|------------|---|---------------|----------|-------|-------|
| Contrarian Funds LLC/Entergy Mississippi Inc | Dan Fliman | Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP | 1633 Broadway | New York | NY | 10019 |

EXHIBIT W

| Company | Contact | Address1 | Address2 | Address3 | City | State | Zip |
|-------------------|----------------------|---|---------------|-------------------|-----------|-------|----------------|
| Eaton Corporation | Stuart A. Laven, Jr. | Benesch Friedlander Coplan & Aronoff LLP | 2300 BP Tower | 200 Public Square | Cleveland | OH | 44114- 2378 |

EXHIBIT X

| Company | Contact | Address1 | Address2 | City | State | Zip |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------|-------|-------|
| Victory Packaging LP | Ira L. Herman | Thompson & Knight LLP | 919 Third Avenue | New York | NY | 10022 |

EXHIBIT Y

| Company | Contact | Address1 | City | State | Zip |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-------|-------|
| CTS Corporation | Elizabeth Bottorff Ahlemann | 905 West Boulevard N. | Elkhart | IN | 46514 |

EXHIBIT Z

| Company | Contact | Address1 | Address2 | City | State | Zip |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| Universal Tool And Engineering Company | Michael K. McCrory Mark R. Owens | Barnes & Thornburg LLP | 11 S. Meridian Street | Indianapolis | IN | 46204 |

EXHIBIT AA

| Company | Contact | Address1 | Address2 | Address3 | City | State | Zip |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| Texas Instruments Incorporated | Joseph Wielebinski Davor Rukavina | Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr PC | 3800 Lincoln Plaza | 500 N. Akard Street | Dallas | TX | 75201 |

EXHIBIT BB

| Company | Contact | Address1 | Address2 | Address3 | City | State | Zip |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|-------|
| The Cherry Corporation | Peter A. Clark Jason J. DeJonker | McDermott Will & Emery LLP | 227 West Monroe Street | | Chicago | IL | 60606 |
| The Cherry Corporation | Ganna Liberchuk | Hain Capital Holdings, LLC | 301 Route 17 | 6th Floor | Rutherford | NJ | 07070 |

EXHIBIT CC

| Company | Contact | Address1 | Address2 | Address3 | City | State | Zip |
|---------------------|---------------------|---|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|
| The Brix Group Inc. | Diana L. Donabedian | Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP | Rincon Center II | 121 Spear Street, Suite 200 | San Francisco | CA | 94105 |
| The Brix Group Inc. | Diana L. Donabedian | Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP | Rincon Center II | 121 Spear Street, Suite 200 | San Francisco | CA | 94105 |

EXHIBIT DD

| Company | Contact | Address1 | Address2 | Address3 | City | State | Zip |
|------------------------|------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|----------|-------|-------|
| PBR Australia Pty Ltd. | David G. Dragich | Foley & Lardner LLP | 500 Woodward Ave. | Suite 2700 | Detroit | MI | 48226 |
| PBR Knoxville LLC | David G. Dragich | Foley & Lardner LLP | 500 Woodward Ave. | Suite 2700 | Detroit | MI | 48226 |
| PBR Autralia Pty Ltd. | Al Dombrowski | Special Situations Investing Group Inc. | c/o Goldman Sachs & Co. | 85 Broad St 27th Fl | New York | NY | 10004 |
| PBR Knoxville LLC | Al Dombrowski | Special Situations Investing Group Inc. | c/o Goldman Sachs & Co. | 85 Broad St 27th Fl | New York | NY | 10004 |

EXHIBIT EE

| Company | Contact | Address1 | Address2 | Address3 | City | State | Zip |
|------------------------|------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|----------|-------|-------|
| PBR Australia Pty Ltd. | David G. Dragich | Foley & Lardner LLP | 500 Woodward Ave. | Suite 2700 | Detroit | MI | 48226 |
| PBR Knoxville LLC | David G. Dragich | Foley & Lardner LLP | 500 Woodward Ave. | Suite 2700 | Detroit | MI | 48226 |
| PBR Autralia Pty Ltd. | Al Dombrowski | Special Situations Investing Group Inc. | c/o Goldman Sachs & Co. | 85 Broad St 27th Fl | New York | NY | 10004 |
| PBR Knoxville LLC | Al Dombrowski | Special Situations Investing Group Inc. | c/o Goldman Sachs & Co. | 85 Broad St 27th Fl | New York | NY | 10004 |